


# VOLUME I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



## HONORED TO SERVE

2007 INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT

# 2007

## INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT Volume I



### **The Supreme Court of Indiana**

The Honorable Randall T. Shepard, Chief Justice  
The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Frank Sullivan, Jr., Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Theodore R. Boehm, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice

*Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director*  
*David Remondini, Chief Deputy Executive Director*  
*Mary L. DePrez, Director and Counsel for Trial Court Technology*  
Division of State Court Administration  
30 South Meridian, Suite 500  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Phone: (317) 232-2542  
Fax: (317) 233-6586


[www.in.gov/judiciary](http://www.in.gov/judiciary)





# STATE OF INDIANA

## DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION



## SUPREME COURT

RANDALL T. SHEPARD, CHIEF JUSTICE

LILIA G. JUDSON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
DAVID J. REMONDINI, CHIEF DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

30 SOUTH MERIDIAN STREET SUITE 500  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-3568  
(317) 232-2542  
FAX (317) 233-6586  
[www.IN.gov/judiciary](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary)

Dear Fellow Hoosiers:

On behalf of our colleagues in the Indiana Judiciary we are pleased to present the *2007 Indiana Judicial Service Report*. Inside you will find a detailed compilation of the case work and the finances of every Indiana trial court during 2007. Included is an outline of our court structure, extensive data on our appellate courts, individual trial courts and information and charts about a number of court related programs.

This effort represents the first time since we began compiling these reports in 1976 that the entire range of data was transferred electronically over the Internet from the trial courts to State Court Administration through our Indiana Courts Online Reports (ICOR) system. It is a remarkable change from paper to data bytes that has made the collection of the information and the production of this report more timely and efficient. It is also an example of the way court information is changing and evolving. Even more change is expected in the not too distant future as the Indiana Supreme Court continues to deploy a Twenty-first Century case management system that will even further automate the court data that is used to produce this report.

We are grateful for the tireless efforts and the cooperation of the judges, court staff, and probation officers who have gathered and entered the reams of data necessary to produce this report. We would also like to thank the Trial Court Management Section team for transforming this massive information flow into a concise usable document. Special thanks are due to Lindsey Borschel of State Court Administration's Judicial Technology and Automation Committee for her work on designing the cover and layout.

In 2007, more than 1.8 million cases crossed the threshold of courthouses in towns and cities across Indiana where they were processed and adjudicated by more than 575 judicial officers and 5,000 court employees. The pages that follow outline the facts and figures that provide a written account of their work. But the real work they do is more important than the spare numbers and dollar signs in this document. What our judicial officers and court workers strive to do is to deliver justice to the 6.3 million citizens of Indiana.

*Randall T. Shepard*

Randall T. Shepard

*Lilia G. Judson*

Lilia G. Judson



# 2007 Indiana Judicial Service Report

## VOLUME I / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of Volume I of this annual report are available on the Internet at the  
Indiana Supreme Court website at [www.in.gov/judiciary/admin](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin)

<b>Letter from the Chief Justice .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Introduction to the 2007 Judicial Service Report .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2008 State of the Judiciary .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2007 Report of the Division of State Court Administration .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Helpful Court Related Websites .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Indiana Judicial System .....</b>	<b>33</b>
Organizational Chart .....	33
Description of the Indiana Judicial System .....	34
<b>Indiana Supreme Court 2007 Annual Report .....</b>	<b>39</b>
Case Inventories and Disposition Summary .....	40
2007 Caseload Information .....	41
Total Dispositions, Majority Opinions and Published Dispositive Orders, and Total Opinions .....	41
Oral Arguments, Non-Dispositive Opinions and Certified Opinions .....	42
Capital Cases, Petitions for Extensions of Time and Miscellaneous Orders .....	43
Disciplinary, Contempt and Related Matters .....	44
Analysis of Supreme Court Dispositions .....	46
Cases Pending as of June 30, 2007 .....	48
<b>Indiana Court of Appeals 2007 Annual Report .....</b>	<b>49</b>
2007 Court Summary .....	50
Summary by Judge .....	51
2007 Caseload Information .....	52
Caseload Statistics .....	52
Opinions Issued .....	53
Cases Handed Down .....	54
Oral Arguments Heard .....	55
Cases Pending as of December 31, 2007 .....	56
Successive Petitions for Post-Conviction Relief .....	57
Motions to Dismiss or Affirm .....	57
Statistics Regarding Disposition of Chief Judge Matters .....	58
<b>Indiana Tax Court 2007 Annual Report .....</b>	<b>59</b>
2007 Court Summary .....	60
Analysis of Cases Filed in 2007 .....	61

<b>Indiana Trial Courts 2007 Annual Report .....</b>	<b>63</b>
Summary and Descriptions .....	64
Total Cases Filed and Disposed from 1998 to 2007.....	71
Summary of 2007 New Filings by General Case Type.....	72
Comparison of Cases from 1998 to 2007.....	73
Cases Filed - All Courts .....	73
Cases Filed - Circuit, Superior, County and Probate Courts .....	74
Cases Filed - City, Town, and Small Claims Courts .....	75
Cases Disposed - All Courts .....	76
Cases Disposed - Circuit, Superior, County and Probate Courts.....	77
Cases Disposed - City, Town, and Small Claims Courts.....	78
2007 Case Information .....	79
Cases Pending on January 1, 2007.....	79
2007 Total Cases Filed .....	80
2007 Total Cases Venued In .....	81
2007 Total Cases Transferred In .....	82
2007 Total Cases Venued Out.....	83
2007 Total Cases Transferred Out .....	84
2007 Total Cases Disposed.....	85
Cases Pending on December 31, 2007 .....	86
2007 Method of Case Disposition.....	87
Summary of All Disposition Types .....	87
Dispositions by Jury Trial .....	88
Dispositions by Bench Trial.....	89
Dispositions by Bench Disposition .....	90
Dispositions by Dismissal.....	91
Dispositions by Guilty Plea/Admission.....	92
Dispositions by Default.....	93
Dispositions by Deferred/Diverted .....	94
Dispositions by Violations Bureau.....	95
Dispositions by Closed.....	96
Dispositions by Failure to Appear/Pay .....	97
Dispositions by Other Methods .....	98
Statistical Trends .....	99
Total Cases Filed .....	99
Misdemeanor and Felony Case Filings.....	100
Infractions and Ordinance Violation Filings.....	101

Murder Filings .....	102
Financial Case Filings.....	103
Domestic Relations and Protective Orders.....	104
Domestic Relations and Paternity.....	105
Total Juvenile Case Filings.....	106
Delinquency, Status and Miscellaneous Cases Filed .....	107
CHINS and Termination of Parental Rights .....	108
Termination of Parental Rights and Adoptions .....	109
GAL/CASA Report.....	110
Volunteer Program Statistics .....	110
Volunteer Case Statistics.....	113
Report on Indiana’s Family Court Projects.....	116
Children and Adults Served by County.....	117
Case Types Involved in Family Court Proceedings.....	118
Family Court Program Types.....	119
Cases Referred to Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR).....	120
Total Number of Cases Accepted from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07 by Category.....	121
Cases Accepted and Children Affected from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07 .....	122
Total \$20.00 Fees Generated and Co-Pays Ordered from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07 .....	123
Statewide Total Case Outcomes from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07.....	124
Cases in which Jurisdiction was withdrawn from Trial Judge for Failure to Rule within Proscribed Time .....	125
Cases Held Under Advisement .....	126
Cases in which Pauper Counsel was Appointed.....	126
2007 <i>Pro Se</i> Litigants .....	127
Courts in which Dispositions Exceeded New Cases.....	128
2007 Senior Judge Program .....	132
2007 Civil Legal Aid Fund Distributions .....	133
Judicial Nominating Commission and Judicial Qualifications Commission .....	134
Public Defender Commission and Fund.....	135
Indiana CLEO Report .....	137
Weighted Caseloads .....	139
Description and Summary .....	139
Weighted Caseload Measures by County and Court .....	143
Weighted Caseload Measures for Each District .....	155
2007 Temporary Adjusted Weighted Caseload Report.....	156



2007 Fiscal Information .....	168
Fiscal Report of Indiana Trial Courts .....	168
Financial Comparison Table of Indiana Trial Courts from 1998 to 2007 .....	176
Judicial System Disbursements for the State of Indiana .....	177
Expenditures by All Courts.....	178
Revenues Generated by All Courts .....	181
Revenues Generated by Circuit, Superior, County and Probate Courts .....	182
Revenues Generated by City and Town Courts .....	184
Revenues Generated by Marion County Small Claims Courts.....	186
Revenue Reference Guide .....	187
Filing Fees/Costs by Case Type Collected by the Clerk.....	191
Trial Court Judicial Officers Paid by the State .....	196
City and Town Courts by County.....	199
Marion County Small Claims Court .....	200
Roster of all Judicial Officers .....	201
Division of State Court Administration Staff .....	213

# 2007 Indiana Judicial Service Report

## VOLUME II / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of Volume II of this annual report are available on the Internet at the  
Indiana Supreme Court website at [www.in.gov/judiciary/admin](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin)

<b>Introduction to Volume II</b>	<b>1</b>
Caseload Information	1
Caseload Reports and Case Type Descriptions	1
Case Types and Abbreviations	9
Roster of All Judicial Officers	11
<b>2007 Caseload Statistics</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>General Caseload Information</b>	
Cases Pending on January 1, 2007	24
New Filings	56
Cases Venued In	88
Cases Transferred In	120
Cases Disposed	152
Cases Venued Out	184
Cases Transferred Out	216
Cases Pending on December 31, 2007	248
<b>Method of Case Disposition</b>	
Disposed by Jury Trial	280
Disposed by Bench Trial	312
Disposed by Bench Disposition	344
Dismissed	376
Guilty Plea/Admissions	408
Default	424
Deferred/Diverted	440
Violations Bureau	456
Closed	472
Disposed by FTA	488
Disposed by Other	504
<b>Additional 2007 Caseload Information</b>	
Special Judge Service by Reporting Judge in Other Courts	536
Service by Other Special Judge in Reporting Court	568
Pro Se Litigants	600
Cases Referred to ADR	632
Death Penalty, Life without Parole, Under Advisement, Under Advisement by Special Judge, GAL/CASA and Court Business	664
Pauper	680
Court Interpreter Service	696
Alternate Judge Service	729

# 2007 Indiana Judicial Service Report

## VOLUME III / TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Contents of Volume III of this annual report are available on the Internet at the  
Indiana Supreme Court website at [www.in.gov/judiciary/admin](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin)

---

<b>Introduction to Volume III.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Court Expenditures.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Personal Services – Salaries and Wages .....	14
Other Personal Expenses .....	46
Services and Charges Other than Personal.....	80
Capital Outlays .....	112
Travel.....	128
Summation of Expenses .....	144
<b>Court Revenues .....</b>	<b>161</b>
Revenue for State Funds .....	162
Revenue for County Funds .....	188
Revenue for Local Funds .....	214
Marion County Small Claims Court Revenues .....	240
<b>Court Personnel (as reported on Budget &amp; Expenditures Form) .....</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>Court Reporter Information.....</b>	<b>261</b>
2007 Court Reporter Income (Pie Chart) .....	261
2007 Court Reporter Income (Listed by County) .....	262
2007 Court Reporter Fees.....	265

---

# INTRODUCTION TO 2007 INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT

---

The Indiana Judicial Service Report is an annual publication of the Indiana Supreme Court's Division of State Court Administration (the "Division") that compiles statistical data on the workload and finances of the Indiana judicial system. This report covers calendar year 2007, with the exception of the Supreme Court data and certain fiscal information which is reported on a fiscal year basis.

Information is presented in:

- 1) Executive Summary (Vol. I);
- 2) Caseload Information Report (Vol. II);
- 3) Fiscal Report (Vol. III).

The Executive Summary also includes data regarding the operation of Indiana's appellate courts. Excerpted statistical information also can be found at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt).

The information published in this report was compiled from Quarterly Case Status Reports (QCSR) filed with the Division by each trial court. All trial courts annually file a summary report on court revenue and a report on court expenditures and budget. While the administrative offices of the appellate courts compile and publish their own caseload reports, statute requires that appellate information also be included in this report. Fiscal data for the state is

obtained from the annual report of the Auditor of the State of Indiana.

This report is not an exact accounting of funds or of every judicial decision. It is based on aggregate summary data and presents an overview of the workload and functioning of the Indiana judiciary. It is intended to be used by trial judges in evaluating their performance and monitoring the caseloads in their respective courts; by trial judges and county councils in the budgeting process; by the General Assembly and its committees in legislative deliberations; by the Division in its oversight of judicial administrative activities, and by the Indiana Supreme Court in meeting its responsibility to supervise the administration of justice. Additionally, the information presented in this report provides a factual basis for long-term judicial planning in the State of Indiana.

For the first time since the Division began publishing these reports in 1976, the transmission of the information on cases and finances was accomplished in 2007 electronically through the new Indiana Courts Online Reports system. This change will allow for more timely and accurate transference of information.

---

## TRENDS AND HIGHLIGHTS IN THE 2007 NEW FILINGS

---

1. 2007 caseload data indicates an increase in new cases filed in Indiana's courts. The 1,878,542 new cases filed in 2007 represent an increase of 5.28% over the previous year.
2. Corresponding with the '06 to '07 increase, the number of new cases filed in all Indiana courts in 2007 is 16.56% greater than the number filed in 1998.
3. The most significant increase in new filings occurred in Civil Collections cases, which increased by 19.55% since 2006.
4. Other notable increases occurred in: Juvenile Miscellaneous, 14.63%; CHINS, 14.47%; Post Conviction Relief, 13.78%; Miscellaneous Criminal, 10.37%; Infractions, 10.15%, and Protective Orders, 8.97%.
5. The most significant decrease in new filings occurred in the Juvenile Status Offense case category, which has decreased by 18.22% since 2006. This decline occurred during the same time period when the number of Juvenile Miscellaneous cases increased by 14.63% which may indicate a shift in the way prosecutors are filing Juvenile cases.
6. Other notable decreases occurred in: Delinquency, -11.24%; Civil Tort, -9.04%; Murder, -8.33%, and Plenary, -4.74%.
7. The case types with the largest number of cases filed in 2007 were: Infractions, 852,868; Small Claims, 281,530, and Misdemeanors, 200,071.
8. Of the Criminal, Juvenile, Civil, and Probate/Adoption case categories, the

Criminal category represents 66.3% of total cases filed in 2007.<sup>1</sup>

9. Of the Criminal, Juvenile, Civil, and Probate/Adoption case categories, the Civil category revealed the most significant change over 2006 new filings, with a 7.32% increase.
10. Of the 1,878,542 new filings in 2007, 77.91% were filed in Courts of Record.<sup>2</sup>
11. The following statistics show the relationship between 2007 new filings and 2007 population figures:<sup>3</sup>
  - a. One Felony case was filed for every 90.70 residents.
  - b. One Misdemeanor case was filed for every 31.72 residents.
  - c. The Infraction case type, which accounts for a large number of cases filed in Indiana courts, averaged one case filing for every 7.44 residents.

Historical comparisons show that in 1990, one felony case was filed for every 135 residents, one misdemeanor was filed for every 37 residents, and one infraction was filed for every 14 residents.

12. The following list shows 2007 new filings statistics for City and Town Courts:
  - a. The 340,543 new cases filed in City and Town Courts represents an increase of 3.52% over the previous year.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Criminal category consists of the following case types: Murder, Felony, Class A Felony, Class B Felony, Class C Felony, Class D Felony, Misdemeanor, Post-Conviction Relief, Miscellaneous Criminal, Infractions, and Ordinance Violations.

<sup>2</sup> Circuit, Superior, Probate, and County Courts are considered Courts of Record in the state of Indiana.

<sup>3</sup> Indiana's 2007 projected population figure is 6,345,289. This figure was provided by the U.S. Census Bureau and can be found at: [www.census.gov/population/www](http://www.census.gov/population/www).



- b. The number of new cases filed in City and Town Courts in 2007 is 2.35% less than the number filed in 1998.
13. The following list shows 2007 new filings statistics for Marion County Small Claims Courts:
  - a. The 74,351 new cases filed in Marion County Small Claims Courts represent an increase of 3.48% over the previous year.
  - b. The number of new cases filed in Marion County Small Claims Courts in 2007 is 4.57% less than the number filed in 1998.
6. The case types with the largest number of cases disposed in 2007 were: Infractions, 837,049; Small Claims, 274,490, and Misdemeanors, 195,360.
7. Of the Criminal, Juvenile, Civil, and Probate/Adoption case categories, the Criminal category represents 66.84% of total cases disposed in 2007.
8. Of the Criminal, Juvenile, Civil, and Probate/Adoption case categories, the Probate/Adoption category revealed the most significant change with a 25.25% increase from 2006 dispositions.<sup>4</sup>
9. Of the 1,825,483 dispositions in 2007, 78.79% were disposed in Courts of Record.

---

#### **TRENDS AND HIGHLIGHTS IN THE 2007 DISPOSITIONS**

---

1. Indiana courts disposed of 1,825,483 cases in 2007, which represents a 5.64% increase over the previous year.
2. Corresponding with the '06 to '07 increase, the number of cases disposed in all Indiana courts in 2007 is 21.14% greater than the number disposed in 1998.
3. The most significant increase in case dispositions occurred in the Adoption History case category, which increased by 247.37% since 2006.
4. Other notable increases occurred in: Trusts, 103.56%; Guardianship, 62.86%; Criminal Felony, 29.58%; Civil Collections, 28.61%, and Juvenile Miscellaneous, 23.60%.
5. Decreases occurred in: Civil Plenary, -29.92%; Status Offenses, -13.80%; Torts, -9.28%; Miscellaneous Criminal, -8.86%, and Ordinance Violations, -6.73%.

---

#### **2007 WEIGHTED CASELOAD**

---

Several years ago Indiana began evaluating caseloads in trial courts with a weighted caseload measurement system. This system, which is highlighted further in other parts of this report, revealed a shortage of judicial officers statewide. In 2007, the overall state utilization average for courts was 1.21, suggesting that Indiana courts were operating at 21% above optimal capacity. Despite its many benefits, however, the weighted caseload measurement system addresses only available judicial officers and does not evaluate the vital role that support staff plays in the efficient operation of the court system. Many courts that reflect a need for additional judicial officers may operate efficiently as a result of the efforts of the support staff and the effective use of technology to maintain records and process cases.

---

<sup>4</sup> The Juvenile category consists of the following case types: Delinquency, Status, CHINS, Miscellaneous, Termination of Parental Rights and Paternity.

During 2007, the Judicial Administration Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference directed a major academic study of the Weighted Caseload process. It involved a detailed study of thousands of judicial actions in a new time study. In total, 32,627 actions were reported in 149 courts in 47 counties, including 20 Drug Courts. The results are expected to enhance the Weighted Caseload system and will be released in late 2008 or early 2009.

---

## 2007 FISCAL HIGHLIGHTS

---

Indiana's trial courts are financed primarily through county general revenue and a substantial portion of it comes from local property taxes. State General Fund revenues pay judicial salaries, appellate level courts, and defray some of the expenses associated with indigent criminal defense and guardian *ad litem* services for abused and neglected children, court interpreter services, *pro se* support, civil legal aid, Family Courts and Drug Courts. City and town funds pay for the respective city and town courts, while the townships in Marion County (the most populous Indiana County) fund the Marion County Small Claims Courts.

Property taxes have been a stable source of funding for the operation of the state's trial courts for many years. However, significant taxpayer angst recently has prompted some legislative changes to the property tax system. In response in part to taxpayer concern, Governor Mitchell E. Daniels appointed former Governor Joseph E. Kernan and Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard to a task force to examine ways to streamline government in order to lessen the property tax burden. One of the 27 recommendations of what has become known as the "Kernan-Shepard" report was to shift the cost of trial courts from the county to the state. Whether any changes

are made is up to the legislature and the executive.

The fiscal data shows an overall increase in 2007 expenditures and revenues. Total expenditures by the state, county, and local governmental units on the operation of the judicial system increased 10% from 2006.

All courts in the state, including city, town and Marion County Small Claims, generated a total of \$242,247,429 in revenue. Of that amount, \$117,991,618 (49%) went to state level funds, and \$106,911,830 (44%) went to a variety of county level funds. The remaining \$17,343,981 (7%) went to various local funds. An additional \$3,406,265 was generated by Marion County Small Claims Courts and paid to constables for service of process.

The state of Indiana spent \$130,612,547 during fiscal year 2006/2007 on the operation of the judicial system. The counties, which report on a calendar year basis, spent \$233,069,067; the cities, towns, and townships spent \$20,668,055 on their respective courts, for a total annual expenditure of \$384,349,669. Deducting the total revenues generated by the courts from the total expenditures results in a net cost of \$22.39 per Hoosier to operate the judicial system.

---

## FINAL NOTE

---

The production of this report would not be possible without the diligent work of hundreds of Indiana judges, court employees, and clerks who ensure access to justice and provide exceptional service to the citizens of Indiana. The Division is grateful to them for all of their assistance and to our own staff who coordinate the entire production of the Indiana Judicial Service Report each year.

---

## STATE OF THE JUDICIARY

---

### "A Court System with Reform in its Heart"

State of the Judiciary Address to a Joint Session of the  
Indiana General Assembly by Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard  
January 16, 2008

#### **Governor Daniels and Members of the General Assembly:**

My exertion over the last few months as respects reform in local government obliges me to report on what we're doing to reform Indiana's courts. What have we in the courts changed and what can we change to provide thoughtful and expeditious justice to the 6.3 million people who call Indiana home.

In contemplating the changes we are making, I especially thought of the many ways that Indiana's courts are seeking to serve better by embracing technology. Technology is perhaps the dominant story line of our age, in some ways a story about the generations. My grandmother used to tell me about the day when electricity came to town; my daughter Mattie has no memory of the day before laptops.

This will not be a report about bytes and bandwidth, but rather about what we can do that will actually make a difference in the lives of people.

For example, how can we do better at combating the scourge of domestic violence? A good many of society's institutions are engaged on this front, from police officers to prosecutors to social agencies to shelter sponsors. To be sure, the court system metes out punishment after the fact through criminal prosecution, but in the field of prevention, one of the leading tools is the protective order, which seeks to keep the abuser separated from potential victims. When a woman or child is threatened by the abusing boyfriend or the ex-husband, and a patrolman answers to a call of domestic disturbance, when that

moment of truth is at hand, how does the officer sort out the situation at the scene? Should the boyfriend even be there? How does the officer know whether the boyfriend is there in violation of a protective order, subject to arrest under a criminal statute you've recently toughened up.

It used to be that the officer could verify the existence of a protective order only by calling the county clerk's office, assuming the confrontation occurred during business hours. These gaps in information have sometimes resulted in tragedy.

Soon, every officer in the state will be able to access every protective order around the clock and virtually from the moment it is issued. We are more than halfway through installing a statewide registry that immediately sends these orders directly to the local police and sheriff's department (and enters them into the databases of the Indiana State Police and the FBI for good measure) so responding officers can act on them at the scene. No one doubts that this change, made possible through technology, will literally save life and limb for endangered women and children.

---

### **COMBATING DRUNK DRIVING**

---

And so it is with impaired driving. When the police arrest someone for drunk driving and they appear in court, the court suspends that person's license pending trial. From time immemorial, the news of that suspension went to the Bureau of Motor Vehicles by sending paper through the mail, so it was weeks before the

suspension showed up in the computers. It was entirely possible for a drunk driver to leave the courtroom, get behind the wheel of his car and head for the nearest tavern. An officer who stopped him for, say, a tail light violation, would check the computer, see the driver's license as being in good standing, issue a tail light citation, and send the potential drunk driver on his way.

As recently as two years ago, this was exactly the sort of thing that could happen most places in Indiana, because most suspension orders went to Indianapolis by hard copy. Now, ninety-five percent of the state's courts send that information by computer directly to BMV and law enforcement agencies. There can be no doubt that people who would otherwise have been the victims of drunk drivers are alive today because of this reform in the court information system.

And, so it will soon be with another kind of tragedy. We all cringe on those occasions when a state trooper or a local law enforcement officer is injured or even killed while writing a traffic citation at the roadside a few feet away from motorists passing by at 60 or 70 miles per hour.

Within the last few months, we launched an electronic citation system that uses hand-held equipment like that you see at rental-car agencies. Officers can scan the bar code on the back of a drivers license to create a ticket in five or six minutes rather than hand writing one in fifteen or twenty. It's the result of collaboration with the State Police, the BMV, the Criminal Justice Institute, and the judicial branch. The General Assembly has supported this project by making certain statutory changes, and the collaboration between the executive branch and the courts has been superb. There can't be a state where the three branches work in closer harmony on such projects. Because of this cooperation we'll get the officers and the drivers off the shoulder faster and everybody will be safer.

---

## FASTER CHILD SUPPORT

---

In yet another field, people who experience disintegration of a family rely on courts in a host of ways. To name but one, families, mostly the women and children, face hard times until they can get into court and obtain an order for child support. Our child support guidelines have helped move that along because lawyers handling divorces can estimate how much support a court is likely to order, depending on family income and expenses. What's new is that parents can now calculate that for themselves, over the internet. Last year, about 800 people a day did just that. It promotes settlement, reduces litigation, saves time, and translates into faster help for children in need.

That's a good story about simplifying, but there are still lots of things that can be confusing and difficult for people working their way through the courts. I'll mention three examples.

How do people summoned for jury duty know where in the courthouse to report? If you want to file a small claim on your own, how do you learn how to do that? If you forget your hearing date, how do you find out when you are due in court?

People who are going to the courthouse can now find their way through virtual courthouse tours, available so far in twenty-one counties. The internet program walks you through the building and guides you to the right room.

If you need to file something on your own, the Indiana court webpages will show you how to complete certain simple transactions, like uncontested divorces or name changes. We supply standard forms for those kinds of straightforward legal matters, and last year people downloaded 528,000 of them before going to court.

## ONE CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

And, as for problems like forgetting your court date, we are on the brink of something much bigger and more far-reaching: a twenty-first century case management system that connects all Indiana courts to each other and to state agencies that need and use court information. And 2007 was a watershed year for that initiative.

Three weeks ago Monday, ten Indiana courts, nine in Monroe County and the Washington Township Small Claims Court in Marion County, began using a new system (it's called "Odyssey") that provides internet access to all manner of case information, scheduling, court rulings, financial calculations made by county clerks, nearly everything about the 1.8 million cases filed in our state each year. Turning this system on for testing by real live court personnel reflected years of work by everyone from judges to clerks to prosecutors and, of course, technology experts. It will vastly improve the work we do in sentencing criminals, administering estates, collecting taxes, pursuing child support, and all the other things that people rely on courts to do for society.

This is all not just pushing a button. As Judge Ken Todd said to me last week, only when you see a change of this scale in action can you begin to appreciate the effort required. This massive undertaking has many hands on the lever, especially leaders like Lilly Judson and Mary DePrez, and others supporting our State Court Administration and our Judicial Technology and Automation Committee. People like Paul Mathias, Jeff Dywan, Andy Cain, Donna Edgar, John Kellam, Mary Wilson, our lead contractor Tyler Technologies, represented today by Kristin Wheeler, and the Daniels administration's Indiana Office of Technology, and the many legislators who carried or supported bills. The heroes in Monroe County include Chief Judge Ken Todd and his colleagues, County Clerk Jim Fielder, and the Prosecutor Chris Gaal. In

Washington Township, our heroes include Judge Kimberly Brown of the Small Claims Court.

And, finally, the individual whom everyone involved recognizes as having supplied the vision and the acumen and the monumental commitment to make all this happen: Justice Frank Sullivan, Jr.

I used the word heroes a moment ago because we don't call these pilot courts for nothing. These partners are working out the kinks for all of us. This is the most massive venture in the history of the Indiana court system, and the benefits to our citizens will exceed even the many we can already identify.

## REFORM IN MANY FIELDS

And there are a host of other initiatives, some using technology and others not, that reflect a court system with reform in its heart.

- In Steuben County and many others an automated telephone link advises potential jurors if their trial is still on schedule, so they can go to work instead of trudging to the courthouse only to learn the trial has been settled or postponed.
- In Indianapolis, a GPS system called Sentinel protects domestic violence victims before and after trial. The defendant and the alleged victim each receive a handset similar to a cell phone, keyed to areas the defendant cannot visit. If the defendant comes near the victim, an alert warning goes off. In one case, the defendant was arrested 31 minutes after the alert.
- In Noble County and others, television or the internet links the courthouse and the jail and many DOC facilities so arraignments,



conferences, and hearings can be conducted by video.

- The Hendricks County courts are about to open a work release center with space for educational classes and substance abuse counseling, saving taxpayer dollars because inmates pay to serve there and because it frees up jail cells.
- Marion County has devised an interactive system to handle the 10,000 probationers required to call in every day to learn if they are one of the 500 randomly selected for drug tests the next day. The number is easy to remember, 327-DRUG.
- Problem-solving courts represent a new technique of intensive intervention in specific problems. Vanderburgh and Dubois and Monroe and twenty-five other counties now have drug courts. A recent independent evaluation of Monroe County showed a 66% reduction in recidivism. Madison County is planning for a re-entry court based on the model invented in Fort Wayne that helps inmates reintegrate after returning from prison.
- Benton County and scores of others take our nationally-recognized jury pool list, feed it to their own database, and reach 99% of the eligible citizens in the county.
- Our Judicial Conference has launched an initiative to plan ahead for how courts will be ready for the retirement of the Baby Boom generation.
- We began offering county clerks a jury management system to use in the 2,000 jury trials we conduct each year.
- We signed on to be your partners in the new "Indiana Channel" and published and posted a host of

materials to promote the study of civics and citizenship in schools.

- In Lake County, with JTAC help, a new case management system is upgrading operation of the city and town courts. The Lake County Clerk's office has introduced on-line payment for traffic violations. Lake County will soon become our first county to begin electronic filing.
- We're about to pilot plans for operating local courts after disasters like tornadoes and floods.
- We celebrated the tenth anniversary of Indiana CLEO that helps minority and disadvantaged students become lawyers (and learned that New York has launched a similar initiative).
- We're at work recruiting and training a record number of volunteer advocates for abused and neglected children, with help from the Indiana Retired Teachers Association.
- We're modernizing the code of ethics for judges (ethics is important for lawyers and judges, who spent 27,000 hours in classrooms studying how to do right).
- We've quadrupled the number of trained mediators who help people resolve their disputes without the cost of litigation (and established a new record of counties where mediation is free).
- We devised a new system that will assure felons on probation who move from one county to the next can be monitored in the places where they live and work.

---

## CONCLUSION

---

In short, this is a judiciary with reform in its heart, its feet on the ground, and its mind focused on its customers. I thank you for helping us make it so.

# 2007 Report of the Division of State Court Administration

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of this subsection of the annual report are available on the Internet at the  
Indiana Supreme Court website at [www.in.gov/judiciary/admin](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin)

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Trial Court Management</b>	
1) Judicial Service Reports .....	12
2) Weighted Caseload Measures and Caseload Allocation Plans .....	12
3) Access to Court Records and Requests for Bulk Distribution of Court Records .....	13
4) Deployment of Trial Court Information on the Internet .....	14
5) State Office of Guardian <i>Ad Litem</i> /Court Appointed Special Advocate .....	14
6) Family Court Project .....	15
7) Approval of Local Alternative Dispute Resolution Plans for Domestic Relations Cases .....	16
8) Electronic Filing and Electronic Service Pilot Projects .....	17
9) Information Management .....	17
10) Certified Court Interpreter Program .....	18
11) Protection Order Proceedings .....	19
12) Continuity of Operations Planning for the Trial Courts .....	20
<b>Court Services</b>	
1) Accounts Management, Payroll and Claims, Judicial Benefits Coordination .....	20
2) Employment Law Services .....	21
3) Special Judges & Senior Judge Programs .....	21
4) Providing Assistance with Local Court Rules .....	22
5) Temporary Judicial Service .....	23
6) Civil Legal Aid Fund .....	24
7) Court Improvement Program Grant .....	24
8) Communication Link with Judges and Clerks .....	25
<b>Technology</b>	
1) Trial Court Technology and Automation .....	25
2) Appellate Court Automation and Technical Services .....	27
<b>Commissions and Committees – Staff Support</b>	
1) Judicial Nominating Commission/Indiana Commission on Judicial Qualifications .....	28
2) Rule Amendments and the Supreme Court Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure .....	28
3) Public Defender Commission .....	29
4) Indiana Conference for Legal Education Opportunity (CLEO) .....	29
5) Commission on Race and Gender Fairness .....	30
6) Indiana Project on Self-Represented Litigants – <i>Pro Se</i> Committee .....	30
7) Supreme Court Records Management Committee .....	31



# 2007 REPORT

## DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION

The year 2007 was a period of tremendous transition for the Division of State Court Administration, both in terms of its many projects and programs and its people. Capping off years of work by the Division and its JTAC section, the production of the Indiana Judicial Service Report was completed entirely online. The advent of the Indiana Courts Online Reports System in 2007 has enhanced both the efficiency and the accuracy of the entire report.

Along with the change in the production process, the Division also moved from its longstanding presence on the tenth floor of the National City Center to new quarters on the fifth floor at 30 South Meridian, the former home of the L.S. Ayres department store. The move not only enabled the Division and its JTAC section to inhabit the same building for the first time, but also allowed the Division to organize its entire staff more efficiently and to plan for future growth.

Personnel changes were also a large part of the Division's transition in 2007. Former Counsel to the Chief Justice, David J. Remondini, was hired in early 2007 as the Division's first Chief Deputy Executive Director. Former Vigo Superior Court Judge James R. Walker was named Director of Trial Court Management, and Indianapolis employment lawyer Brenda Rodeheffer was hired as the Division's new employment lawyer and given responsibility for managing the Division's new space at 30 South Meridian.

The JTAC section has enjoyed significant growth during 2007. Mary Wilson was hired as a Project Manager and, former Marion County Deputy

Prosecutor David Griffith came on board as JTAC's staff attorney.

During 2007 the Division embarked on a number of new projects to make it more accessible and useful to the Indiana judiciary. Planning for continuity in payroll and benefits administration in the event of a disaster was initiated. A new outreach program to more closely connect the Division and trial judges called BRIDGES (Building Relationships Individually – Giving Excellent Support) was unveiled. It will involve designating one Division attorney as the main point of contact for each trial judge in an effort to enhance communication on a regular basis. In addition, the *Court Times* was put on a bi-monthly schedule and completely redesigned. To provide more coordination among the many Supreme Court projects that help trial courts deal with the challenges families face in court, the Division has joined with the Indiana Judicial Center to form a central clearinghouse for information and improved communication.

The following pages will provide additional information on the many ways the Division is working to help Indiana's judiciary become more productive and efficient.

# TRIAL COURT MANAGEMENT

---

## 1) JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORTS

---

One core responsibility of the Division is the collection of statistical information concerning the operation of Indiana's courts and their offices. As required by Indiana Code § 33-24-6-3 and Indiana Supreme Court Administrative Rules 1 and 2, the Division collects and publishes information on the caseload and fiscal activities of all courts and probation departments throughout the state. This data is published annually in The Indiana Judicial Service Report and The Indiana Probation Report. This data provides the empirical basis for policy decisions by both the Indiana Supreme Court and the Indiana General Assembly, and also provides important management information for individual courts.

For the first time in Indiana, in 2007, every court and probation department filed all of their required statistical reports, including quarterly statistical reports (caseload, probation supervisions and Juvenile Law Services information), online using the Indiana Courts Online Reports (ICOR) system. Originally launched by the Division with the cooperation of JTAC in 2006 to enable courts to file Quarterly Case Status Reports (QCSR) online, the use of ICOR to file all required statistical reports electronically became mandatory in 2007. With the statistical information being filed electronically, the users of the data will enjoy greater access to the information as well as a greater ability to analyze the data when reviewing court services.

---

## 2) WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES AND CASELOAD ALLOCATION PLANS

---

Since the mid 1990's, the Division has employed a weighted caseload (WCL) measurement system to analyze the

statistical caseload data collected from the courts and report on judicial resource needs. Each year, the Division publishes a Weighted Caseload Report that provides a uniform, statewide method for comparing trial court caseloads. The system was first developed in 1993-1994 by a committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference and the Division, with the help of a consultant with nationally recognized expertise in weighted caseload measurement systems. The system was updated in 2002 and is again undergoing an update and revalidation. Indiana's caseload measurement system is based on time studies and actual case file audits and ascribes relative "weights" or "counts" to the different types of cases.

Presently, the Indiana Supreme Court has defined 34 different case types (Administrative Rule 8 identifies 35 case types but CB—Court Business does not receive a weight). Without a weighted system, each of these case types, whether murders or infractions, would receive a weight or count of "one." A WCL system provides a relative comparison between the different case types and allows courts and court policy makers to determine the sort of resources that would be necessary to handle the courts' caseloads.

Indiana Supreme Court Administrative Rule 1(E) requires the courts of record in a county to implement a caseload allocation plan to achieve an even distribution of the judicial workload among them. Based on the weighted caseload measures, the difference in utilization between any two courts of record within a county must not exceed a variance of 0.40.

Because the WCL system is based on statewide averages, it is important to recognize that it encompasses cases that are dismissed before any action is ever taken by a court, cases that are settled, cases that are reopened numerous times, and cases that require weeks to try. In addition, averages do not reflect specific local differences that may affect a particular county or court.



The most recent update of the Weighted Caseload study involves a detailed study of thousands of judicial actions and a new time study for certain criminal and juvenile cases. In total, 32,627 actions were reported in 149 courts in 47 counties, including 20 Drug Courts. The results are expected to enhance the Weighted Caseload system and will be released in late 2008 or early 2009.

In order to assist policy makers in accurately assessing a county's need for additional judicial officers, the Division also publishes a report on the relative severity of judicial resource need. The WCL system provides a tool for assessing the need for additional judges based on the number of cases being filed in a county. The "relative severity of need" concept provides a relative comparison of the need for new judges in each county.

This concept is best illustrated by an example. If the report indicates that County A and County B each need 2 additional judges, it may seem that their need is identical. Because of the number of judges already working in a county, however, the severity of the need may vary significantly. If County A already has 10 judges and needs 2 judges, it means that each of the 10 judges has to carry 120% of the expected caseload. On the other hand, if County B only has 2 judges and needs 2 more, it means that each of its existing judges is already handling double the expected caseload. The "relative severity" of County B's need for new judges is far greater than the need of County A.

The Weighted Caseload Measures report appears in this Volume in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Report section and also is available at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt).

### 3) ACCESS TO COURT RECORDS AND REQUESTS FOR BULK DISTRIBUTION OF COURT RECORDS

Administrative Rule 9 addresses public access to court records. The rule governs all case and administrative court records maintained and generated by every court and court agency in the state court system. The most novel concept in the rule is the requirement that information not available for public access must be filed on green paper. One significant provision in the rule charges that the Division review and grant or deny requests for bulk compilations of court information. Administrative Rule 9 defines "bulk distribution" as "the distribution of all, or a significant subset of the information in court records in electronic form, as is, and without modification or compilation." This duty also requires the development and execution of a user agreement between the Division and the requesting party. The agreements expire annually, but may be renewed. During 2007, the Division received 7 renewal requests for bulk records and executed the requisite user agreements. A list of the approved bulk records requesters, along with copies of their user agreements, may be found at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt/bulk-data](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt/bulk-data). Many trial courts post court information on the Internet as permitted by Trial Rule 77(K). If a court contracts with a third party vendor to do so, the vendor must also execute a bulk data user agreement with the Division.

Education about and assistance with the application of the provisions of Administrative Rule 9 on public access to court records continues to be a significant Division function. The Division expects to review and enhance the online handbook in 2008 to address the issues that have arisen concerning public access to court records.

---

#### **4) DEPLOYMENT OF TRIAL COURT INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET**

---

Rapid advancements in technology and the efficiency it affords have prompted some of Indiana's courts to seek ways to post docket information on the Internet. In an effort to both encourage and ensure that only public court information is deployed, and deployed appropriately, the Court promulgated Trial Rule 77(K). This rule provides that before any court or clerk deploys any court information on the Internet, it must seek and receive authorization from the Division.

During 2007, Division staff reviewed and approved numerous such requests. The list of approved counties can be viewed at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts/tr77-approval.html](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts/tr77-approval.html). Of the 92 counties in Indiana, 51 have been approved to post their docket information. In addition, 5 city courts post their docket information pursuant to Trial Rule 77(K). Most courts post chronological case summaries (CCS), parties and calendar information. Late in 2007, Monroe County and Marion County Small Claims Division, Washington Township, began posting the CCS, parties and calendar information online through the Odyssey case management system.

The Division's Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC) staff, which is responsible for the development and maintenance of the Indiana Judicial website, developed individual web pages for each of Indiana's counties, listing contact information for all clerks and courts. The county websites also contain other useful information such as the local court rules, directions to the county courts and photographs of the often architecturally unique courthouses. The local websites are listed at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts). The websites are continually updated when the Division receives or approves additional rule related information.

---

#### **5) STATE OFFICE OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM/COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATE**

---

In child abuse and neglect cases, the needs of the child-victims are often overlooked while the attorneys and the court focus on addressing the parents' problems. Guardian *Ad Litem* and Court Appointed Special Advocates serve as representatives of abused and neglected children in Child in Need of Services, or "CHINS", cases so that their interests are protected and their voices are heard. In 1989, the General Assembly established a program for Guardian *Ad Litem* and Court Appointed Special Advocate ("GAL/CASA") services, to be administered by the Division.

Through this program, counties that operate a certified GAL/CASA program receive matching state grants that are administered and disbursed by the Division based on a statutory formula. In order to be certified, programs must comply with the Supreme Court's GAL/CASA Program Standards and Code of Ethics, provide annual statistics, a budget and a financial statement regarding the use of the grant funds. The Division's State Office of GAL/CASA ("State Office"), through its State Director and Program Coordinator, oversees the certification process and ensures compliance with the program standards. The State Office also holds an annual conference and provides training and support services for local GAL/CASA programs.

Sixty-three of Indiana's 92 counties were certified and received state GAL/CASA matching funds in 2007. Sixty-six counties in Indiana funded a volunteer-based GAL/CASA program, staffed by 164 paid personnel. Of the 66 counties with volunteer-based programs, 32 counties had court-based programs, 24 counties had programs that were separate non-profit entities, and 10 counties had programs that were operated under the


umbrella of another non-profit entity. The remaining 26 counties appointed either attorney GALs or utilized other, paid GALs. During 2007, GAL/CASA volunteers donated an estimated 508,973 hours. If the contribution of GAL/CASA volunteers is calculated using the rate customarily paid to non-volunteer appointed GALs (\$50 hourly), the volunteers contributed an estimated \$25.5 million dollars to the State of Indiana.

There were at least 2,161 active GAL/CASA volunteers statewide in 2007 including 604 newly trained volunteers. GAL/CASA volunteers advocated for 7,322 children in CHINS cases and 1,353 children in termination of parental right cases that were filed in 2007. Even so, there were at least 3,047 children still waiting for a GAL/CASA volunteer to be appointed to their cases at the end of 2007.

On November 16, 2007, the State Office held its annual meeting for GAL/CASA directors and staff, and on November 17, sponsored the Eleventh Annual Indiana State GAL/CASA Conference. Over 450 GAL/CASA volunteers, local program staff and directors, service providers, and other child welfare personnel attended the annual CASA conference. The State Office also provided training for new GAL/CASA program directors, held a Facilitator's Training, and gave numerous local and regional training sessions in 2007.

The Indiana General Assembly passed legislation in 2005 requiring the appointment of a GAL/CASA for every child in every CHINS case. In 2007, the General Assembly substantially increased the funding for GAL/CASA programs to assist the programs in serving every child. The additional funds will assist local programs in their efforts to recruit and train more volunteers so that every child can have a GAL/CASA to speak for them while they are in the child welfare system. In

addition, in 2007, the State Office and the Indiana Retired Teachers Association ("IRTA") formed a partnership to encourage retired teachers to serve as GAL/CASA volunteers in CHINS cases. This collaboration was launched with a press conference given by Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard and Governor Mitchell E. Daniels.



For more information, see the GAL/CASA statistical reports in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

## 6) FAMILY COURT PROJECT

The Family Court Project was initiated in 1999 as a cooperative effort between the General Assembly and the Indiana Supreme Court. The purpose of the Project is to develop common sense models to better serve children and families in our courts. The initial emphasis of the Family Court Project was to develop models to coordinate families who have multiple cases pending before multiple judges.

Beginning in 2000, three pilot counties developed effective family court models under the administration of the Division of State Court Administration, with guidance from a statewide Family Court Task Force.

The Supreme Court established 4 Family Court Rules exclusively for the use of the family court projects. These rules address judicial notice, jurisdiction, and confidentiality issues to promote information sharing on troubled families. These rules may be found online at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/rules](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/rules).

Every two years the Supreme Court selects new counties to join the Indiana Family Court Project. Currently 23 counties participate in 17 single and regional family court projects. The projects receive assistance from the family court program manager under the direction of the Division of State Court Administration, and two-year seed funding from the Supreme Court to establish programming. Extended funding is available to help counties transition to local government and grant resources.

In each family court project, the local judiciary and community work collaboratively to develop programs particularized to local needs. While all projects must include some type of judicial coordination of multiple case families, programming has expanded to include non-adversarial dispute resolution and other programming for high-risk, low-income, and/or *pro se* families. The original counties remain actively involved in the project and continue to share ideas and mentor new pilot counties.

Phase V of the Family Court Project will begin in 2008. Two new counties were chosen in 2007 and are currently developing their programming. Information about the individual county projects is available on the Supreme Court's web site under family court programming.

For more information, see the Family Courts statistical reports in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

## **7) APPROVAL OF LOCAL ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PLANS FOR DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES**

---

The Indiana General Assembly passed House Enrolled Act 1034 in 2003, authorizing for the first time the creation of alternative dispute resolution programs in domestic relations cases in each of Indiana's 92 counties. The statute was modeled after a pilot program first implemented in Allen County by Judge Thomas Felts. The act was effective on July 1, 2003. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Program in Domestic Relations cases under Indiana Code §33-23-6 permits a county to collect a \$20.00 fee from a party filing for a legal separation, paternity or dissolution case. This fee is placed in a separate fund and may be used for mediation, reconciliation, nonbinding arbitration and parental counseling in the county in which it is collected. Money in the fund must primarily benefit litigants who have the least ability to pay. Litigants with current criminal charges or convictions of certain crimes relating to domestic violence are excluded from participating.

A County wishing to participate in an ADR program must develop an ADR plan that is consistent with the statute and that is approved by a majority of the county's judges with jurisdiction over domestic relations and paternity cases. The Executive Director of the Division of State Court Administration must approve the plan, in accordance with ADR Rule 1.11. The counties are required to file an annual report summarizing the ADR program each year. Currently there are twenty-five counties with approved ADR plans (Allen, Boone, Brown, Clark, Crawford, DeKalb, Henry, Jackson, Johnson, Lake, Lawrence, Marion, Martin, Monroe, Montgomery, Orange, Owen, Perry, Pike, Porter, Putnam, St. Joseph, Shelby, Starke and Tippecanoe).



The Division has approved plans in the following areas: mediation services for litigants; free mediation days; payment for training of attorneys and others in exchange for handling a number of mediation cases in a set period of time; parental counseling; and other ADR services. Courts in various counties are creative in the use of the ADR funds to provide a wide range of alternative dispute resolution services under the statute including facilitation, conflict resolution classes, anger management classes, parenting coordination and intensive in-home case management, all of which fall under the general categories of parental counseling and reconciliation listed in the ADR statute.

More than 2,700 children were affected by the ADR fund plans in 2007. Fifty-two percent of the cases accepted under ADR fund plans in 2007 comprised dissolutions involving children.

For more information, see the ADR statistical reports in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

## **8) ELECTRONIC FILING AND ELECTRONIC SERVICE PILOT PROJECTS**

---

In an effort to encourage advancements in trial court technology, the Supreme Court promulgated Administrative Rule 16, which provides guidance to courts seeking to implement systems for electronic filing. An Appendix to the rule was subsequently published to identify the necessary elements that must be included in an e-filing pilot project plan. Two counties (Lake and White) filed proposals for review and approval by the Division for pilot e-filing systems. The Lake County plan was approved in 2007 but has not been implemented, and the White County plan will be redrafted in 2008.

Courts interested in implementing pilot e-filing systems must submit proposed plans to the Division, preferably following the format used in the Appendix. Pilot projects of this nature involve various issues, including compatibility with not only existing case management systems but also with Odyssey, the planned statewide system; fees; document retention; case types included; security; accessibility by self-represented litigants; software and hardware necessary for implementation, and proof of service.

The Division worked closely with Justice Brent Dickson and JTAC in developing the appendix and reviewing the pilot project proposal from Lake County. The Division, working with the courts to help make their pilot projects successful, hopes to create or adapt a model plan for use by future applying courts, based partially on the approved plans.

---

## **9) INFORMATION MANAGEMENT**

---

The Information Management Section assists trial court clerks and judges with the application of Administrative Rules 6 through 14, 16, and Trial Rule 77. The Administrative Rules set standards for records creation, maintenance, access, and disposal. Trial Rule 77 sets standards for case files, indexes, chronological case summaries (CCS), and records of judgments and orders (RJO).

In 2007, the Section staff made 38 visits to 26 different counties to review microfilming programs for compliance with Administrative Rule 6, application of court records retention schedules, the use of optical imaging for judicial records, and surveying protection order records. One special example occurred in Orange County. Due to remodeling, the court's records storage area was eliminated. Working with the judge, clerk, and a vendor, 14,200 dismissed (38% of all)

cases were destroyed, and an imaging system was approved for permanent and current records.

The primary activity of the Section consisted of review and approval of imaging proposals and authorizing the physical disposal of trial court records that had been either microfilmed or scanned. Imaging approvals were issued for Jefferson, LaGrange, Madison, Orange, Switzerland, and Wabash. In addition, the Section worked with probation departments in Madison, Shelby, and Wayne Counties regarding imaging, and approved the system for Madison Probation Department. The Section issued 53 individual letters approving destruction of records upon microfilming and 41 letters approving destruction after scanning. Currently, 18 county trial courts have approved imaging systems; an additional 10 are under review. Additional major imaging functions included meetings with JTAC to discuss imaging as a component of the judiciary's state-wide case management system, and working with clerks and vendors in establishing a generic imaging approval form.

Section staff also assisted trial judges and clerks by making group presentations and answering individual questions. The staff made presentations at the Association of Clerks of Circuit Courts of Indiana annual and regional meetings. In addition, the staff participated in meeting with the clerks association's records management committee, and fielded numerous telephone, fax, and e-mail inquiries.

Providing assistance to the Supreme Court's Record Management Committee, which met twice in 2007, is another important function of the staff.

Section staff also worked with the Genealogical Society of Utah and the Indiana Commission on Public Records in microfilming trial court records and helped them and the Indiana Genealogical

Society in the implementation of an indexing program for Indiana marriage records.

---

## **10) CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER PROGRAM**

---

Following the study of language and cultural barriers in Indiana courts, the Indiana Supreme Court Commission on Race and Gender Fairness made an interim recommendation to the Supreme Court to develop a certified court interpreter program for Indiana. In response, the Supreme Court authorized the Executive Director of the Division of State Court Administration to join with the National Center for State Courts to implement an Indiana court interpreter testing system. Indiana's Court Interpreter Certification Program was officially launched in January 2003.

The Court adopted a five-part process for foreign language interpreter certification. The process starts with a two-day orientation instructing candidates on judicial procedure, protocol and courtroom decorum; the role of an interpreter; ethical issues; skills and modes of interpreting; and terminology. Indiana-specific laws and rules are presented at orientation. Candidates also may practice interpreting skills and receive feedback from instructors.

The second phase is a written exam, comprised of two components. The first component, a multiple choice exam in English, tests candidates on general English vocabulary, court-related terms and usage, common English idioms, and court interpreter ethics and professional conduct. Candidates must receive at least a score of 80 percent to go on to the next phase. The second component requires candidates to translate several sentences with legal terms from English into Spanish. Currently, this portion of the written exam




is utilized only to provide candidates with feedback about their performance.

The third phase of the certification process is a two-day skills building workshop in which candidates practice skills for various interpreting scenarios and are given constructive feedback by instructors. Once a candidate completes the skills building workshop, the candidate is eligible to take the oral foreign language proficiency examination. The oral exam covers the following modes of interpretation: sight translation, consecutive interpreting and simultaneous interpreting. Candidates must score at least 70 percent on all three sections in order to pass. Finally, a candidate must successfully undergo a criminal background check before becoming certified by the Indiana Supreme Court.

This past calendar year Indiana tested in the Spanish and French languages. Sixty-six (66) candidates took the oral exam; sixty-four (64) for Spanish and two (2) for French. Fourteen (14) candidates passed the Spanish oral exam in its entirety and fourteen (14) other candidates passed sections of the exam. One candidate passed all sections of the French oral exam, and the other French candidate passed at least one portion of the test. To date, Indiana has successfully conducted nine interpreter sessions and increased the pool of certified interpreters to fifty-two (52) for the state. Session ten of the Indiana Court Interpreter Certification Program began with orientation on May 16, 2008.

In December 2007, the Supreme Court held a swearing-in ceremony to honor the individuals who recently passed the certification process. Justice Boehm served as master of ceremonies. Former Justice Myra Selby and Ruth Rivera, chair of the State Bar's Latino Affairs Committee, also provided remarks.

This year the Indiana Supreme Court also awarded \$168,250 in foreign language interpreter grants to 40 county court systems to encourage trial courts to use certified interpreters and to help trial courts defray the costs of interpretation.



Beginning in 2004, the Division began tracking and reporting use of court interpreter services. While court interpreter services may be provided in every case type before the Indiana courts, the Division seeks to track only those interpreter services that were provided by the county, at county or partial county expense in the listed case types. The frequently used languages are: Spanish, American Sign Language, Arabic, Burmese, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Japanese, Korean, Mandarin, Portuguese, Russian, Serbian, and Vietnamese.

## 11) PROTECTION ORDER PROCEEDINGS

The Indiana General Assembly has given the Division the responsibility for designing and updating the forms used in protection order proceedings. To fulfill this task, the Division has worked very closely with the Protection Order Committee. The membership of the committee includes trial court judges, magistrates, commissioners, and clerks of the circuit courts. The Indiana Judicial Center and the Division provide staff support for the committee.

The committee has developed a comprehensive set of forms that fall into three major categories:

- protective orders,
- no-contact orders, and
- workplace violence restraining orders.

During 2007, members of the committee targeted their considerable talents and efforts in three main directions:

(1) designing new forms and modifying existing forms for the Protection Order Forms website;

(2) assisting the Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC) with the Protection Order Registry; and

(3) educating judges, clerks, and other interested groups about the protection order process.

All the forms may be found on the Protection Order Forms page of the Indiana Judicial Website, [www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms/po.html](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms/po.html). In addition, the committee has developed a Protection Order Deskbook that is also available on the Protection Order Forms website. One of the anticipated goals for the committee for 2008 is to revise and update the Protective Order Deskbook.

---

## **12) CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS PLANNING FOR THE TRIAL COURTS**

---

Sparked by concerns for the continued operation of judicial institutions in the aftermath of natural or other disasters, the Chief Justice charged the Division to work with the Judicial Conference Court Management Committee and help Indiana's trial courts plan for disasters. Plans to address these situations are commonly known as "COOPs" (Continuity of Operations Plans).

The Court Management Committee designed a template that was distributed to judges at the Judicial Conference in the fall of 2006.

In 2007, the Supreme Court adopted a new administrative rule, Administrative Rule 17, which had been proposed by the committee to address disaster preparedness for all courts. The rule, effective January 1, 2008, allows the Supreme Court, upon petition from a trial court or *sua sponte*, to enter appropriate orders to ensure the orderly and fair administration of justice in the event of natural disasters, widespread disease outbreaks or other exigent circumstances that require the closure of courts or that inhibit the ability of litigants or litigants to comply with statutory deadlines or rules of court procedure.

## **COURT SERVICES**

---

### **1) ACCOUNTS MANAGEMENT, PAYROLL AND CLAIMS, JUDICIAL BENEFITS COORDINATION**

---

The Division maintains and administers 21 accounts, totaling approximately \$120,000,000. This fiscal responsibility includes the administration of payroll and benefit programs for all state trial court judges, prosecuting attorneys, and other judicial officials paid with state funds. The annual payroll accounting for these purposes total approximately \$77,000,000, and cover approximately 700 individuals. As part of this "paymaster" function, the Division processes and pays more than 1,300 claims per year for special and senior judge services.

During 2007, the Division worked in concert with the Indiana Judicial Center and conducted numerous educational sessions on judicial benefits, retirement, and payroll. They updated and published,

in accordance with Administrative Rule 5 (A), a schedule for payment of senior judges and continued efforts to inform constituents about the payroll and benefit processes. In addition, the Division assisted individuals with the process of navigating through People Soft during Open Enrollment and answered questions relating to the various benefit offerings.

## **2) EMPLOYMENT LAW SERVICES**

Trial judges have the potential for legal liability in their administrative functions, particularly employment and management decisions. Various federal and state statutes and rules, as well as federal and state caselaw, affect the administrative decisions of trial judges. Since 1996, a Division attorney has been provided to give advice and assistance to trial judges on employment law issues and concerns. In addition to providing advice upon request, the attorney can assist the trial judges in investigating complaints about or within the trial court's staff members, answering EEOC charges, and acting as a liaison with the Office of the Attorney General on open cases.

The attorney also offers trainings to the staff of the trial judges upon request, and is available for presentations and seminars for the wider court community. Topics addressed include Family & Medical Leave Act, accommodation for disabilities, sexual harassment awareness and prevention, the Fair Labor Standards Act, effective employee management, drug testing, and ethics for court employees. The attorney also writes a regular column in the *Court Times* to keep the trial judges current on law that impacts their administrative decisions.

Since 2000, a Division attorney has served as legal counsel for the Board of Law Examiners, including representing the interests of the Board of Law Examiners in appeal hearings brought by bar applicants

who have been denied permission to practice law.

## **3) SPECIAL JUDGES AND SENIOR JUDGE PROGRAMS**

The Division's legal staff serves as counsel to the Supreme Court in matters involving requests for the appointment of special judges, special masters, and senior judges. The Division staff also conducts preliminary investigations of disciplinary grievances filed against members and staff of the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission and attorneys who are serving as hearing officers in disciplinary cases.

Supreme Court rules governing the method of special judge selection call for the establishment of local rules for such selection and certification to the Supreme Court in certain circumstances. The Division monitors local rules establishing plans for special judge selection and processes requests for the appointment of special judges by the Supreme Court. In 2007, the Division received 80 new requests for special judge appointments.

Since 1989, Indiana has been able to tap into an experienced pool of former judges to help alleviate the pressure of increasing caseloads. Enabling legislation provides that a former judge may apply to the Indiana Judicial Nominating Commission for certification as a senior judge under rules adopted by the Indiana Supreme Court. The legislation further provides that any trial court and the Indiana Court of Appeals may request that the Indiana Supreme Court appoint a senior judge to assist that court. The Division administers the senior judge program.

In 2003, the Indiana Supreme Court developed a comprehensive set of standards for the certification, service, appointment and payment of senior

judges. This rule enables the Supreme Court to allocate senior judge time to courts with the heaviest caseloads while still allowing all courts to have sufficient senior judge help (a minimum of 10 days per year) to relieve trial judges during necessary absences from the bench.

The Division's administration of the senior judge program includes processing certification applications and orders of certification, requests for appointments, weighted caseload comparisons and orders of appointment. The Division also administers senior judge benefits and processes claims for payment of per diem expenses.

Small at first, the Indiana senior judge program has grown into an invaluable resource of seasoned judicial officers who serve at minimal cost to the state and no cost to the counties. In 2007, Indiana had 84 certified senior judges who served a total of 3,805 days. These days are equivalent to approximately 21 full-time judicial officers.

For more information, see the Senior Judge statistical reports in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

#### **4) PROVIDING ASSISTANCE WITH LOCAL COURT RULES**

---

A new day has arrived in Indiana in how local court rules are communicated to the bar and the public. In 2004, at the request of its Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Indiana Supreme Court initiated a project designed to ensure that local court rules are readily available to practitioners, litigants, and the public. The Supreme Court also sought to bring uniformity to the numbering of local rules and in the process whereby local rules are amended.

The initiative was spearheaded by a special Local Rules Committee, chaired by Court of Appeals Judge Margret Robb. After extensive research and study of existing local court rules, the committee recommended, and the Supreme Court approved, significant amendments to Trial Rule 81 governing how trial courts promulgate local rules. The amendments, which took effect on January 1, 2005, provided for a two-year transition period.

This rule requires local courts to post their rules on the Indiana Judicial Website and to post them locally on the county website, if available, or with the county court clerk. Any proposed amendments to the local court rules must also be transparent under TR 81. Local courts must transmit in digital format proposed rules, or changes to existing rules, to the Division for posting on the official court website. They must also transmit them to local court clerks for posting on their respective websites. Finally, local courts must give notice to the officers of any local county bar association of any proposed or amended local court rule.

Trial Rule 81 gave certain duties to the Division of State Court Administration, including establishing a schedule and a format for adopting or amending local court rules. The Division has published on the Indiana Judicial Website an annual schedule and standard format for drafting, amending, and numbering local court rules.

All courts of record in the county use one set of renumbered local rules. Local court rules, historically available mainly on courthouse bulletin boards, are now published on the Internet at the official website of the Indiana Judiciary, [www.IN.gov/judiciary](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary).

The Division legal staff provides on-going assistance to Indiana's trial courts in their efforts to propose new rules, amend existing local rules, and keep the

bar and the public informed about these activities. JTAC maintains the website where these local court rules are posted. However, the primary responsibility remains with the local courts to see that their court rules are kept up-to-date and in conformity with the Indiana Rules of Court.

## 5) TEMPORARY JUDICIAL SERVICE

The Division oversees several programs for temporary judicial services.

**Private Judges.** The Indiana General Assembly has provided by statute that, in certain circumstances, litigants can agree to try certain civil cases before a private judge who is compensated by the litigants (I.C. § 33-13-15-1 *et seq.*). The Division maintains a roster of private judges and administers requests and appointments of private judges.

A person who is not currently a judge of a circuit, superior, criminal, probate, municipal, or county court, but who has served as a judge for at least four (4) consecutive years may serve as a private judge. A private judge must be admitted to practice law in Indiana and be an Indiana resident. A former judge who wishes to serve as a private judge must register with the Executive Director of the Division. The Executive Director compiles and periodically updates a list of registered private judges that is made available to the public.

Parties to an action that qualifies, who wish to have it heard by a private judge, must submit a written petition to the Executive Director requesting a private judge and naming the judge. The Executive Director verifies that the former judge is qualified as required by the statutory provisions and then forwards the petition to the selected private judge.

The parties then obtain and file the written consent of the private judge in the court where the case is filed. The parties may present the petition and consent either contemporaneously with the filing of the case in the trial court or after the case has been filed. The regular judge of the court in which the case is filed actually appoints the private judge.

The parties pay a private judge. The compensation contract must include terms for compensation of all personnel and the costs of facilities and materials as determined by the Clerk of the Circuit Court. Requests for private judges are rare, with the first one taking place in 2004 and one each in 2005 and 2006, and two in 2007. For the most current list of registered private judges, look on the judicial website at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/private-judges/roster.html](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/private-judges/roster.html).

**Judge *Pro Tempore*.** Indiana law allows a judge *pro tempore* (temporary judge) to sit in the place of a regular judge who is unavailable. Indiana Trial Rule 63 makes provisions for local appointments and also for appointments of such judges by the Supreme Court in cases where the sitting judge is either disabled or unavailable to serve as judge. In 2006, the Court amended Trial Rule 63 to clarify the process for judges seeking *pro tempore* appointments due to illness and military duty as two examples. The Division is responsible for administering requests for judges *pro tempore* and preparing the orders appointing them. In 2007, the Supreme Court made seven such appointments. The circumstances surrounding these appointments range from absences due to military service, temporary medical conditions, and vacancies created by retirement or death that exist until the Governor fills the vacancy.

To be appointed a judge *pro tempore* the individual must be an attorney in good standing with the bar of the Indiana Supreme Court. The judge *pro*



*tempore* has the authority of the judge that is being temporarily replaced, subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.

---

## **6) CIVIL LEGAL AID FUND**

---

Since 1997, the Division has administered the distribution of a \$1,000,000 annual appropriation from the Indiana General Assembly to aid qualified organizations providing legal assistance to indigent persons in civil cases. In 2007, the Division made distributions to 12 organizations providing civil legal aid services to Indiana's poor. These 12 organizations provided services to over 23,000 clients. Distributions are based upon an analysis of each county's civil caseload as it relates to the civil caseload for the entire state, and the number of organizations serving each county. In 2007, the General Assembly increased the annual appropriation for the Fund to \$1.5 million.

Data indicates that the vast majority of cases handled by these providers continue to involve domestic relations matters such as divorce, separation, custody, visitation, paternity, termination of parental rights, and spousal abuse.

For more information, see the Civil Legal Aid Fund report in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

## **7) COURT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM GRANT**

---

The Indiana Supreme Court continued its Court Improvement Program (CIP) in 2007 under the leadership of its Court Improvement Executive Committee. All three grants awarded in 2006 were again awarded in 2007.

The Division serves as the fiscal administrator of federal grant funds earmarked for improving the judicial system for abused and neglected children in foster care, while the Indiana Judicial Center provides substantive program administration. Federal grants are available in three general categories: basic court improvements, collaborative training and data collection.

Although the purpose and overall framework of the project are set by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the American Bar Association's Center on Children and the Law, the Supreme Court and the members of the Executive Committee have guided the direction of the Indiana program.

CIP now has an ongoing multi-disciplinary task force that provides input and guidance regarding how CIP funds can be used. CIP staff has been very involved in the Child and Family Services Review conducted by the federal government in Indiana in July 2007. CIP funds continue to support Family Courts as well as JTAC and its work as it effects the processing of child welfare cases. In addition, CIP has recently helped fund a mental health court in Allen County and continues to support the CHINS Drug Court in Vanderburgh County.

At the local level, Indiana CIP helped fund a day long training seminar organized by Indiana Advocates for Children, Inc. and the Marion County Juvenile Court that addressed immigration issues for children in the juvenile court system. CIP is also providing funding to the Workplace Spanish® Training Program that has been developed through a partnership with the Indiana Supreme Court and IVY Tech Community College. CIP funds are provided to staff, such as CASA, that would otherwise not be eligible for these classes because they are not court sponsored or employed by their local courts.



At the regional level, CIP has collaborated with the Indiana Department of Child Services to provide an introduction to the department's child welfare practice reform for judges, court staff, CASA's and public defenders.

In December, 2007, Indiana CIP sponsored The Indiana Summit on Children—Partners Planning for Permanency, an opportunity for judges as well as the Department of Child Services staff and other community stakeholders to meet, collaborate and explore ways that everyone involved in Indiana's child welfare system can positively impact the outcomes for children and families who enter the child welfare system. The Data Grant is being used to develop tools which can evaluate court performance.

The Indiana Supreme Court anticipates that the innovative programs developed through this multiple grant funding will continue to improve the delivery of services to Indiana's children.

---

## 8) COMMUNICATION LINK WITH JUDGES AND CLERKS

---

The Division staff continues to provide a communication link with the trial courts, clerks and their staffs through a newsletter, the *Indiana Court Times*. In 2007, the Division began plans to revamp the "look and feel" of the *Court Times* and move it to its current production schedule of six issues per year.

In addition, routine e-mail communications are a regular part of the Division's contact with the judiciary. The Division maintains an updated e-mail directory for all judges, magistrates and clerks and provides JTAC-funded email service for courts and clerks who cannot fund it. In 2006, the Division created a judicial "listserv" that enables all Indiana judicial officers to communicate and share

ideas in a convenient forum. It went live in 2007.

The Division also communicates with the courts and clerks via the ICOR program which is the portal for online statistical reporting.

## TECHNOLOGY

---

### 1) TRIAL COURT TECHNOLOGY AND AUTOMATION

---

A major milestone was achieved in 2007 in improving trial court technology in Indiana when ten courts began using "Odyssey," a computer system that courts and court clerks use to record and manage information on pending cases. Odyssey was installed in the nine Circuit Courts of Monroe County and in the Marion County Washington Township Small Claims Court by the Supreme Court's Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC) as the initial step in its goal to equip all Indiana courts with a 21st-century case management system and connect the courts' case management systems with each other and with those who use and need court information. Included in the installation was free public access to information about the cases in the ten courts via the Supreme Court's website ([www.IN.gov/judiciary](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary)).

Work on developing such a statewide case management system began in earnest in 2002 following authorization by the Indiana General Assembly and its dedication of a portion of court filing fees to fund the project. The project suffered a substantial setback in 2005 when JTAC and the primary project vendor terminated their relationship. Following a new, ten-month procurement process, JTAC selected Tyler Technologies, Inc., a firm with significant experience in court and government

operations, to provide Indiana courts and clerks with its Odyssey product. In addition to the installation of Odyssey in the ten courts mentioned above, 2007 also saw intensive work on resolving problems related to the installation, major efforts to upgrade the Odyssey product to meet the needs of Indiana courts and clerks, and major strides toward installing Odyssey in courts in as many as eight additional counties in 2008-09.

In addition to the launch of and continuing work on Odyssey, JTAC had numerous other exciting developments in 2007.

#### **Protection Order Registry (POR)**

– Indiana trial courts regularly issue orders to protect potential victims of domestic violence. Getting those orders into the hands of law enforcement and others who need them as soon as possible after they are issued enhances the safety of those involved in domestic violence disputes. With the assistance of federal funds and a number of state and local agencies, the electronic "Protection Order Registry" (POR) notifies local, state and national law enforcement databases within minutes of a judge's order. The POR began operation in 2007, and 33 counties -- including the state's two largest -- were using it by the year's end. Work is underway to deploy the POR in all Indiana counties by the end of 2008.

**Electronic Citation and Warning System (eCWS)** – With federal funding and the help of law enforcement partners, JTAC developed the "Electronic Citation and Warning System" (eCWS) to use scanners and other technology to increase greatly the speed at which traffic tickets are issued. The Indiana State Police implemented the system in 2007, and several local law enforcement agencies also began using eCWS in 2007. A scanner reads the barcode on the driver license and registration, populating the e-ticket to save valuable time during stops and reduce data errors. Used in

conjunction with Odyssey in Monroe County, several thousand traffic tickets have already been filed electronically using eCWS that previously would have been processed by hand.

**Marriage License e-File** – More than 5,000 Indiana marriage licenses were issued through JTAC's new Marriage License e-File system in 2007. Used in 35 counties, the system eliminates the need to handwrite applications and record data in paper record books. The system transfers appropriate data electronically to the Indiana State Department of Health and Indiana State Library. Work is underway to enhance the system by using barcode scanners to input data from a driver license and providing free access to public data for people researching their family tree via the Internet.

**Jury Management System** – The Jury Management System project builds on the success of JTAC's nationally recognized Jury Pool Project that generates the most inclusive Jury Pool List ever available. The Jury Management System helps courts and clerks create jury lists, labels, summonses, and reimbursement records. It was piloted in 2007 in 19 Indiana counties.

**JTAC-BMV Project** – In 2005, JTAC and the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles (BMV) launched a joint initiative to help courts comply with federal requirements that demanded faster reporting to the BMV of serious violations committed by commercial drivers. Using a computer program developed by JTAC called INcite, average transmission time from courts to the BMV of certain traffic infraction information dropped from 53 to eight days. And the courts sending this traffic infraction information to the BMV electronically (instead of by mail or fax) increased from 33 to more than 180.

**ICOR Project** – The "Indiana Courts Online Reports" (ICOR) project enables courts and probation departments

to file their statistical reports with the Indiana Supreme Court over the Internet, rather than by mail or fax. These reports provide quarterly information on such matters as the filing and disposition of cases and annual fiscal information. Using this information, the Supreme Court publishes this Annual Judicial Service Report, providing vital information for long-range planning and other policy decisions by the Supreme Court, the General Assembly, and others.

**Indiana Courts Website**  
([www.IN.gov/judiciary](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary)) – JTAC develops and maintains the Internet website for Indiana courts, containing information about Indiana trial courts, city and town courts, and county clerks, including contact information, local rules, and online tours. The website has extensive sections on Indiana appellate courts and their agencies, judicial committees, programs and initiatives discussed in this report. Visitors can also access public court records from Odyssey and webcasts of oral arguments. Appellate opinions and the Child Support Calculator are the two most popular features of the website.

JTAC was created by administrative rule in 1999 with a mission to assess information technology needs, and develop a long-range strategy and implementation plan for Indiana courts.

---

## 2) APPELLATE COURT AUTOMATION AND TECHNICAL SERVICES

---

In 2007 the Supreme Court contracted with the National Center for State Courts to study and make recommendations about electronic filing in the appellate courts. The scope of the project took a slightly broader view by looking at the entire appellate case management system and IT functions as a whole. After a weeklong visit during which National Center staff conducted

broad interviews with all levels of appellate court staff, the National Center presented the Court with an extensive report detailing many proposals for improvement. The Court is presently examining these options and implementing the highest priority ones.

The Technical Services Section of the Division continues to provide daily computer operations support to all users of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and all supporting agencies. The section started to implement new software, Microsoft's Vista operating system, and the full Microsoft 2007 Office suite.

In 2007 the use of Virtual Private Network (VPN) connections to our network from the outside increased dramatically. We more than doubled the number of users that were accessing the network from home, or on the road.

The section helped to implement a Document Management System (DocWorker) for the Continuing Legal Education agency. It will not only help them control and limit the amount of paper stored in their office, but also allows them to search the database of stored documents to find specific class information that is required.

The section also was involved in a major redesign of our network structure in preparation for a move of the Division's offices to 30 South Meridian Street at the end of December.

The section also implemented new wireless network technologies in the new 30 South Meridian location, so that we can provide internet connectivity for public users in our offices, as well as private access to our network for our courts users with wireless capability on their laptops.

## **COMMISSIONS & COMMITTEES STAFF SUPPORT**

---

### **1) JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSION/INDIANA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS**

---

As required by I.C. § 33-24-6-3(4), the Division provides legal and administrative staff support to the Indiana Commission on Judicial Qualifications and the Indiana Judicial Nominating Commission. The Qualifications Commission investigates and prosecutes allegations of ethical misconduct by Indiana judges, judicial officers, and candidates for judicial office. Commission staff is available to advise judges and others about the Code of Judicial Conduct, and the Commission periodically issues formal advisory opinions about judicial ethics. The Nominating Commission selects the Chief Justice of Indiana from among the five Justices, and it solicits and interviews candidates for vacancies on the Indiana Supreme Court, the Indiana Court of Appeals, and the Indiana Tax Court. The Nominating Commission also certifies former judges as senior judges.

A more detailed report about the Commission, its members and activities is published in the Indiana Supreme Court Annual Report, and may be found at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/jud-qual](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/jud-qual).

For more information, see the Judicial Nominating Commission statistical report in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

### **2) RULE AMENDMENTS AND THE SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE**

---

The Executive Director of the Division serves as Executive Secretary of the Indiana Supreme Court Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure and, together with Division legal staff, assists the Committee and the Supreme Court in drafting and promulgating amendments to the Indiana Rules of Court.

The most prominent rule amendments adopted by the Court in 2007 dealt with: 1) amending the Appellate Rules regarding the process for appealing Tax Court decisions; 2) amending the Trial Rules with regard to discovery of electronically stored information; 3) amending the Jury Rules to clarify that alternate jurors may ask questions during trials and may participate in discussion of the evidence during court recesses; 4) creating Administrative Rule 17, providing procedures for court operations in the case of a natural disaster or other exigent circumstances; 5) amending Admission and Discipline Rule 27, providing for attorney surrogates when a lawyer has died, disappeared, become disabled, or been disbarred or suspended; and 6) amending Admission and Discipline Rule 29, providing Continuing Legal Education credit for legislative service.

During 2007, among other issues, the Committee also devoted substantial time to studying proposals regarding: 1) when a judgment is considered “entered” for purposes of various deadlines; 2) attorney advertising; 3) residual hearsay; 4) the cy pres doctrine; and 5) appeals from decisions from administrative agencies.

### 3) PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION

The Division is responsible for providing staff support to the Indiana Public Defender Commission. The Commission sets standards for indigent defense services in non-capital cases and recommends standards to the Indiana Supreme Court for application in capital cases. The Commission is comprised of eleven members: three members are appointed by the Governor; three members are appointed by the Chief Justice; one member is appointed by the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute; two are members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House; and two are members of the Senate appointed by the President *Pro Tempore* of the Senate.

In capital cases, counties receive reimbursement for 50% of eligible expenses. In other criminal cases, counties that qualify by meeting certain standards receive up to 40% reimbursement of indigent criminal defense costs for felony and juvenile cases. Through this system of reimbursement, the General Assembly and the Supreme Court intend to encourage counties to provide qualified indigent defense in criminal cases.

In 2007, appropriations to the Public Defense Fund, which is non-reverting, totaled \$12.25 million. As of the time of this report, 57 counties have comprehensive plans for delivery of indigent services approved by the Commission. Over 60 % of the state's population resides in counties eligible to receive reimbursements in non-capital cases under the program.

The entire Commission meets quarterly and reviews claims submitted by counties for eligibility and compliance with statewide standards. In calendar year 2007, the Commission approved claims totaling \$13,586,669.15 for non-capital cases and \$755,126.85 for capital cases.

For more information, see the Public Defender Commission statistical report in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

### 4) INDIANA CONFERENCE FOR LEGAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITY (CLEO)

According to Jonathan Swift, "vision is the art of seeing what is invisible to others." Ten years ago when surveying the Indiana bench and bar, Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard observed the absence of minority attorneys and judges. The visionary within him longed to see greater diversity in the Indiana legal community. He created the Indiana Conference for Legal Education Opportunity (Indiana CLEO) in 1997 in an effort to see this vision come to pass. In August 2007, this great program celebrated ten years of providing traditionally underrepresented groups access to a legal education.

A variety of events took place during the weeklong celebration that culminated with a gala at the Indiana Historical Society. Over 200 current Fellows, alumni and program supporters showed up to commemorate this historical occasion. Special recognition was given to Chief Justice Shepard and to the core group of individuals responsible for assisting in the efforts to make Indiana CLEO a reality.

Indiana CLEO Fellows represent the best and the brightest. Fifteen CLEO Fellows graduated from law school in 2007. Fourteen of the May graduates are currently working in some capacity within the Indiana legal community. The passage rate for the July 2007 Indiana bar examination was 92%.

Indiana CLEO Fellows are making great strides within the legal community. CLEO alumna Chasity Thompson, a 1997 Fellow, was promoted to Assistant Dean of



Professional Development at the Indiana University School of Law Indianapolis. In 2007, Lieutenant Governor Rebecca Skillman created a legal internship exclusively for Indiana CLEO Fellows. Additionally, two second year Indiana CLEO Fellows were chosen as the inaugural recipients of the \$10,000 Baker and Daniels, LLP Diversity Scholarship.

---

## **5) COMMISSION ON RACE AND GENDER FAIRNESS**

---

In 1999, the Supreme Court created the Commission on Race and Gender Fairness to examine the issues involving race and gender fairness in Indiana's judicial system, among legal service providers, state and local government, and public organizations. The Commission, made up of members of the judiciary, bar, state and local governments, academia, law enforcement and corrections, and public organizations, also makes recommendations to the Court for improvements, and the Division provides the necessary staff support to the Commission. Former Indiana Supreme Court Justice Myra Selby is the chair, and Indiana Court of Appeals Judge Ezra Friedlander is the co-chair of the Commission.

Since its creation, the Commission has worked diligently to accomplish its mission. The Commission first conducted over three years of research to assess where Indiana stood on the issue of race and gender fairness. Through public forums, surveys and focus groups, the Commission reached the community, judges, court employees, attorneys, and other court users, and dialogue took place on the issue of race and gender fairness in Indiana's courts. From these discussions, the Commission developed a road map for the course Indiana should take to stay relevant and stay ahead of race and gender fairness issues that arise in our legal system, and submitted its Executive

Report and Recommendation to the Indiana Supreme Court in 2003.

The Executive Report contains 30 recommendations in five areas: Makeup of the Profession, Language and Cultural Barriers, Criminal and Juvenile Justice, Civil, Domestic and Family Law, and Employment. The first recommendation establishing a foreign language certified court interpreter program was initiated just a few months after the issuance of the Report, and to date, Indiana has more than 50 certified interpreters (including Spanish, Arabic, and French) for use in our courts. Other accomplishments include the 2005 Diversity Summit, which featured Harry Belafonte as the keynote speaker, the creation and distribution of an initial hearing rights video in Spanish, data collection of the demographic makeup of the legal profession in Indiana, and the scholarly treatment of the results of the survey of women in the law in the law review article "The Future of Women in the Legal Profession: Recognizing the Challenges Ahead by Reviewing Current Trends", by Professor Maria Pabon Lopez, Associate Professor of Law, Indiana University of Law, Indianapolis, published in *Hastings Women's Law Journal*, University of California, Hastings College of Law, Winter 2008.

As work continues, the Commission continues to demonstrate that the Court is dedicated to the fundamental principle that every litigant is entitled to equal access and fair treatment in our courts.

---

## **6) INDIANA PROJECT ON SELF REPRESENTED LITIGANTS-PRO SE COMMITTEE**

---

Since 2000, the Division has helped the Indiana Supreme Court *Pro Se* Committee maintain a Self Service Center on the judicial website, and helped trial courts and their staff respond to the



growing number of self-represented litigants. In September 2007, the Supreme Court amended Administrative Rule 4(D), effective on January 1, 2008, to reconstitute the *Pro Se* Committee as the Indiana Supreme Court Committee on Self Represented Litigants. Its mission is to study and recommend improvement of the practices, procedures, and systems for serving the self represented litigants in Indiana's courts. The Committee is composed of judges, court clerks, community members, librarians, attorneys, and other service providers.

The Citizens Self-Service Center website (found at [www.IN.gov/judiciary/selfservice](http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/selfservice)) provides pleadings, forms, and instructions for unrepresented parties to use in certain simple proceedings. A Division staff attorney also serves as the contact person for referral resources for *pro se* litigants and to field inquiries from the Self Service website.

For more information on the number of self represented litigants in Indiana's courts, see the *Pro Se* Litigants statistical report in the Indiana Trial Courts Annual Reports section in this volume.

---

## 7) SUPREME COURT RECORDS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

---

The Supreme Court Records Management Committee was created by Administrative Rule 4. Chaired by Supreme Court Justice Brent Dickson, the committee is charged with the responsibility to study the practices, procedures and systems for the maintenance, management and retention of court records used by the courts and court agencies and make recommendations to the Supreme Court for modernization, improvement and standardization.

The committee's membership includes trial court judges, court clerks, court administrators, staff of the administrative agencies of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals, attorneys in private practice, as well as the Public Defender of Indiana and the Executive Director of the Prosecuting Attorneys Council. Staff assistance to the committee is provided by the Division's Trial Court Management Section.

The Records Management Committee met twice in 2007, and worked on a variety of issues, including several issues regarding Administrative Rule 9, such as whether a person's driver's license number appearing in court records should be protected from public access, how audio and video recordings of court proceedings are to be copied and the protocol for maintaining confidentiality of court records at the appellate level. Additionally, the committee considered whether to recommend changes to Administrative Rule 14 concerning the use of audio and video telecommunications in court proceedings. Amendments to Administrative Rule 7 to add further categories of documents to the retention schedules were discussed as well. The Trial Rule 77 sub-committee, chaired by Judge Steven Nation, Hamilton Superior Court 1, continued its study of issues pertaining to Trial Rule 77.

---

## CONCLUSION

---

While this section highlights the many projects and activities of the Division, its main function and emphasis continues to be providing first-rate service to the Indiana Judiciary.

## HELPFUL COURT RELATED WEBSITES


### Websites

<b>Courts</b>	Indiana Supreme Court	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/supreme">www.IN.gov/judiciary/supreme</a>
	Court of Appeals	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/appeals">www.IN.gov/judiciary/appeals</a>
	Tax Court	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/tax">www.IN.gov/judiciary/tax</a>
	Trial Courts	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts">www.IN.gov/judiciary/trialcourts</a>

<b>Additional Resources</b>	Administrative Forms	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/forms.html">www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/forms.html</a>
	Administrative Statistics	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt">www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt</a>
	Board of Law Examiners	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/ble">www.IN.gov/judiciary/ble</a>
	Commission on Race and Gender Fairness	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/fairness">www.IN.gov/judiciary/fairness</a>
	Conference for Legal Education Opportunity (CLEO)	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/cleo">www.IN.gov/judiciary/cleo</a>
	Continuing Legal Education	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/cle">www.IN.gov/judiciary/cle</a>
	Courts in the Classroom	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/citc">www.IN.gov/judiciary/citc</a>
	Court Interpreter Program	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/interpreter">www.IN.gov/judiciary/interpreter</a>
	Court Publications and Reports	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/pubs">www.IN.gov/judiciary/pubs</a>
	Disciplinary Commission	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/discipline">www.IN.gov/judiciary/discipline</a>
	Division of State Court Administration	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin">www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin</a>
	Drug Court Programs	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/pscourts/drugcourts">www.IN.gov/judiciary/pscourts/drugcourts</a>
	Family Court	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/family-court">www.IN.gov/judiciary/family-court</a>
	Guardian <i>Ad Litem</i> /Court Appointed Special Advocate (GAL/CASA)	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/galcasa">www.IN.gov/judiciary/galcasa</a>
	Indiana Court Information Technology Extranet (INCite)	<a href="https://incite.IN.gov">https://incite.IN.gov</a>
	Indiana Judicial Center	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/center">www.IN.gov/judiciary/center</a>
	Judicial Opinions	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/opinions">www.IN.gov/judiciary/opinions</a>
	Judicial Qualifications	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/jud-qual">www.IN.gov/judiciary/jud-qual</a>
	Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC) including Odyssey/Case Management System	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/jtac">www.IN.gov/judiciary/jtac</a>
	Judiciary Forms (Court Forms)	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms">www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms</a>
	Pro Bono Commission	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/probono">www.IN.gov/judiciary/probono</a>
	Protective Orders	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms/po.html">www.IN.gov/judiciary/forms/po.html</a>
	Public Defender	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/defender">www.IN.gov/judiciary/defender</a>
	Rules of Court	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/rules">www.IN.gov/judiciary/rules</a>
	Self Service Legal Center	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/selfservice">www.IN.gov/judiciary/selfservice</a>
	Senior Judges	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/senior-judges">www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/senior-judges</a>
	Weighted Caseload Study	<a href="http://www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt/wcm">www.IN.gov/judiciary/admin/courtmgmt/wcm</a>

# INDIANA JUDICIAL SYSTEM

## Organizational Chart



# DESCRIPTION OF THE INDIANA JUDICIAL SYSTEM

---

## INTRODUCTION

---

The Constitution of Indiana sets out three branches of state government: Legislative, Executive, and Judicial. Indiana judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, a Court of Appeals, Circuit Courts and such other courts as the General Assembly may establish.<sup>5</sup> The Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals are appellate-level courts, while the Circuit Courts are the county level courts of general jurisdiction. The Tax Court is a legislatively created court with appellate level jurisdiction.

Traditionally, Indiana's trial court system has been organized on a county basis through enabling legislation establishing courts in specific counties. As provided in the Constitution, the state has been divided into circuits, and the legislature has predominantly chosen to base these circuits on county lines. Some of the less populous counties have been joined together into one circuit, although today there remain only two such circuits each comprised of two counties.

As local needs have grown and more trial courts have become necessary, the General Assembly has created additional courts of general and limited jurisdiction. Such courts are funded on a county basis with the county bearing all expenses for court operations, except judges' salaries. Superior and county courts are examples of these legislatively created courts.

Superior courts have general jurisdiction similar to the circuit courts, while the county courts are courts of limited jurisdiction handling Class D felonies, misdemeanors, small claims, traffic cases, and selected civil matters.

Local courts are relatively autonomous and therefore handle many aspects of court operations, including court personnel employment and funding. However, the Indiana Supreme Court has implemented significant, unified administrative and record keeping procedures in the last several years. As a result, Indiana now has a uniform case numbering system for every case filed in the state, a uniform schedule of retention for court records, uniform microfilming standards, a uniform record keeping process, a uniform process for local rules, and a number of other standardized practices. In 2007, the Supreme Court, through its Judicial Automation and Technology Committee, began deployment of a statewide case management system that will result in efficient sharing of information between courts, law enforcement, other governmental entities, and the public.

All counties have circuit courts. In addition, many counties have superior courts as well. Two counties, Floyd and Madison, have county courts, but both of those courts will be abolished in 2009. Marion County is the only county with distinct small claims courts. St. Joseph County is the only county with a specialized probate court, which also has juvenile jurisdiction.

The General Assembly authorized the establishment of city and town courts to handle traffic matters and local ordinance violations. In some instances, city and town court judges are not required to be attorneys.

For a specific list of courts in each county, see the Judicial Officer Roster at the end of this volume. A roster of the names of judges and judicial officers also appears in Volume II.

---

<sup>5</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 1

## THE INDIANA SUPREME COURT

The Supreme Court has five justices, one of whom is the Chief Justice (selected by the Indiana Judicial Nominating Commission).<sup>6</sup>

The Supreme Court has original exclusive jurisdiction in (1) admission to the practice of law; (2) discipline and disbarment of those admitted; (3) unauthorized practice of law; (4) discipline, removal, and retirement of judges; (5) supervision of the exercise of jurisdiction by other courts; (6) issuance of writs necessary in aid of its jurisdiction; (7) appeals from judgments imposing a sentence of death; (8) appeals from the denial of post-conviction relief in which the sentence was death; (9) appealable cases where a state or federal statute has been declared unconstitutional; and, (10) on petition, cases involving substantial questions of law, great public importance, or emergency. The Supreme Court has the power to review all questions of law and to review and revise sentences imposed by lower courts.<sup>7</sup>

The Governor appoints the Justices of the Supreme Court after nomination by the Judicial Nominating Commission. After an initial two-year term, justices run on a “Yes—No” retention ballot, and, if successful, they then serve ten-year terms.<sup>8</sup>

## THE INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals became a constitutional court under a 1970 revision of the Indiana Constitution. Article 7 of the Constitution provides that the state be divided into geographic districts by the

General Assembly, and that each district has three judges.<sup>9</sup> The Court of Appeals has five districts, with a total of 15 judges.<sup>10</sup> The judges select one of their number as chief judge, and each district elects a presiding judge.<sup>11</sup> The Court of Appeals has no original jurisdiction except as authorized by Supreme Court rules to review directly final decisions of certain administrative agencies.<sup>12</sup> It exercises appellate jurisdiction over all appeals not taken to the Supreme Court.

The judges of the Court of Appeals are selected in the same manner and serve the same terms as the Supreme Court justices.

## THE INDIANA TAX COURT

The Tax Court came into existence on July 1, 1986. The Tax Court is an appellate level court with one judge who is selected in the same manner as are Justices of the Supreme Court.<sup>13</sup> The Tax Court is a court of limited jurisdiction that exercises exclusive jurisdiction in original tax appeals, which are defined as cases that arise under the tax laws of this state and which are initial appeals of a final determination made by (1) the Department of State Revenue, or (2) the State Board of Tax Review.<sup>14</sup> The principal office of the Tax Court is located in Indianapolis although a taxpayer may select to have all evidentiary hearings conducted in one of six other specifically designated counties that are spread throughout the state.

The Tax Court must also maintain a small claims docket for processing (1) claims for refunds from the Department of Revenue that do not exceed \$5,000 for any year, and (2) appeals of final

<sup>6</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 2; Indiana Code 33-24-1-1

<sup>7</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 4; Indiana Rules of Court, Appellate Rule 4

<sup>8</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 11; Indiana Code 33-24-2-1

<sup>9</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 5

<sup>10</sup> Indiana Code 33-25-1-1

<sup>11</sup> Indiana Code 33-25-3-1

<sup>12</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 6; Indiana Rules of Court, Appellate Rule 5(C)

<sup>13</sup> Indiana Code 33-26-1-1; 33-26-2-3

<sup>14</sup> Indiana Tax Court Rule 2B; Indiana Code 33-26-3-1

determinations of assessed value made by the State Board of Tax Review that do not exceed \$45,000 for any year.<sup>15</sup> Appeals from the Tax Court are taken directly to the Supreme Court.<sup>16</sup>

---

## CIRCUIT COURTS

---

The Indiana Constitution directs the General Assembly divide the state into judicial circuits.<sup>17</sup> Eighty-eight of Indiana's 92 counties constitute 88 circuits, while the remaining four counties are in two "joint" circuits of two counties each. Some circuit courts have more than one circuit court judge. As of December 31, 2007, there were 101 circuit court judges.<sup>18</sup> The Constitution vested the circuit courts with unlimited trial jurisdiction in all cases, except when exclusive or concurrent jurisdiction is conferred upon other courts. They also have appellate jurisdiction over appeals from city and town courts.<sup>19</sup> Generally, the circuit courts in counties without superior or county courts maintain small claims and minor offenses divisions. Civil actions, in which the amount sought to be recovered is less than \$6,000, and landlord and tenant actions, in which the rent due at the time of the action does not exceed \$6,000, may be filed on the small claims docket. The minor offenses division hears Class D felonies, all misdemeanors, infractions, and ordinance violations.<sup>20</sup> Cases in the small claims division are heard in a more informal atmosphere and without a jury.<sup>21</sup> In the remaining counties, the superior or county courts have incorporated the small claims division and minor offenses division.

---

<sup>15</sup> Indiana Code 33-26-5-1

<sup>16</sup> Indiana Code 33-26-6-7(d)

<sup>17</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 7

<sup>18</sup> Ohio and Dearborn Counties share a circuit judge, as do Jefferson and Switzerland Counties. Monroe County has 8 circuit judges. Delaware County has 5 circuit judges. All other counties have one circuit judge.

<sup>19</sup> Indiana Code 33-28-1-2; 33-35-5-9

<sup>20</sup> Indiana Code 33-28-3-8

<sup>21</sup> Indiana Code 33-28-3-7

The voters of each respective circuit elect the judges of the circuit courts in partisan elections every six years.<sup>22</sup> The only exception is Vanderburgh County where the election is non-partisan.<sup>23</sup>

---

## SUPERIOR COURTS

---

The General Assembly enacts statutes to create superior courts as needed. As of December 31, 2007, Indiana operated 210 superior courts with 206 judges presiding over them. (Ohio and Switzerland Superior Courts share a judge and the Vigo Circuit Court Judge also presides over Vigo Superior Court 3.) Though their organization and jurisdiction may vary from county to county, they are typically courts of general jurisdiction. They have the same appellate jurisdiction as circuit courts over appeals from city and town courts.<sup>24</sup> In some counties, statutory language sets up one unified superior court with two or more judges, while others, through internal rules, operate their courts as unified county systems.

In counties that have superior courts but no county courts, the small claims and minor offenses divisions are generally established in the superior courts. These divisions have the same small claims and minor offenses dockets as the circuit courts.

With the exception of four counties, the superior court judges are elected at a general election for six-year terms.<sup>25</sup> In Lake and St. Joseph Counties, superior court judges are nominated by local nominating commissions and then appointed by the Governor for six-year terms. Thereafter, they run on a "yes —

---

<sup>22</sup> Indiana Constitution, Article 7, § 7; Indiana Code 33-28-2-1

<sup>23</sup> Indiana Code 33-33-82-31

<sup>24</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-5-9

<sup>25</sup> Indiana Code 33-29-1-3 Effective 1/1/89, the three Lake County Courts became Superior Courts, County Court Division. However, as the County Court Division, the judges continue to be elected in a political election.



no” retention ballot. The judges of the Vanderburgh Superior Court are elected in non-partisan elections. In Allen County, superior court judges are elected at the general election on a separate ballot without party designation. Vacancies are filled by the governor from a list of three candidates nominated by the Allen County Judicial Nominating Commission.

---

## PROBATE COURT

---

St. Joseph Probate Court is the only distinct probate court remaining in Indiana. One judge exercises original jurisdiction in all matters pertaining to the probate of wills, appointment of guardians, assignees, executors, administrators and trustees, settlements of incompetents’ estates, and adoptions.<sup>26</sup> The court also has exclusive juvenile jurisdiction.<sup>27</sup>

The Probate Court Judge is elected for a six-year term at a general election.

---

## COUNTY COURTS

---

County courts began operating on January 1, 1976, when the Justice of the Peace courts were abolished. Since their establishment, there has been a steady movement toward restructuring county courts into superior courts with small claims and misdemeanor divisions. The majority of the county courts have been converted to superior courts over time. As of December 31, 2007, there were only three county court judges in the state of Indiana, and on January 1, 2009, the county courts served by those judges will be totally phased out.

The county courts have original and concurrent jurisdiction in all civil cases founded in contract or tort where the

damages do not exceed \$10,000, possessory actions between landlord and tenant, and all actions for the possession of property where the value of the property sought to be recovered does not exceed \$10,000, Class D felonies, misdemeanor and infraction cases, and violations of local ordinances.<sup>28</sup> The county courts also have small claims dockets similar to those of the circuit courts. Civil cases of up to \$6,000 and possessory actions between landlord and tenant, in which the rent due does not exceed \$6,000, are filed on the small claims dockets.<sup>29</sup>

The county courts are specifically precluded from exercising any jurisdiction over cases involving injunctive relief, partition of or liens on real estate, paternity, juvenile, probate, receivership or dissolution of marriage matters. The county courts may conduct preliminary hearings in felony cases.<sup>30</sup> Appeals from the county courts, including their small claims dockets, go to the Indiana Court of Appeals in the same manner as appeals from the circuit and superior courts.

The county court judges run for election in the respective county (or counties) and serve six-year terms.<sup>31</sup>

---

## LOCAL COURTS

---

City and town courts may be created by local ordinance. A city or town that establishes or abolishes its court must give notice to the Division of State Court Administration.<sup>32</sup> As of December 2007, there were forty-six city courts and twenty-eight town courts.

Jurisdiction of city courts varies depending upon the size of the city. All city courts have jurisdiction over city ordinance

---

<sup>26</sup> Indiana Code 33-31-1-9

<sup>27</sup> Indiana Code 33-31-1-9(b); 33-31-1-10

<sup>28</sup> Indiana Code 33-30-4-1

<sup>29</sup> Indiana Code 33-30-5-2

<sup>30</sup> Indiana Code 33-30-4-2

<sup>31</sup> Indiana Code 33-30-3-3

<sup>32</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-1-1

violations, misdemeanors, and infractions.<sup>33</sup> The city courts also have civil jurisdiction over cases where the amount in controversy does not exceed \$500. They have no jurisdiction in actions for libel, slander, real estate foreclosure, where title to real estate is at issue, matters relating to decedents' estates, actions in equity and actions involving the appointment of guardians.<sup>34</sup> The civil jurisdiction of city courts in Lake County extends to cases where the amount in controversy does not exceed \$3,000.<sup>35</sup> A city court in a third class city, which is not a county seat, also has civil jurisdiction of cases up to \$3,000. Because city and town courts are not courts of record, appeals are tried *de novo* in the circuit or superior court of the county.<sup>36</sup> Town courts have exclusive jurisdiction over all violations of town ordinances and jurisdiction over all misdemeanors and infractions.<sup>37</sup> Like city courts, appeals from judgments of a town court are also taken to the circuit or superior court of the county.<sup>38</sup>

The voters of the city or town elect city and town court judges to four-year terms. The judges of Anderson City Court, Avon Town Court, Brownsburg Town Court, Carmel City Court, East Chicago City Court, Gary City Court, Greenwood City Court, Hammond City Court, Martinsville City Court, Muncie City Court, Noblesville City Court, and Plainfield Town Court must be attorneys.<sup>39</sup>

---

## SMALL CLAIMS COURTS

---

Only Marion County has nine Small Claims Courts, with jurisdiction based on township. The courts' jurisdiction is concurrent with the circuit and superior courts in all civil cases founded on con-

tract or tort in which the claim does not exceed \$6,000,<sup>40</sup> in actions for possession of property where the value of the property sought to be recovered does not exceed \$6,000, and in possessory actions between landlord and tenant in which the past due rent at the time of filing does not exceed \$6,000.<sup>41</sup> The small claims courts have no jurisdiction in actions seeking injunctive relief, in actions involving partition of real estate, or in declaring or enforcing any lien thereon (with certain exceptions), in cases in which the appointment of a receiver is requested, or in suits for dissolution or annulment of marriage.<sup>42</sup> Because the small claims courts are not courts of record,<sup>43</sup> appeals are tried *de novo* in the Marion Superior Court.<sup>44</sup>

The voters within the township in which the division of the court is located elect the small claims court judges. The judges serve four-year terms.<sup>45</sup>

---

<sup>33</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-2-3

<sup>34</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-2-4

<sup>35</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-2-5

<sup>36</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-5-9(a)

<sup>37</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-2-8

<sup>38</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-5-9(b)

<sup>39</sup> Indiana Code 33-35-5-7

---

<sup>40</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-3-2

<sup>41</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-3-3

<sup>42</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-3-5

<sup>43</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-1-3

<sup>44</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-3-15

<sup>45</sup> Indiana Code 33-34-2-1; 33-34-2-3

# 2007

## INDIANA SUPREME COURT ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006 – 2007 (JULY 1, 2006 - JUNE 30, 2007)



### **The Supreme Court of Indiana**

The Honorable Randall T. Shepard, Chief Justice  
The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Frank Sullivan, Jr., Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Theodore R. Boehm, Assoc. Justice  
The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice

*Kevin Smith, Administrator*  
Indiana Supreme Court  
200 West Washington Street, Room 315  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2540  
Fax: (317) 233-8372

[www.in.gov/judiciary](http://www.in.gov/judiciary)

## SUPREME COURT SUMMARY INFORMATION

---

### Case Inventories and Disposition Summary

	Cases Pending as of 7/1/06	Cases Transmitted in Fiscal 2006-2007	Cases Disposed of in Fiscal 2006-2007	Cases Pending as of 6/30/07
Civil Direct Appeals	0	1	1	0
Civil Transfers	75	344	367	52
Tax Court Petitions for Review	6	6	11	1
Criminal Direct Non-Capital	3	3	5	1
Capital Cases	6	5	8	3
Criminal Transfers	54	561	558	57
Original Actions	1	38	39	0
Certified Questions	1	1	2	0
Mandate of Funds	0	1	0	1
Attorney Discipline	80	91	92	79
Board of Law Examiners	0	3	3	0
Judicial Discipline	1	2	3	0
Rehearings	0	8	6	2
Other	0	1	1	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>1065</b>	<b>1096</b>	<b>196</b>

## 2007 CASELOAD INFORMATION

### Total Dispositions

Criminal	571	52%
Civil	368	34%
Tax	11	<1%
Certified Questions	2	<1%
Original Action	39	4%
Attorney Discipline	92	9%
Board of Law Examiners	3	<1%
Judicial Discipline	3	<1%
Rehearings	6	<1%
Other	1	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1096</b>	

### Majority Opinions and Published Dispositive Orders

Criminal	50	28%
Civil	42	23%
Tax	2	<1%
Certified Questions	2	<1%
Original Action	1	<1%
Attorney Discipline	77	43%
Judicial Discipline	3	<1%
Rehearings	1*	<1%
Other	1	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>179</b>	

\*Revised from 6 to 1 since publication of 2006-07 Supreme Court Annual Report

### Total Opinions

	Direct Appeal Criminal	Direct Appeal Civil	Transfer Criminal	Transfer Civil and Tax	Original Action	Attorney Discipline	Judicial Discipline	Rehearing Opinions	Certified Questions	Other	Total
Shepard, C.J.	3	1	6	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	18
Dickson, J.	2	0	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	15
Sullivan, J.	2	0	4	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
Boehm, J.	2	0	12	12	0	0	0	1	1	1	29
Rucker, J.	1	0	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
By the Court	3	0	0	1	0	77	3	0	0	0	84
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>179</b>



## NON-DISPOSITIVE OPINIONS, CERTIFIED OPINIONS

### Cases in Which Oral Arguments Were Held

	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	Total
Criminal (before order of trans.)	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	10
Criminal (after order of trans.)	0	0	2	0	3	0	4	0	0	2	1	1	13
Civil/Tax (before order of trans./rev.)	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	4	13
Civil Tax (after order of trans./rev.)	0	0	4	1	4	2	1	0	2	1	7	2	24
Criminal Direct Appeals	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	5
Civil Direct Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Certified Questions	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>68</b>

### Non-Dispositive Opinions

	Concurring	Dissenting	Concur/ Dissent in part	Recusal Opinion	Total
Shepard, C.J.	4	4	0	0	8
Dickson, J.	1	5	0	0	6
Sullivan, J.	2	2	0	0	4
Boehm, J.	2	7	0	0	9
Rucker, J.	1	5	0	0	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>

### Certified Questions

	Pending 7/1/06	Received	Accepted	Rejected	Dismissed	Opinions	Pending 6/30/07
Federal District Court	1	1	1	0	0	2	0
Federal Appellate Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>

## CAPITAL CASES, PETITIONS FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME, AND MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

### Capital Cases

	Direct Appeals	PCR	Interlocutory Appeals	Successive PCR	Rehearing	Total
Shepard, C.J.	2	1	0	0	0	3
Dickson, J.	2	0	0	0	0	2
Sullivan, J.	1	0	1	0	0	2
Boehm, J.	1	1	0	0	0	2
Rucker, J.	0	0	0	0	0	0
By the Court	0	0	0	3	0	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>

### Petitions for Extension of Time and Miscellaneous Orders

Petitions for Extension of Time Processed	41
Other Miscellaneous Appellate Orders	382
Special Judge Requests	93
<b>Total</b>	<b>516</b>

## DISCIPLINARY, CONTEMPT AND RELATED MATTERS

### Disciplinary Cases Pending Before Hearing Officer/Court on July 1, 2006

Before the Court for Hearing Officer Appointment	5
Pending Before Hearing Officer	33
Reinstatement Pending Before Hearing Officer	9
Briefing Stage	10
Briefed and Before the Court	16
No Verified Complaint Filed/Suspended Upon Notice of Conviction	7
<b>Total Cases Pending as of July 1, 2006</b>	<b>80</b>

### New Disciplinary Matters Received During Fiscal Year 2006-2007

Verified Complaints for Disciplinary Action	34
Petitions to Show Cause	25
Administrative Admonitions Tendered	4
Affidavits of Resignation	0
Petitions for Reinstatement	11
Petitions to Terminate Probation	0
Petitions to Convert Suspension to Indefinite Suspension	3
Notices of Foreign Discipline	2
Petition for Emergency Interim Suspension, Notices of Guilty Findings	3
Contempt of Court	3
Miscellaneous	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>

### Disciplinary Cases Disposed in Fiscal Year 2006-2007

By <i>Per Curiam</i> Opinion (two public reprimands, one suspension)	3
By Private Administrative Admonition	3
By Rejecting Private Administrative Admonition	1
By Order Imposing Private Reprimand	6
By Order Imposing Public Reprimand	9
By Order Imposing Suspension (after verified complaint)	28
By Order Accepting Resignation	1
By Order Imposing Emergency Interim Suspension	2
By Order Imposing Interim Suspension on Finding of Guilt	2
By Order Imposing Reciprocal Discipline (suspension)	4
By Order Finding Contempt of Court	2
By Order on Compliance with Show Cause Order	9
By Order Converting to Indefinite Suspension for Noncooperation	7
By Order Finding for the Respondent	2
By Order Dismissing or Withdrawing Action	3
By Order Granting Reinstatement	1
By Order Withdrawing Petition for Reinstatement	4
By Order Denying Reinstatement	2
By Order Terminating Probation	0
By Miscellaneous Order	3
<b>Total Cases Disposed during Fiscal Year 2006-2007</b>	<b>92</b>

### Disciplinary Cases Pending July 1, 2007

Before Court for Hearing Officer Appointment	3
Pending Before Hearing Officer	40
Reinstatement Pending Before Hearing Officer	14
Briefing Stage	7
Briefed and Before the Court	5
Conditional Agreement Tendered	3
Show Cause Petition Pending Service	7
<b>Total Cases Pending as of July 1, 2007</b>	<b>79</b>

## ANALYSIS OF SUPREME COURT DISPOSITIONS

### Criminal Cases

Opinions on direct appeals	13
Direct appeal disposed of by order	0
Opinions on petitions to transfer	37
Opinions on rehearing	0
Orders on rehearing	1
Petitions to transfer dismissed, denied, or appeal remanded by unpublished order	521
Other opinions*	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>573</b>

\**Hammon v. State*, 853 N.E.2d 477 (Ind.2006) (opinion in *Davis v. Washington*, 126 S. Ct. 2266 (2006), remanding case to trial court following certification of U.S. Supreme Court opinion.)

### Civil Cases

Opinions and dispositive orders on certified questions	2
Opinions on direct appeals	1
Direct Appeals disposed of by order	0
Opinions on rehearing	1
Orders on rehearing	4
Opinions on mandate of funds	0
Opinions on petitions to transfer	41
Petitions to transfer denied, dismissed, or appeal remanded by unpublished order	326
Other opinions	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>375</b>

### Tax Cases

Opinions on Tax Court petitions for review	2
Dispositive orders on Tax Court petitions for review	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>

### Original Actions

Opinions issued	1
Disposed of without opinion	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>39</b>

### Attorney Disciplinary Matters

Opinions and published orders	77
Other dispositions	15
<b>Total</b>	<b>92</b>



**Petitions for Review of State Board of Law Examiners Matters**

Petitions for review	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

**Judicial Discipline Matters**

Opinions and published orders	3
Other dispositions	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

<b>Total Dispositions</b>	<b>1096</b>
---------------------------	-------------

## CASES PENDING JUNE 30, 2007

### Cases Pending as of June 30, 2007

	Pending Cases as of 6/30/2007 (does not include Petitions for Rehearing)	Pending Petitions For Rehearing as of 6/30/2007
Shepard, C.J.	5	0
Dickson, J.	5	1
Sullivan, J.	8	0
Boehm, J.	12	1
Rucker, J.	6	0
To the Court	4	0
Unassigned Civil Cases	37	
Unassigned Tax Court Petitions for Review	1	
Unassigned Criminal Transfer Cases	40	
Unassigned Criminal Direct Appeals	0	
Unassigned Civil Direct Appeals	0	
Unassigned Original Actions	0	
Unassigned Certified Questions	0	
Unassigned Other	0	
Pending Bar Examination Reviews	0	
Attorney Discipline	79	
Judicial Discipline	0	
<b>Total</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>2</b>

# 2007

## INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT INDIANA COURT OF APPEALS ANNUAL REPORT



### **First District**

The Honorable John G. Baker, Chief Judge  
The Honorable L. Mark Bailey, Presiding Judge  
The Honorable Edward W. Najam, Judge

### **Second District**

The Honorable Ezra Friedlander, Presiding Judge  
The Honorable James S. Kirsch, Judge  
The Honorable Cale J. Bradford, Judge

### **Third District**

The Honorable Paul D. Mathias, Presiding Judge  
The Honorable Michael P. Barnes, Judge  
The Honorable Terry A. Crone, Judge

### **Fourth District**

The Honorable Carr L. Darden, Presiding Judge  
The Honorable Patricia A. Riley, Judge  
The Honorable Melissa S. May, Judge

### **Fifth District**

The Honorable Elaine B. Brown, Presiding Judge  
The Honorable Margaret G. Robb, Judge  
The Honorable Nancy H. Vaidik, Judge

***"To serve all people by providing equal justice under law"***

*Steven Lancaster, Administrator*  
Indiana Court of Appeals  
200 West Washington Street, Room 433  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Phone: (317) 232-4197  
Fax: (317) 233-4627

[www.in.gov/judiciary/appeals/](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/appeals/)

## COURT OF APPEALS SUMMARY INFORMATION

### 2007 Court Summary

	Criminal	Post-Conviction	Civil	Expedite	Other	Total
Cases Pending 12/31/06	248	31	180*	8	45	512*
Cases Fully-Briefed Rec'd	1492	177	734	40	305	2748
Geographic District One	313	45	234	0	78	670
Geographic District Two	808	78	277	40	153	1356
Geographic District Three	371	54	223	0	74	722
Cases Disposed	1583	182	762	42	318	2887
By Majority Opinion	1578	181	750	42	317	2868
By Order	5	1	12	0	1	19
Net Increase/Decrease	-87	-6	-33	-1	12	-139
Cases Pending 12/31/07	161	25	147	7	33	373

\*Total is one more than total on 2006 Annual Report because a case handed down on 11/6/06 was then vacated on 3/29/07.

Cases Affirmed	1374	161	492	34	260	2321
Cases Affirmed Percent	87.0%	88.9%	65.6%	80.9%	82.0%	80.9%
Cases Reversed	189	19	244	7	51	510
Cases Reversed Percent	12.0%	10.5%	32.5%	16.7%	16.1%	17.8%
Cases Remanded	15	1	14	1	6	37
Cases Remanded Percent	1.0%	0.6%	1.9%	2.4%	1.9%	1.3%

Oral Arguments Heard	21	0	42	4	6	73
----------------------	----	---	----	---	---	----

Oral Arguments Heard include three Stay Hearings

### Average Age of Cases Pending

12/31/2006	1.9 months
12/31/2007	1.6 months

Motions, Petitions for Time, Miscellaneous Orders Handed Down

7,679

## Summary By Judge

	Majority Opinions Issued	All Opinions Issued	Orders Issued	Cases Voted On	Oral Arguments Heard	Cases Pending 12/31/2006	Cases Pending 12/31/2007
Bailey (1st)	186	193	0	593	15	16	14
Baker (1st)	313	330	3	695	29	32	15
Barnes (3rd)	200	214	0	599	12	28	18
Bradford* (2nd)	60	63	1	230	5	0	17
Crone (3rd)	173	183	1	560	12	23	26
Darden (4th)	153	157	1	534	16	40	23
Friedlander (2nd)	137	150	1	522	9	14	22
Kirsch (2nd)	170	190	0	564	17	30	32
Mathias (3rd)	194	197	1	574	12	53	34
May (4th)	178	185	1	530	13	51	39
Najam (1st)	178	183	3	581	12	13	14
Riley (4th)	183	189	2	546	13	24	20
Robb (5th)	188	205	2	551	27	41	30
Sharpnack (5th)	163	172	1	554	8	34	28
Sullivan** (2nd)	72	94	1	265	6	52	0
Vaidik (5th)	167	176	0	521	11	28	24

\*Judge Cale J. Bradford sworn in August 1, 2007

\*\*Judge Patrick D. Sullivan retired July 31, 2007

Senior Judges						32	17
Barteau	45	45	0	45	0	0	0
Garrard	15	15	0	15	0	0	0
Hoffman	42	43	1	42	1	0	0
Robertson	38	39	0	40	0	0	0
Sullivan	13	20	0	43	1	0	0

<b>Total</b>	<b>2868</b>	<b>3043</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8604</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>373</b>
--------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	------------	------------	------------



## 2007 CASELOAD INFORMATION

### Caseload Statistics

	Cases Pending 1/1/2007	Intake				Dispositions			Cases Pending 12/31/2007
		Cases Assigned	Transfers		Total	Majority Opinion	Orders	Total	
			In	Out					
Bailey (1st)	16	178	7	1	184	186	0	186	14
Baker (1st)	33*	178	122	2	298	313	3	316	15
Barnes (3rd)	28	180	17	7	190	200	0	200	18
Bradford* (2nd)	0	70	8	0	78	60	1	61	17
Crone (3rd)	23	179	7	9	177	173	1	174	26
Darden (4th)	40	174	2	39	137	153	1	154	23
Friedlander (2nd)	14	180	1	35	146	137	1	138	22
Kirsch (2nd)	30	173	4	5	172	170	0	170	32
Mathias (3rd)	53	178	5	7	176	194	1	195	34
May (4th)	51	177	4	14	167	178	1	179	39
Najam (1st)	13	174	14	6	182	178	3	181	14
Riley (4th)	24	177	12	8	181	183	2	185	20
Robb (5th)	41	176	3	0	179	188	2	190	30
Sharpnack (5th)	34	173	5	20	158	163	1	164	28
Sullivan** (2nd)	52	49	1	29	21	72	1	73	0
Vaidik (5th)	28	176	5	18	163	167	0	167	24

#### Senior Judges

Barteau	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	45	0
Garrard	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	0
Hoffman	0	0	0	0	0	42	1	43	0
Robertson	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	38	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	13	0
<b>Senior Judge Totals</b>	32	156	5	22	139	153	1	154	17

<b>Total</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>2748</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>2609</b>	<b>2868</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2887</b>	<b>373</b>
--------------	------------	-------------	------------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	------------

\*Total is one more than total on 2006 Annual Report because a case handed down on 11/6/06 was then vacated on 3/29/07.

## Opinions Issued

	Majority Opinions			Opinions				Total
	Issued	Published	Percent Published	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	Rehearing Opinions	Other	
Bailey (1st)	186	27	14.5%	2	3	2	0	193
Baker (1st)	313	74	23.6%	2	8	7	0	330
Barnes (3rd)	200	47	23.5%	2	7	5	0	214
Bradford* (2nd)	60	14	23.3%	0	3	0	0	63
Crone (3rd)	173	39	22.5%	2	7	1	0	183
Darden (4th)	153	32	20.9%	0	4	0	0	157
Friedlander (2nd)	137	19	13.9%	4	9	0	0	150
Kirsch (2nd)	170	29	17.1%	2	16	1	1	190
Mathias (3rd)	194	37	19.1%	1	2	0	0	197
May (4th)	178	48	27.0%	2	4	1	0	185
Najam (1st)	178	43	24.2%	1	2	2	0	183
Riley (4th)	183	44	24.0%	1	5	0	0	189
Robb (5th)	188	48	25.5%	5	4	7	1	205
Sharpnack (5th)	163	39	23.9%	0	8	1	0	172
Sullivan** (2nd)	72	18	25.0%	10	12	0	0	94
Vaidik (5th)	167	40	24.0%	3	5	0	1	176
<b>Senior Judges</b>								
Barteau	45	5	11.1%	0	0	0	0	45
Garrard	15	3	20.0%	0	0	0	0	15
Hoffman	42	15	35.7%	0	0	1	0	43
Robertson	38	2	5.3%	0	1	0	0	39
Sullivan	13	3	23.1%	5	1	1	0	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>2868</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>21.8%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3043</b>

## Cases Handed Down

	Criminal		Post-Conviction		Civil		Expedite		Other		Total	
	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel
Bailey (1st)	101	212	10	33	52	106	2	7	21	49	186	407
Baker (1st)	151	205	25	27	83	106	6	5	48	39	313	382
Barnes (3rd)	105	218	18	28	52	104	2	6	23	43	200	399
Bradford* (2nd)	32	97	5	10	14	46	1	2	8	15	60	170
Crone (3rd)	93	229	13	18	55	87	3	5	9	48	173	387
Darden (4th)	87	210	9	28	41	90	2	5	14	48	153	381
Friedlander (2nd)	85	200	7	23	27	108	2	5	16	49	137	385
Kirsch (2nd)	94	220	11	23	39	105	3	5	23	41	170	394
Mathias (3rd)	113	208	11	20	51	102	3	6	16	44	194	380
May (4th)	96	203	10	22	54	85	2	5	16	37	178	352
Najam (1st)	103	220	6	26	47	111	3	7	19	39	178	403
Riley (4th)	103	182	8	27	46	102	2	7	24	45	183	363
Robb (5th)	95	203	15	25	54	92	3	4	21	39	188	363
Sharpnack (5th)	84	228	11	21	45	98	3	5	20	39	163	391
Sullivan** (2nd)	49	105	3	6	10	59	1	5	9	18	72	193
Vaidik (5th)	88	197	10	24	41	88	2	5	26	40	167	354

### Senior Judges

Barteau	26	0	2	0	15	0	1	0	1	0	45	0
Garrard	11	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	0
Hoffman	18	0	2	0	19	0	1	0	2	0	42	0
Robertson	36	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	2
Sullivan	8	17	1	1	3	11	0	0	1	1	13	30

<b>Total</b>	<b>1578</b>	<b>3156</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>1500</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>2868</b>	<b>5736</b>
--------------	-------------	-------------	------------	------------	------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	-------------	-------------

## Oral Arguments Heard

	Criminal		Post-Conviction		Civil		Expedite		Other		Total	
	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel	Writing	Panel
Bailey (1st)	4	2	0	0	1	5	0	1	0	2	5	10
Baker (1st)	4	6	0	0	7	8	0	1	0	3	11	18
Barnes (3rd)	1	4	0	0	1	4	0	2	0	0	2	10
Bradford* (2nd)	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	3
Crone (3rd)	1	4	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	8
Darden (4th)	0	2	0	0	5	5	1	2	0	1	6	10
Friedlander (2nd)	1	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	7
Kirsch (2nd)	2	4	0	0	2	7	0	0	0	2	4	13
Mathias (3rd)	4	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	1	6	6
May (4th)	0	4	0	0	4	4	1	0	0	0	5	8
Najam (1st)	0	2	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	1	3	9
Riley (4th)	0	2	0	0	3	6	0	1	1	0	4	9
Robb (5th)	2	3	0	0	5	10	1	1	3	2	11	16
Sharpnack (5th)	0	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	2	6
Sullivan** (2nd)	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	5
Vaidik (5th)	1	5	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	5	6

### Senior Judges

Barteau	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garrard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hoffman	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Robertson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>146</b>
--------------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	-----------	-----------	------------

## Cases Pending as of December 31, 2007

	Criminal	Post-Conviction	Civil	Expedite	Other	Total
Bailey (1st)	6	0	5	1	2	14
Baker (1st)	6	0	7	1	1	15
Barnes (3rd)	8	0	8	0	2	18
Bradford* (2nd)	8	2	6	0	1	17
Crone (3rd)	11	3	12	0	0	26
Darden (4th)	13	0	8	0	2	23
Friedlander (2nd)	13	1	3	0	5	22
Kirsch (2nd)	13	2	12	1	4	32
Mathias (3rd)	14	4	11	0	5	34
May (4th)	15	2	20	1	1	39
Najam (1st)	5	1	7	0	1	14
Riley (4th)	5	3	8	1	3	20
Robb (5th)	12	4	12	0	2	30
Sharpnack (5th)	15	2	10	1	0	28
Sullivan** (2nd)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vaidik (5th)	10	1	9	1	3	24
<b>Sr. Judge Total</b>	7	0	9	0	1	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>373</b>



## Successive Petitions for Post-Conviction Relief

### Case Movement

Pending 12/31/06	30
Petitions Filed	119
<b>Total</b>	<b>149</b>

### Authorization

Petitions Authorized To Be Filed in Trial Court for Hearing	5
Petitions Not Authorized To Be Filed in Trial Court for Hearing ("No Merit")	129
Petitions Pending	15
<b>Total</b>	<b>149</b>

## Motions to Dismiss or Affirm

### Case Movement

Pending 12/31/06	14
Petitions Filed	177
<b>Total</b>	<b>191</b>

### Dispositions

Motion to Dismiss or Affirm Sustained	105
Motion to Dismiss or Affirm Overruled	67
Dismissed on Appellant's Motion	0
Miscellaneous	0
SubTotal	172

<b>By Per Curiam Opinions</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>172</b>

### Pending

Pending Motions 12/31/07	19
--------------------------	----

## Statistics Regarding Disposition of Chief Judge Matters

### Preliminary Actions

Orders Granting Petitions to File Belated Appeal	7
Orders Denying Petitions to File Belated Appeal	3
Orders Granting Pre-Appeal Conferences	0
Orders Denying Pre-Appeal Conferences	1
Orders with Instructions from Pre-Appeal Conference	0
Orders Granting Permissive Interlocutory Appeals	51
Orders Denying Permissive Interlocutory Appeals	211

### Time Grants

Petitions for Time to File Record Granted	327
Petitions for Time to File Record Denied	20
Petitions for Time to File Appellant's Brief Granted	1412
Petitions for Time to File Appellant's Brief Denied	40
Petitions for Time to File Appellee's Brief Granted	686
Petitions for Time to File Appellee's Brief Denied	3
Petitions for Time to File Appellant's Reply Brief Granted	86
Petitions for Time to File Appellant's Reply Brief Denied	17

### Oral Argument Action

Orders Setting Oral Arguments	80
Orders Denying Petitions for Oral Arguments	63

### Others

Orders Granting Consolidations of Appeals	72
Orders Denying Consolidations of Appeals	15
Orders Granting Writs of Certiorari	0
Orders Denying Writs of Certiorari	0
Orders Granting Petitions to Amend Brief	0
Orders Denying Petitions to Amend Brief	0
Orders Granting Withdrawals of Record	290
Orders Denying Withdrawals of Record	27
Miscellaneous Orders	3272

### Dismissals

Orders Granting Appellants' Motions to Dismiss	239
Orders Denying Appellants' Motions to Dismiss	24
Orders Granting Appellees' Motions to Dismiss or Affirm	105
Orders Denying Appellees' Motions to Dismiss or Affirm	67
Court-Directed Orders of Dismissal	252

### Rehearings

Petitions for Rehearing Granted without Opinion	0
Petitions for Rehearing Denied without Opinion	282
Petitions for Rehearing Granted with Opinion	27
Petitions for Rehearing Denied with Opinion	0

<b>Total</b>	<b>7679</b>
--------------	-------------

# 2007

## INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT INDIANA TAX COURT



**The Honorable Thomas G. Fisher**

*Karyn Graves, Administrator*  
Indiana Tax Court  
115 West Washington Street, Suite 1160S  
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-4694  
Fax: (317) 232-0644

[www.in.gov/judiciary/tax/](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/tax/)

## TAX COURT SUMMARY INFORMATION

---

### 2007 Court Summary

#### Case Movement

Total Cases Pending 12/31/06	133
Total Cases Filed in 2007	80
Total Cases Reinstated	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>213</b>

#### Dispositions

Settled/Dismissed	48
Decided on Merits	41
Involuntary Dismissals	1
Less cases with written opinions but not fully disposed	-4
<b>Total</b>	<b>86</b>

<b>Total Pending 12/31/07</b>	<b>127</b>
-------------------------------	------------

#### Status of Cases Pending

Settled-Dismissals Pending	7
Proceedings Stayed Pending Outcome in Related Cases	8
Preliminary or Pleading Stage	41
Under Advisement	14
Status Report Due	16
Remanded	1
Mediation	1
Briefs Due	7
Set For Oral Argument	31
Interlocutory Appeal	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>127</b>

## ANALYSIS OF CASES FILED IN 2007

### Board of Tax Review

Personal Property	2
Real Property	22
Other	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>

### Department of Revenue

Income	14
Sales and Use	27
Fuels	0
Inheritance	0
CSET	0
Bank & FIT	0
Auto Excise	0
Withholding	0
Bond	0
Utility Receipts	9
Other	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>

<b>Total Filed</b>	<b>80</b>
--------------------	-----------

### County Where the Parties Elected to Hold Hearings

Marion	61
Allen	1
St. Joseph	9
Lake	8
Vigo	0
Vanderburgh	0
Jefferson	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>80</b>





# 2007

## INDIANA JUDICIAL SERVICE REPORT INDIANA TRIAL COURTS



*Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director*  
*Dave Remondini, Chief Deputy Executive Director*  
*Mary DePrez, Director and Counsel for Trial Court Technology*

Division of State Court Administration  
30 South Meridian, Ste 500  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2542  
Fax: (317) 233-6586

[www.in.gov/judiciary/admin](http://www.in.gov/judiciary/admin)

---

# SUMMARY

## OF

# CASELOAD REPORTS

---

Each quarter, Indiana's trial courts provide caseload information to the Division by filing a Quarterly Case Status Report ("QCSR"). This report contains summary information, by case type, on the number of cases filed and pending, the movement of cases between courts via transfer or venue, and the method by which a case was disposed during a reporting period. In 2007, all courts began reporting these statistics online using the Indiana Courts Online Reports (ICOR) system, through INcite, the Judicial Technology and Automation Committee's secure extranet for court information.

In addition to the cases that are handled by a specific court, the Quarterly Case Status Report tracks the amount of judicial resources available to a court and the time that a judge spends hearing cases in another court. The QCSR also captures other case-related information that can be used to administer and improve court projects and initiatives. For example, data is collected tracking the number of cases referred to alternative dispute resolution or for which pauper counsel was appointed, the number of cases that required the service of a court interpreter and the number of juvenile cases in which a guardian *ad litem*/court appointed special advocate was appointed.

Case information is categorized by case type using the classification code outlined in Indiana Administrative Rule 8(B)(3). Currently, criminal cases are divided into eleven categories, juvenile cases into six categories, and civil cases into sixteen categories. An

administrative case type called "court business record" is also recorded. Case type designations and categories are as follows:

---

### CRIMINAL CASE TYPES

---

If a defendant is charged with multiple offenses, the case is counted only one time under the most serious charge, combining the rest of the charges within the case. Even if the prosecutor later amends the charges, for administrative purposes, a case continues to be counted under its initial case designation in the statistical reports. Each defendant is assigned his or her own case number.

1. **MR - Murder:** All murder cases filed on or after 1/1/2002 are filed under this category. If the State seeks either the death penalty or life without parole that information is reported in the Quarterly Case Status Report.
2. **CF - Criminal Felony:** This category includes all cases filed prior to 1/1/2002 as Murder or Class A, B, and C felonies. Although no new filings are permitted for this category, existing cases with a CF designation are still reported and disposed in this category.
3. **FA - Class A Felony:** All Class A felonies filed on or after 1/1/2002. Examples include kidnapping, voluntary manslaughter with a deadly weapon, and arson involving bodily injury.

4. **FB - Class B Felony:** All Class B felonies filed on or after 1/1/2002. Examples include aggravated battery, rape, child molesting, carjacking, and armed robbery.
5. **FC - Class C Felony:** All Class C felonies filed on or after 1/1/2002. Examples include involuntary manslaughter, robbery, burglary, and reckless homicide.
6. **FD - Class D Felony:** All Class D felonies filed on or after 1/1/2002 plus all Class D felonies filed before 1/1/2002 that have the case type DF. Examples of crimes in this category include theft, receiving stolen property, computer tampering, and fraud.
7. **CM - Criminal Misdemeanor:** This category includes all criminal cases filed as misdemeanors. Examples of crimes in this category are criminal trespass; check deception, harassment, and battery.
8. **PC - Post-Conviction Petition:** This category includes all petitions for post-conviction relief filed under the Post-Conviction Rules.
9. **MC - Miscellaneous Criminal:** This category includes all criminal matters which are not easily classified as felony or misdemeanor and which are not part of an ongoing proceeding. An example of a case falling into this category would be a probable cause hearing in a case not yet filed. When a search warrant is issued before charges are filed, an MC case number is assigned for the search warrant and should be disposed of via a bench disposition.

10. **IF - Infractions:** Although infractions are technically non-criminal in nature, infractions are reported in the criminal category for the purposes of this report. Infractions are typically traffic-related offenses. Similar to other criminal cases and ordinance violations, multiple offenses (i.e., multiple tickets or citations issued to the same individual or arising from the same circumstances) result in only one case filing.
11. **OV/OE - Ordinance Violations:** These involve local ordinance violations. If an ordinance violation must be enforced through court proceedings, it is given an OV case type. The OE case type is used if the violator will not be charged a filing fee in accord with I.C. § 33-37-4-2(d). If found to have violated a non-exempt ordinance, the violator will be assessed the filing fee. Moving traffic violations must be enforced through a court proceeding. A municipal corporation may enforce many ordinance violations, however, without proceeding in court. The municipal corporation may enforce other ordinances (exempt ordinances) by establishing a violations clerk who accepts the admissions of violation and payment of civil penalties. In the event a violator chooses to challenge an otherwise exempt ordinance, the case is given case type OE and no filing fee is assessed, even if the violator is ultimately unsuccessful.

---

## JUVENILE CASE TYPES

---

Each child considered by the court system shall receive a separate cause number, regardless of his or her familial relationship to another child. This requirement is in accord with state statutes that require each individual child to be considered and addressed as an individual and not as a family group.

1. **JC - Juvenile CHINS:** This category reflects those cases before the court where a child is alleged to be a child in need of services as defined by I.C. § 31-34-1-1 *et. seq.* The case is counted as a new filing when a petition for detention hearing or when a request for authority to file a petition alleging that a child is in need of services is filed. Each child should be given a separate case number. Examples include circumstances where the child is not receiving and is unlikely to receive care, treatment or rehabilitation without court intervention.
2. **JD - Juvenile Delinquency:** Cases in which a child is alleged to be a delinquent are filed in this category. I.C. § 31-37-1-2 defines a delinquent act as one that is committed by a child before becoming eighteen (18) years of age and that would be an offense if committed by an adult. The case is recorded as a new filing when a petition for detention hearing or a petition alleging delinquency is filed.
3. **JS - Juvenile Status:** Cases in which a child is charged with committing an offense which would not be a crime if committed by an adult are filed in this category. Examples include curfew violations,

school truancy and underage alcohol purchase or consumption.

4. **JP - Juvenile Paternity:** This includes paternity actions filed by any of the parties specified by statute, including the prosecutor. (I. C. § 31-14-4-1 identifies who may file paternity actions.) A support action pursuant to a paternity affidavit would receive a JP classification. Protective orders should not be combined with the JP case type, even if they involve the same parties.
5. **JM - Juvenile Miscellaneous:** This category applies to juvenile matters which are not specifically listed in the previous juvenile case type categories. One example is the approval by the court of an informal adjustment.
6. **JT - Termination of Parental Rights:** This category includes all proceedings for termination of parental rights. In termination of parental rights cases involving multiple children, a separate case number must be assigned to each child, as well as each parent.

---

## CIVIL CASE TYPES

---

Civil cases are filed when the plaintiff or petitioner seeks monetary damages or court redress.

1. **CP - Civil Plenary:** All Civil Plenary cases filed before 1/1/2002. Although no new filings are permitted for this category, existing cases with a CP designation are still reported and disposed in this category. Generally, this category covered cases founded in contract, actions dealing with real and personal

- property, and actions seeking equitable or injunctive relief.
2. **PL - Civil Plenary:** All Civil Plenary cases filed on or after 1/1/2002. Basic civil cases not otherwise specifically included as separate categories are filed with this designation. Generally, these cases may be more complex cases not involving a mortgage foreclosure or the collection of an outstanding debt. Frequently cases involving contract disputes and actions seeking equitable or injunctive relief are assigned this case type.
  3. **MF - Mortgage Foreclosure:** All Mortgage Foreclosure cases filed after 1/1/2002 are reported in this category.
  4. **CC - Civil Collection:** All Civil Collections filed after 1/1/2002, are reported in this category, and may include the following: proceedings supplemental as an independent action; suits on notes and accounts; general collection suits; landlord/tenant suits for collection; ejectment; and tax warrants. If these cases are filed on the small claims docket of a court or the small claims division of a multi-division court, the SC case type should be used.
  5. **CT - Civil Tort:** Cases founded in tort and filed on the regular civil docket of the court are included in this category. Small claims, which also could be founded in tort, are included in a separate category.
  6. **SC - Small Claims:** This category includes cases filed on the small claims docket of Circuit, Superior, or County courts, as well as cases filed in the Marion County Small Claims Court. While city and town courts may have cases that fall within the monetary limits of small claims jurisdiction, those cases are not defined as small claims by statute and must be counted as PL – Plenary or CC – Civil Collection, depending upon the nature of the action. Small claims actions include cases where the amount in dispute is \$6000 or less, landlord-tenant ejectment actions, and landlord-tenant disputes.
  7. **DR - Domestic Relations:** Actions involving petitions for dissolution of marriage, legal separation, and petitions to establish child support are filed in this category.
  8. **RS - Reciprocal Support:** Actions for reciprocal enforcement of child support (URESAs) and petitions for modification of support or custody and/or support under the 2007 Amended Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act are counted in this category.
  9. **MH - Mental Health:** Proceedings involving mental health commitments, including temporary commitments, an extension of temporary commitment, regular commitment, or termination of a commitment, are filed under this category.
  10. **AD - Adoption:** Petitions for adoption are filed under this category. Additionally, on or after 1/1/2002, petitions seeking release of adoption records are filed in this category.
  11. **AH - Adoption History:** All petitions seeking release of adoption records filed prior to 1/1/2002 received this designation. Although no new filings are permitted for this category, existing cases with an AH designation are

still reported and disposed in this category.

12. **ES/EU- Estates:** This category includes both supervised and unsupervised probate of estates. Claims against the estate that are transferred for trial are listed as civil, or CT, matters. However, a dispute over a will is conducted under the estate case number and the resolution defines the manner in which the estate will be probated.
13. **GU - Guardianship:** Petitions for appointment of guardians are filed under this category. A guardianship case is considered “closed” when the court enters an order appointing and approving the guardianship.
14. **TR - Trusts:** This category includes trust matters before the court. This case type includes trusts that have been created through an estate and are separately reported from the estate.
15. **PO - Protective Order:** New petitions for protective orders which are not part of an ongoing process (such as marriage dissolution) are filed in this category. However, if the parties subsequently file a petition for dissolution, the cases remain separate for reporting, enforcement and retention purposes.
16. **MI - Civil Miscellaneous:** Routine civil matters which are not easily categorized in other areas and which are not part of any other pending litigation may be included in this category. Examples are petitions for name change, appointments of appraisers, petitions for emancipation, a proceeding to reinstate a driver’s license that has been

administratively suspended, a Habeas Corpus case from DOC, and marriage waivers.

---

## ADMINISTRATIVE CASE TYPE

---

1. **CB - Court Business Record:** This category includes non-case specific matters, such as the appointment of a judge *pro tem* or the appointment of pauper counsel, drawing the jury, adopting or amending local rules, or recording a foreign protective order. The case type assists the court in having reference numbers for court business activities and will promote a smooth transition to the statewide case management system in the near future.

---

## DISPOSITION CATEGORIES

---

The Quarterly Case Status Reports also include summary dispositional information. A brief description of the disposition categories is as follows:

1. **Jury Trial:** This category reflects cases that have been decided by a jury or have gone to the jury. This type of disposition is limited to cases where the jury is seated and sworn and the court has received evidence.
2. **Bench Trial:** Cases that are disposed of by the court after a trial in which a witness is sworn. Until 1999, cases in which a trial did not take place were also counted as disposed by bench trial. After 1999, such cases have been included under “bench disposition.”
3. **Bench Disposition:** Cases that are disposed by final judicial determination of an issue, but where no witnesses are sworn and no



evidence is introduced, should be counted in this category. These dispositions include decisions on motions for summary judgment, hearings on other dispositive motions, and settled cases in which the parties tender an agreed judgment to the court for approval, which can then be enforced through proceedings supplemental to execution. Approval of informal adjustments in juvenile matters and issuance of search warrants unrelated to any pending case also generally fall into this category. This category was new, and voluntary, during 1999. It became mandatory beginning January 1, 2001.

4. **Dismissed:** This applies to cases which are dismissed either by the court on its own motion (Trial Rule 41(E)), upon the motion of a party, or upon an agreed entry as the result of settlement between the parties.
5. **Default:** This category is applicable only in civil cases, infractions and ordinance violations where the defendant fails to comply with the trial rules and a judgment of default is entered by the court.
6. **Deferred/Diverted:** This category was added in January 2002. If a prosecutor and defendant agree to defer prosecution or for the defendant to enter a diversion program, the case is disposed in this category. Even though the case is not formally dismissed until the completion of the deferral obligations, this category permits the criminal courts to reduce their pending caseloads by the number of cases where the cases will eventually be dismissed. Likewise, even though diversion programs are generally part of a guilty plea, they fall into this category as a way for

the state to track the number of defendants consenting to the programs.

7. **Guilty Plea/Admission:** Cases in which the defendant pleads guilty to an offense or admits to the commission of an infraction or ordinance violation are counted under this category. Infraction and ordinance violation cases are only reflected in this disposition category if the case actually comes before the court for decision, or the case involves a moving traffic violation, pursuant to I.C. § 34-28-5-1. Also included in this category are dispositions of juvenile cases where the juvenile admits the claims, or the father admits paternity; and in protective order cases where a party admits to the claims in the protective order.
8. **Violations Bureau:** This disposition category reflects infractions and ordinance violations that have been filed in the court but are handled through a violations bureau, or if the county does not have an established bureau, a payment clerk. Cases counted here include those in which a defendant makes an admission, pleads guilty, or pays a fine through the bureau, through the clerk, or through the mail, rather than in court. However, infractions or ordinance violations that involve moving traffic violations may not be disposed in this category even if the driver pays the ticket/citation, pursuant to I.C. § 34-28-5-1.
9. **Closed:** Routine closing of an estate or adoption proceeding, as well as the routine termination of a trust or guardianship are counted in this disposition type. Also included in this category are cases where the defendant has filed bankruptcy or the case is removed to federal court.

10. **FTA/FTP:** This category includes ordinance violation cases and infraction cases in which the defendants fail to appear or fail to pay. Once counted in this category, the case is not recounted even if the defendant later appears, pays, or proceeds to a full trial.
11. **Other:** Any case disposition that is not otherwise accounted for in the preceding categories may be included here. One example would be a case resolved by the death of the defendant or the case was opened in error.

---

## MOVEMENT OF CASES



---

In addition to cases being filed and disposed, cases may be venued or transferred between courts.



1. **Venued In/Out:** Cases that have been filed in a court but are moved to another county for any reason should be listed in this category.
2. **Transferred In/Out:** Cases that are transferred from one court to another within the same county, or from one court docket to another (such as a move from small claims docket to the civil plenary docket), should be recorded here. In the event a motion for change of venue from the judge results in a transfer of the case to another court in the same county, the case should also be counted in this category.

# TRIAL COURT STATISTICAL REPORTS

## Total Cases Filed and Disposed from 1998 to 2007



## Summary of 2007 New Filings by General Case Type



As can be seen in the pie charts, Infraction and Ordinance Violation case types together comprise the highest number of new filings for Courts of Record and City, Town and Small Claims courts. The amount of time required to adjudicate these cases is relatively small in comparison to other case types. Further information about the weighted caseload measures employed in Indiana to determine relative time differences in case types is contained in the next section of this report.

# COMPARISON OF CASES FILED FROM 1998 TO 2007

## Cases Filed--All Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b>Criminal</b>										
Murder*					279	243	234	232	228	209
Felony	14,722	14,715	15,964	16,443	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony					2,534	2,505	2,577	2,671	2,829	2,765
Class B Felony					5,525	5,902	5,982	5,717	5,906	5,741
Class C Felony					9,340	9,500	9,596	10,025	10,039	10,009
Class D Felony	39,064	39,167	39,144	40,634	42,961	44,690	47,498	48,266	48,985	51,230
Misdemeanor	225,207	199,016	198,680	201,639	204,239	200,347	203,161	201,711	197,372	200,071
Post conviction	935	1,097	1,225	1,206	1,385	1,213	1,072	970	878	999
Misc. Criminal	9,893	9,912	11,329	13,762	17,059	17,642	26,259	21,306	24,335	26,859
Infractions	667,974	654,838	754,933	839,762	885,562	740,201	641,144	691,506	774,286	852,868
Ordinance Violations	104,435	90,648	92,409	88,121	115,638	97,205	91,521	86,084	102,065	96,234
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>1,062,230</b>	<b>1,009,393</b>	<b>1,113,684</b>	<b>1,201,567</b>	<b>1,284,522</b>	<b>1,119,448</b>	<b>1,029,044</b>	<b>1,068,488</b>	<b>1,166,923</b>	<b>1,246,985</b>

<b>Juvenile</b>										
CHINS	7,236	7,772	8,080	7,888	8,215	8,655	9,574	9,865	8,861	10,143
Delinquency	25,563	24,643	24,419	25,547	26,101	25,861	26,653	26,926	27,835	24,706
Status	5,618	5,389	6,033	6,375	6,314	6,832	6,460	6,661	7,448	6,091
Paternity	13,638	14,318	15,442	16,147	16,310	17,813	16,710	18,277	20,651	21,057
Miscellaneous	6,669	7,331	6,244	6,434	6,281	7,615	7,245	7,159	8,969	10,281
Term Parental Rights	1,271	1,816	1,637	1,551	1,513	1,801	2,097	2,224	2,553	2,504
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>59,995</b>	<b>61,269</b>	<b>61,855</b>	<b>63,942</b>	<b>64,734</b>	<b>68,577</b>	<b>68,739</b>	<b>71,112</b>	<b>76,317</b>	<b>74,782</b>

<b>Civil</b>										
Plenary	83,335	81,561	90,707	103,499	36,358	28,346	22,981	20,687	21,475	20,457
Mortgage Foreclosure					29,731	29,827	30,867	34,142	40,896	43,804
Civil Collections					51,760	60,021	66,355	63,667	68,709	82,139
Tort	12,144	12,336	12,588	12,169	14,596	13,565	15,387	13,588	12,915	11,747
Domestic Relations	42,323	41,139	41,587	40,682	39,794	38,360	37,410	39,039	37,491	37,861
Reciprocal Support	3,041	2,766	2,497	3,174	3,125	3,078	2,843	2,837	3,063	3,123
Mental Health	4,383	5,043	5,359	5,946	6,109	5,991	6,568	6,748	6,833	7,305
Protective Orders	20,175	21,066	24,326	27,067	26,387	25,067	27,004	28,373	29,323	31,953
Miscellaneous	8,964	9,685	10,742	9,216	10,122	11,367	11,883	12,013	12,306	11,690
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>174,365</b>	<b>173,596</b>	<b>187,806</b>	<b>201,753</b>	<b>217,982</b>	<b>215,622</b>	<b>221,298</b>	<b>221,094</b>	<b>233,011</b>	<b>250,079</b>
Small Claims	287,828	282,218	289,964	305,776	290,493	298,477	297,891	296,240	282,943	281,530

<b>Probate/ Adoption</b>										
Adoption	3,310	3,507	3,874	3,826	3,647	3,430	3,615	3,549	3,640	3,722
Adoption Histories	114	62	53	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
Estate	16,604	15,477	15,012	15,633	15,705	15,428	15,240	15,086	14,386	14,187
Guardianship	6,929	6,502	6,519	6,475	6,544	6,469	6,671	6,657	6,695	6,814
Trusts	262	415	386	310	348	432	432	474	444	443
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>27,219</b>	<b>25,963</b>	<b>25,844</b>	<b>26,292</b>	<b>26,244</b>	<b>25,759</b>	<b>25,958</b>	<b>25,766</b>	<b>25,165</b>	<b>25,166</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,611,637</b>	<b>1,552,439</b>	<b>1,679,153</b>	<b>1,799,330</b>	<b>1,883,975</b>	<b>1,727,883</b>	<b>1,642,930</b>	<b>1,682,700</b>	<b>1,784,359</b>	<b>1,878,542</b>

## Cases Filed--Circuit, Superior, County and Probate Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b>Criminal</b>										
Murder*					279	243	234	232	228	209
Felony	14,722	14,715	15,964	16,443	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony					2,534	2,505	2,577	2,671	2,829	2,765
Class B Felony					5,525	5,902	5,982	5,717	5,906	5,741
Class C Felony					9,340	9,500	9,596	10,025	10,039	10,009
Class D Felony	39,064	39,167	39,144	40,634	42,961	44,690	47,498	48,266	48,984	51,230
Misdemeanor	149,913	147,036	149,066	148,544	153,326	152,421	155,362	152,198	152,142	152,280
Post conviction	856	1,021	1,170	1,143	1,317	1,175	1,071	970	878	999
Misc. Criminal	9,633	9,340	10,828	13,383	15,456	17,228	25,376	20,790	23,675	25,901
Infractions	451,724	455,360	522,432	568,077	613,535	510,419	419,613	470,335	540,391	608,031
Ordinance Violations	59,143	67,902	69,067	60,684	82,777	64,951	54,763	50,494	65,227	59,893
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>725,055</b>	<b>734,541</b>	<b>807,671</b>	<b>848,908</b>	<b>927,050</b>	<b>809,034</b>	<b>722,072</b>	<b>761,698</b>	<b>850,299</b>	<b>917,058</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>										
CHINS	7,236	7,772	8,080	7,888	8,215	8,655	9,574	9,865	8,861	10,143
Delinquency	25,563	24,643	24,419	25,547	26,101	25,861	26,653	26,926	27,835	24,706
Status	5,618	5,389	6,033	6,375	6,314	6,832	6,460	6,661	7,448	6,091
Paternity	13,638	14,318	15,442	16,147	16,310	17,813	16,710	18,277	20,651	21,057
Miscellaneous	6,669	7,331	6,244	6,434	6,281	7,615	7,245	7,159	8,969	10,281
Term Parental Rights	1,271	1,816	1,637	1,551	1,513	1,801	2,097	2,224	2,553	2,504
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>59,995</b>	<b>61,269</b>	<b>61,855</b>	<b>63,942</b>	<b>64,734</b>	<b>68,577</b>	<b>68,739</b>	<b>71,112</b>	<b>76,317</b>	<b>74,782</b>
<b>Civil</b>										
Plenary	71,824	71,778	78,515	91,221	20,312	20,657	16,412	14,846	15,045	13,430
Mortgage Foreclosure					29,731	29,827	30,867	34,142	40,896	43,804
Civil Collections					50,101	56,832	63,189	59,559	65,121	80,667
Tort	12,144	12,336	12,588	12,169	12,795	11,874	12,388	11,255	10,706	9,660
Domestic Relations	42,323	41,139	41,587	40,682	39,794	38,360	37,410	39,039	37,491	37,861
Reciprocal Support	3,041	2,766	2,497	3,174	3,125	3,078	2,843	2,837	3,063	3,123
Mental Health	4,342	5,007	5,341	5,916	6,099	5,969	6,528	6,711	6,800	7,278
Protective Orders	20,175	21,066	24,326	27,067	26,387	25,067	27,004	28,373	29,323	31,953
Miscellaneous	8,964	9,684	10,742	9,216	10,116	11,308	11,601	11,982	12,232	11,687
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>162,813</b>	<b>163,776</b>	<b>175,596</b>	<b>189,445</b>	<b>198,460</b>	<b>202,972</b>	<b>208,242</b>	<b>208,744</b>	<b>220,677</b>	<b>239,463</b>
Small Claims	277,066	272,545	272,437	299,033	217,582	225,275	224,725	220,834	211,089	207,179
<b>Probate/ Adoption</b>										
Adoption	3,310	3,507	3,874	3,826	3,647	3,430	3,615	3,549	3,640	3,722
Adoption Histories	114	62	53	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
Estate	16,604	15,477	15,012	15,633	15,705	15,428	15,240	15,086	14,386	14,187
Guardianship	6,929	6,605	6,519	6,475	6,544	6,469	6,671	6,657	6,695	6,814
Trusts	262	415	386	310	348	432	432	474	444	443
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>27,219</b>	<b>26,066</b>	<b>25,844</b>	<b>26,292</b>	<b>26,244</b>	<b>25,759</b>	<b>25,958</b>	<b>25,766</b>	<b>25,165</b>	<b>25,166</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,252,148</b>	<b>1,258,197</b>	<b>1,343,403</b>	<b>1,427,620</b>	<b>1,434,070</b>	<b>1,331,617</b>	<b>1,249,736</b>	<b>1,288,154</b>	<b>1,383,547</b>	<b>1,463,648</b>



## Cases Filed--City, Town and Small Claims Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b><i>Criminal</i></b>										
Murder*										
Felony										
Class A Felony										
Class B Felony										
Class C Felony										
Class D Felony	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Misdemeanor	75,294	51,980	49,614	53,095	50,913	47,926	47,799	49,513	45,230	47,791
Post conviction	79	76	55	63	68	38	1	0	0	0
Misc. Criminal	260	572	501	329	1,603	414	883	516	660	958
Infractions	216,250	199,478	232,501	271,685	272,027	229,782	221,531	221,171	233,895	244,837
Ordinance Violations	45,292	22,746	23,342	27,437	32,861	32,254	36,758	35,590	36,838	36,341
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>337,175</b>	<b>274,852</b>	<b>306,013</b>	<b>352,609</b>	<b>357,472</b>	<b>310,414</b>	<b>306,972</b>	<b>306,790</b>	<b>316,624</b>	<b>329,927</b>
<b><i>Juvenile</i></b>										
CHINS										
Delinquency										
Status										
Paternity										
Miscellaneous										
Term Parental Rights										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b><i>Civil</i></b>										
Plenary	11,511	9,783	12,192	12,278	16,046	7,689	6,569	5,841	6,430	7,027
Mortgage Foreclosure										
Civil Collections					1,659	3,189	3,166	4,108	3,588	1,472
Tort					1,801	1,691	2,999	2,333	2,209	2,087
Domestic Relations										
Reciprocal Support										
Mental Health	41	36	18	30	10	22	40	37	33	27
Protective Orders										
Miscellaneous	0	1	0	0	6	59	282	31	74	3
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>11,552</b>	<b>9,820</b>	<b>12,210</b>	<b>12,308</b>	<b>19,522</b>	<b>12,650</b>	<b>13,056</b>	<b>12,350</b>	<b>12,334</b>	<b>10,616</b>
Small Claims	77,915	76,002	74,112	75,023	72,911	73,202	73,166	75,406	71,854	74,351
<b><i>Probate/ Adoption</i></b>										
Adoption										
Adoption Histories										
Estate										
Guardianship										
Trusts										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>426,642</b>	<b>360,674</b>	<b>392,335</b>	<b>439,940</b>	<b>449,905</b>	<b>396,266</b>	<b>393,194</b>	<b>394,546</b>	<b>400,812</b>	<b>414,894</b>

## Cases Disposed--All Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b><i>Criminal</i></b>										
Murder					44	237	216	237	244	241
Felony	15,518	15,701	15,295	16,207	10,777	3,447	1,636	1,614	994	1,288
Class A Felony					621	2,042	2,299	2,462	2,621	2,734
Class B Felony					1,790	4,889	5,318	5,511	5,976	5,794
Class C Felony					3,618	8,157	8,407	9,428	9,960	9,966
Class D Felony	35,748	39,964	40,173	40,742	41,935	45,551	43,799	44,975	47,032	50,399
Misdemeanor	194,876	202,646	199,498	199,287	206,895	210,598	202,430	195,052	194,681	195,360
Post conviction	911	755	838	718	892	786	1,280	1,021	709	743
Misc. Criminal	9,328	9,812	10,659	12,468	15,726	17,011	18,826	19,576	26,238	23,914
Infractions	630,329	643,071	747,432	837,308	905,916	762,833	663,027	694,606	755,269	837,049
Ordinance Violations	83,146	92,533	96,818	93,980	119,459	101,844	86,953	82,963	99,347	92,664
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>969,856</b>	<b>1,004,482</b>	<b>1,110,713</b>	<b>1,200,710</b>	<b>1,307,673</b>	<b>1,157,395</b>	<b>1,034,191</b>	<b>1,057,445</b>	<b>1,143,071</b>	<b>1,220,152</b>
<b><i>Juvenile</i></b>										
CHINS	6,186	6,363	7,150	7,535	7,471	7,201	8,446	8,032	8,702	9,277
Delinquency	25,675	23,939	23,867	24,682	24,157	25,401	23,392	22,677	23,295	22,947
Status	7,018	5,200	5,589	5,970	5,612	6,287	5,837	5,315	6,248	5,386
Paternity	13,116	11,900	13,057	13,739	14,832	14,794	14,786	16,381	17,961	19,007
Miscellaneous	6,908	6,499	5,969	5,939	5,730	7,146	6,823	6,442	8,457	10,453
Term Parental Rights	839	1,241	1,630	1,557	1,506	1,692	1,515	1,674	2,240	2,143
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>59,742</b>	<b>55,142</b>	<b>57,262</b>	<b>59,422</b>	<b>59,308</b>	<b>62,521</b>	<b>60,799</b>	<b>60,521</b>	<b>66,903</b>	<b>69,213</b>
<b><i>Civil</i></b>										
Plenary	84,610	80,500	81,166	95,806	57,603	35,131	28,654	28,057	23,411	16,406
Mortgage Foreclosure					15,740	28,362	29,889	31,414	39,091	42,600
Civil Collections					29,908	51,242	56,853	59,064	57,926	74,501
Tort	11,991	12,717	12,506	12,997	15,393	15,444	15,211	13,686	13,120	11,903
Domestic Relations	42,948	41,830	42,651	41,726	40,413	38,858	36,138	34,430	36,256	36,808
Reciprocal Support	3,012	2,783	2,296	2,099	2,366	3,371	2,091	2,636	2,227	2,083
Mental Health	5,076	4,880	4,712	5,079	4,536	5,045	5,831	5,997	5,870	6,101
Protective Orders	18,899	20,895	24,016	24,400	26,170	23,708	24,062	26,829	26,420	32,652
Miscellaneous	8,144	8,221	7,355	8,277	8,297	10,304	10,995	12,442	10,646	10,243
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>174,680</b>	<b>171,826</b>	<b>174,702</b>	<b>190,384</b>	<b>200,426</b>	<b>211,465</b>	<b>209,724</b>	<b>214,555</b>	<b>214,967</b>	<b>233,297</b>
Small Claims	277,066	272,545	272,437	299,033	284,741	289,841	287,761	295,613	280,447	274,490
<b><i>Probate/ Adoption</i></b>										
Adoption	3,233	3,281	3,417	3,521	3,712	3,168	3,392	3,269	3,244	3,172
Adoption Histories	86	58	37	50	53	7	6	4	19	66
Estate	16,223	14,912	14,005	14,566	14,872	14,737	15,538	14,053	13,679	15,754
Guardianship	5,773	4,857	5,940	7,017	5,428	6,139	5,561	5,431	5,453	8,881
Trusts	253	345	304	307	233	445	349	306	225	458
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>25,568</b>	<b>23,453</b>	<b>23,703</b>	<b>25,461</b>	<b>24,298</b>	<b>24,496</b>	<b>24,846</b>	<b>23,063</b>	<b>22,620</b>	<b>28,331</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,506,912</b>	<b>1,527,448</b>	<b>1,638,817</b>	<b>1,775,010</b>	<b>1,876,446</b>	<b>1,745,718</b>	<b>1,617,321</b>	<b>1,651,197</b>	<b>1,728,008</b>	<b>1,825,483</b>

## Cases Disposed--Circuit, Superior, County and Probate Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b><i>Criminal</i></b>										
Murder					44	237	216	237	244	241
Felony	15,518	15,701	15,295	16,207	10,777	3,447	1,636	1,614	994	1,288
Class A Felony					621	2,042	2,299	2,462	2,621	2,734
Class B Felony					1,790	4,889	5,318	5,511	5,976	5,794
Class C Felony					3,618	8,157	8,407	9,428	9,960	9,966
Class D Felony	35,748	39,964	40,173	40,742	41,935	45,551	43,799	44,975	47,031	50,399
Misdemeanor	146,628	144,154	152,701	150,881	159,128	166,575	153,715	150,907	149,607	154,495
Post conviction	813	675	778	653	817	746	1,278	1,020	707	743
Misc. Criminal	9,116	9,330	10,372	12,137	14,369	16,739	17,930	19,183	25,986	23,667
Infractions	447,634	449,348	525,819	575,945	629,645	520,168	442,519	469,331	513,874	597,395
Ordinance Violations	61,506	70,524	76,187	66,843	87,457	72,616	54,054	51,111	63,950	60,481
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>716,963</b>	<b>729,696</b>	<b>821,325</b>	<b>863,408</b>	<b>950,201</b>	<b>841,167</b>	<b>731,171</b>	<b>755,779</b>	<b>820,950</b>	<b>907,203</b>
<b><i>Juvenile</i></b>										
CHINS	6,186	6,363	7,150	7,535	7,471	7,201	8,446	8,032	8,702	9,277
Delinquency	25,675	23,939	23,867	24,682	24,157	25,401	23,392	22,677	23,295	22,947
Status	7,018	5,200	5,589	5,970	5,612	6,287	5,837	5,315	6,248	5,386
Paternity	13,116	11,900	13,057	13,739	14,832	14,794	14,786	16,381	17,961	19,007
Miscellaneous	6,908	6,499	5,969	5,939	5,730	7,146	6,823	6,442	8,457	10,453
Term Parental Rights	839	1,241	1,630	1,557	1,506	1,692	1,515	1,674	2,240	2,143
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>59,742</b>	<b>55,142</b>	<b>57,262</b>	<b>59,422</b>	<b>59,308</b>	<b>62,521</b>	<b>60,799</b>	<b>60,521</b>	<b>66,903</b>	<b>69,213</b>
<b><i>Civil</i></b>										
Plenary	68,029	67,053	70,434	82,666	49,103	28,793	23,314	19,934	16,950	15,899
Mortgage Foreclosure					15,740	28,362	29,889	31,414	39,091	42,600
Civil Collections					28,647	46,171	53,695	55,853	55,150	72,728
Tort	11,991	12,717	12,506	12,997	12,365	12,379	12,164	11,458	11,146	10,325
Domestic Relations	42,948	41,830	42,651	41,726	40,413	38,858	36,138	34,430	36,256	36,808
Reciprocal Support	3,012	2,783	2,296	2,099	2,366	3,371	2,091	2,636	2,227	2,083
Mental Health	5,035	4,844	4,694	5,049	4,526	5,023	5,791	5,960	5,837	6,074
Protective Orders	18,899	20,895	24,016	24,400	26,170	23,708	24,062	26,829	26,420	32,652
Miscellaneous	8,144	8,220	7,355	8,277	8,292	10,243	10,823	12,438	10,610	10,174
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>158,058</b>	<b>158,342</b>	<b>163,952</b>	<b>177,214</b>	<b>187,622</b>	<b>196,908</b>	<b>197,967</b>	<b>200,952</b>	<b>203,687</b>	<b>229,343</b>
Small Claims	201,470	198,191	204,316	223,941	212,216	213,600	218,636	212,145	207,345	204,169
<b><i>Probate/ Adoption</i></b>										
Adoption	3,233	3,281	3,417	3,521	3,712	3,168	3,392	3,269	3,244	3,172
Adoption Histories	86	58	37	50	53	7	6	4	19	66
Estate	16,223	14,912	14,005	14,566	14,872	14,737	15,538	14,053	13,679	15,754
Guardianship	5,773	4,857	5,940	7,017	5,428	6,139	5,561	5,431	5,453	8,881
Trusts	253	345	304	307	233	445	349	306	225	458
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>25,568</b>	<b>23,453</b>	<b>23,703</b>	<b>25,461</b>	<b>24,298</b>	<b>24,496</b>	<b>24,846</b>	<b>23,063</b>	<b>22,620</b>	<b>28,331</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1,161,801</b>	<b>1,164,824</b>	<b>1,270,558</b>	<b>1,349,446</b>	<b>1,433,645</b>	<b>1,338,692</b>	<b>1,233,419</b>	<b>1,252,460</b>	<b>1,321,505</b>	<b>1,438,259</b>

## Cases Disposed—City, Town and Small Claims Courts

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
<b><i>Criminal</i></b>										
Murder*										
Felony										
Class A Felony										
Class B Felony										
Class C Felony										
Class D Felony									1	
Misdemeanor	48,248	58,492	46,797	48,436	47,767	44,023	48,715	44,145	45,074	40,865
Post conviction	98	80	60	65	75	40	2	1	2	0
Misc. Criminal	212	482	287	331	1,357	272	896	393	252	247
Infractions	182,695	193,723	221,613	261,363	276,271	242,665	220,508	225,275	241,395	239,654
Ordinance Violations	21,640	22,009	20,631	27,137	32,002	29,228	32,899	31,852	35,397	32,183
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>252,893</b>	<b>274,786</b>	<b>289,388</b>	<b>337,332</b>	<b>357,472</b>	<b>316,228</b>	<b>303,020</b>	<b>301,666</b>	<b>322,121</b>	<b>312,949</b>
<b><i>Juvenile</i></b>										
CHINS										
Delinquency										
Status										
Paternity										
Miscellaneous										
Term Parental Rights										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b><i>Civil</i></b>										
Plenary	16,581	13,447	10,732	13,140	8,500	6,338	5,340	8,123	6,461	507
Mortgage Foreclosure										
Civil Collections					1,261	5,071	3,158	3,211	2,776	1,773
Tort					3,028	3,065	3,047	2,228	1,974	1,578
Domestic Relations										
Reciprocal Support										
Mental Health	41	36	18	30	10	22	40	37	33	27
Protective Orders										
Miscellaneous	0	1	0	0	5	61	172	4	36	69
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>16,622</b>	<b>13,484</b>	<b>10,750</b>	<b>13,170</b>	<b>12,804</b>	<b>14,557</b>	<b>11,757</b>	<b>13,603</b>	<b>11,280</b>	<b>3,954</b>
Small Claims	75,596	74,354	68,121	75,092	72,525	76,241	69,125	83,468	73,102	70,321
<b><i>Probate/ Adoption</i></b>										
Adoption										
Adoption Histories										
Estate										
Guardianship										
Trusts										
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>345,111</b>	<b>362,624</b>	<b>368,259</b>	<b>425,594</b>	<b>442,801</b>	<b>407,026</b>	<b>383,902</b>	<b>398,737</b>	<b>406,503</b>	<b>387,224</b>

## 2007 CASE INFORMATION

### Cases Pending on January 1, 2007

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	341	0	0	0	341
Felony (CF)	10,654	0	0	0	10,654
Class A Felony (FA)	3,272	0	0	0	3,272
Class B Felony (FB)	6,050	0	0	0	6,050
Class C Felony (FC)	10,381	0	0	0	10,381
Class D Felony (FD)	58,395	0	0	0	58,395
Misdemeanor (CM)	175,487	135,509	0	135,509	310,996
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	3,115	20	0	20	3,135
Miscellaneous (MC)	9,287	1,106	0	1,106	10,393
Infraction (IF)	250,279	159,329	0	159,329	409,608
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	29,787	62,955	0	62,955	92,742
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>557,048</b>	<b>358,919</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>358,919</b>	<b>915,967</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	12,454	0	0	0	12,454
Delinquency (JD)	22,095	0	0	0	22,095
Status (JS)	6,210	0	0	0	6,210
Paternity (JP)	43,273	0	0	0	43,273
Miscellaneous (JM)	6,125	0	0	0	6,125
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	3,223	0	0	0	3,223
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>93,380</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>93,380</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	61,336	1,199	0	1,199	62,535
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	22,147	0	0	0	22,147
Civil Collections (CC)	52,980	3,542	0	3,542	56,522
Tort (CT)	24,529	1,344	0	1,344	25,873
Small Claims (SC)	189,364	4,741	61,645	66,386	255,750
Domestic Relations (DR)	65,884	0	0	0	65,884
Reciprocal Support (RS)	12,083	0	0	0	12,083
Mental Health (MH)	11,468	0	0	0	11,468
Adoptions (AD)	4,762	0	0	0	4,762
Adoption History (AH)	80	0	0	0	80
Estates (ES/EU)	50,297	0	0	0	50,297
Guardianships (GU)	54,255	0	0	0	54,255
Trusts (TR)	2,055	0	0	0	2,055
Protective Orders (PO)	18,508	0	0	0	18,508
Miscellaneous (MI)	20,876	71	0	71	20,947
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>590,624</b>	<b>10,897</b>	<b>61,645</b>	<b>72,542</b>	<b>663,166</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1,241,052</b>	<b>369,816</b>	<b>61,645</b>	<b>431,461</b>	<b>1,672,513</b>

## 2007 Total Cases Filed

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	209	0	0	0	209
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	2,765	0	0	0	2,765
Class B Felony (FB)	5,741	0	0	0	5,741
Class C Felony (FC)	10,009	0	0	0	10,009
Class D Felony (FD)	51,230	0	0	0	51,230
Misdemeanor (CM)	152,280	47,791	0	47,791	200,071
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	999	0	0	0	999
Miscellaneous (MC)	25,901	958	0	958	26,859
Infraction (IF)	608,031	244,837	0	244,837	852,868
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	59,893	36,341	0	36,341	96,234
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>917,058</b>	<b>329,927</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>329,927</b>	<b>1,246,985</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	10,143	0	0	0	10,143
Delinquency (JD)	24,706	0	0	0	24,706
Status (JS)	6,091	0	0	0	6,091
Paternity (JP)	21,057	0	0	0	21,057
Miscellaneous (JM)	10,281	0	0	0	10,281
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	2,504	0	0	0	2,504
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>74,782</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>74,782</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	13,430	7,027	0	7,027	20,457
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	43,804	0	0	0	43,804
Civil Collections (CC)	80,667	1,472	0	1,472	82,139
Tort (CT)	9,660	2,087	0	2,087	11,747
Small Claims (SC)	207,179	2,162	72,189	74,351	281,530
Domestic Relations (DR)	37,861	0	0	0	37,861
Reciprocal Support (RS)	3,123	0	0	0	3,123
Mental Health (MH)	7,278	27	0	27	7,305
Adoptions (AD)	3,722	0	0	0	3,722
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	14,187	0	0	0	14,187
Guardianships (GU)	6,814	0	0	0	6,814
Trusts (TR)	443	0	0	0	443
Protective Orders (PO)	31,953	0	0	0	31,953
Miscellaneous (MI)	11,687	3	0	3	11,690
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>471,808</b>	<b>12,778</b>	<b>72,189</b>	<b>84,967</b>	<b>556,775</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1,463,648</b>	<b>342,705</b>	<b>72,189</b>	<b>414,894</b>	<b>1,878,542</b>



## 2007 Total Cases Venued In

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	1	0	0	0	1
Class B Felony (FB)	4	0	0	0	4
Class C Felony (FC)	3	0	0	0	3
Class D Felony (FD)	11	0	0	0	11
Misdemeanor (CM)	5	0	0	0	5
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	4	0	0	0	4
Miscellaneous (MC)	6	0	0	0	6
Infraction (IF)	0	49	0	49	49
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	13	0	0	0	13
Delinquency (JD)	63	0	0	0	63
Status (JS)	9	0	0	0	9
Paternity (JP)	39	0	0	0	39
Miscellaneous (JM)	9	0	0	0	9
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	55	0	0	0	55
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	16	0	0	0	16
Civil Collections (CC)	294	0	0	0	294
Tort (CT)	52	0	0	0	52
Small Claims (SC)	102	0	93	93	195
Domestic Relations (DR)	117	0	0	0	117
Reciprocal Support (RS)	8	0	0	0	8
Mental Health (MH)	3	0	0	0	3
Adoptions (AD)	21	0	0	0	21
Adoption History (AH)	4	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	4	0	0	0	4
Guardianships (GU)	11	0	0	0	11
Trusts (TR)	5	0	0	0	5
Protective Orders (PO)	55	0	0	0	55
Miscellaneous (MI)	6	0	0	0	6
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>842</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>1,058</b>

## 2007 Total Cases Transferred In

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	13	0	0	0	13
Felony (CF)	80	0	0	0	80
Class A Felony (FA)	578	0	0	0	578
Class B Felony (FB)	664	0	0	0	664
Class C Felony (FC)	1,102	0	0	0	1,102
Class D Felony (FD)	5,334	0	0	0	5,334
Misdemeanor (CM)	7,178	29	0	29	7,207
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	24	0	0	0	24
Miscellaneous (MC)	162	0	0	0	162
Infraction (IF)	15,545	16	0	16	15,561
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	3,438	1	0	1	3,439
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>34,118</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34,164</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	46	0	0	0	46
Delinquency (JD)	150	0	0	0	150
Status (JS)	24	0	0	0	24
Paternity (JP)	170	0	0	0	170
Miscellaneous (JM)	23	0	0	0	23
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	7	0	0	0	7
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>420</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	495	0	0	0	495
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	160	0	0	0	160
Civil Collections (CC)	344	0	0	0	344
Tort (CT)	503	0	0	0	503
Small Claims (SC)	394	0	55	55	449
Domestic Relations (DR)	1,112	0	0	0	1,112
Reciprocal Support (RS)	67	0	0	0	67
Mental Health (MH)	3	0	0	0	3
Adoptions (AD)	15	0	0	0	15
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	73	0	0	0	73
Guardianships (GU)	113	0	0	0	113
Trusts (TR)	6	0	0	0	6
Protective Orders (PO)	849	0	0	0	849
Miscellaneous (MI)	57	0	0	0	57
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>4,191</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>4,246</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>38,729</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>38,830</b>

## 2007 Total Cases Venued Out

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	1	0	0	0	1
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	3	0	0	0	3
Class B Felony (FB)	2	0	0	0	2
Class C Felony (FC)	2	0	0	0	2
Class D Felony (FD)	24	0	0	0	24
Misdemeanor (CM)	38	17	0	17	55
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	0	0	0	0	0
Infraction (IF)	1	0	0	0	1
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	10	0	0	0	10
Delinquency (JD)	149	0	0	0	149
Status (JS)	16	0	0	0	16
Paternity (JP)	21	0	0	0	21
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	51	0	0	0	51
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	20	0	0	0	20
Civil Collections (CC)	353	0	0	0	353
Tort (CT)	90	0	0	0	90
Small Claims (SC)	71	0	137	137	208
Domestic Relations (DR)	96	0	0	0	96
Reciprocal Support (RS)	25	0	0	0	25
Mental Health (MH)	7	0	0	0	7
Adoptions (AD)	8	0	0	0	8
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	10	0	0	0	10
Guardianships (GU)	15	0	0	0	15
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	84	0	0	0	84
Miscellaneous (MI)	11	0	0	0	11
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>978</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1108</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>1262</b>

## 2007 Total Cases Transferred Out

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	14	0	0	0	14
Felony (CF)	25	0	0	0	25
Class A Felony (FA)	577	0	0	0	577
Class B Felony (FB)	617	0	0	0	617
Class C Felony (FC)	1,036	0	0	0	1,036
Class D Felony (FD)	5,161	0	0	0	5,161
Misdemeanor (CM)	7,043	694	0	694	7,737
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	24	0	0	0	24
Miscellaneous (MC)	258	0	0	0	258
Infraction (IF)	11,253	629	0	629	11,882
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	2,660	7	0	7	2,667
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>28,668</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>29,998</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	31	0	0	0	31
Delinquency (JD)	161	0	0	0	161
Status (JS)	12	0	0	0	12
Paternity (JP)	167	0	0	0	167
Miscellaneous (JM)	29	0	0	0	29
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	7	0	0	0	7
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>407</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	458	0	0	0	458
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	176	0	0	0	176
Civil Collections (CC)	399	0	0	0	399
Tort (CT)	605	0	0	0	605
Small Claims (SC)	758	3	149	152	910
Domestic Relations (DR)	706	0	0	0	706
Reciprocal Support (RS)	49	0	0	0	49
Mental Health (MH)	15	0	0	0	15
Adoptions (AD)	12	0	0	0	12
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	62	0	0	0	62
Guardianships (GU)	94	0	0	0	94
Trusts (TR)	2	0	0	0	2
Protective Orders (PO)	877	0	0	0	877
Miscellaneous (MI)	95	0	0	0	95
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>4,308</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>4,460</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>33,383</b>	<b>1,333</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>1,482</b>	<b>34,865</b>

## 2007 Total Cases Disposed

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	241	0	0	0	241
Felony (CF)	1,288	0	0	0	1,288
Class A Felony (FA)	2,734	0	0	0	2,734
Class B Felony (FB)	5,794	0	0	0	5,794
Class C Felony (FC)	9,966	0	0	0	9,966
Class D Felony (FD)	50,399	0	0	0	50,399
Misdemeanor (CM)	154,495	40,865	0	40,865	195,360
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	743	0	0	0	743
Miscellaneous (MC)	23,667	247	0	247	23,914
Infraction (IF)	597,395	239,654	0	239,654	837,049
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	60,481	32,183	0	32,183	92,664
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>907,203</b>	<b>312,949</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>312,949</b>	<b>1,220,152</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	9,277	0	0	0	9,277
Delinquency (JD)	22,947	0	0	0	22,947
Status (JS)	5,386	0	0	0	5,386
Paternity (JP)	19,007	0	0	0	19,007
Miscellaneous (JM)	10,453	0	0	0	10,453
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	2,143	0	0	0	2,143
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>69,213</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69,213</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	15,899	507	0	507	16,406
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	42,600	0	0	0	42,600
Civil Collections (CC)	72,728	1,773	0	1,773	74,501
Tort (CT)	10,325	1,578	0	1,578	11,903
Small Claims (SC)	204,169	1,820	68,501	70,321	274,490
Domestic Relations (DR)	36,808	0	0	0	36,808
Reciprocal Support (RS)	2,083	0	0	0	2,083
Mental Health (MH)	6,074	27	0	27	6,101
Adoptions (AD)	3,172	0	0	0	3,172
Adoption History (AH)	66	0	0	0	66
Estates (ES/EU)	15,754	0	0	0	15,754
Guardianships (GU)	8,881	0	0	0	8,881
Trusts (TR)	458	0	0	0	458
Protective Orders (PO)	32,652	0	0	0	32,652
Miscellaneous (MI)	10,174	69	0	69	10,243
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>461,843</b>	<b>5,774</b>	<b>68,501</b>	<b>74,275</b>	<b>536,118</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1,438,259</b>	<b>318,723</b>	<b>68,501</b>	<b>387,224</b>	<b>1,825,483</b>

## Cases Pending on December 31, 2007

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	307	0	0	0	307
Felony (CF)	9,421	0	0	0	9,421
Class A Felony (FA)	3,302	0	0	0	3,302
Class B Felony (FB)	6,046	0	0	0	6,046
Class C Felony (FC)	10,491	0	0	0	10,491
Class D Felony (FD)	59,386	0	0	0	59,386
Misdemeanor (CM)	173,374	141,753	0	141,753	315,127
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	3,375	20	0	20	3,395
Miscellaneous (MC)	11,431	1,817	0	1,817	13,248
Infraction (IF)	265,206	163,948	0	163,948	429,154
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	29,977	67,107	0	67,107	97,084
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>572,316</b>	<b>374,645</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>374,645</b>	<b>946,961</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	13,338	0	0	0	13,338
Delinquency (JD)	23,757	0	0	0	23,757
Status (JS)	6,920	0	0	0	6,920
Paternity (JP)	45,344	0	0	0	45,344
Miscellaneous (JM)	5,956	0	0	0	5,956
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	3,584	0	0	0	3,584
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>98,899</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>98,899</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	58,908	7,719	0	7,719	66,627
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	23,331	0	0	0	23,331
Civil Collections (CC)	60,805	3,241	0	3,241	64,046
Tort (CT)	23,724	1,853	0	1,853	25,577
Small Claims (SC)	192,041	5,080	65,195	70,275	262,316
Domestic Relations (DR)	67,364	0	0	0	67,364
Reciprocal Support (RS)	13,124	0	0	0	13,124
Mental Health (MH)	12,656	0	0	0	12,656
Adoptions (AD)	5,328	0	0	0	5,328
Adoption History (AH)	14	0	0	0	14
Estates (ES/EU)	48,735	0	0	0	48,735
Guardianships (GU)	52,203	0	0	0	52,203
Trusts (TR)	2,049	0	0	0	2,049
Protective Orders (PO)	17,752	0	0	0	17,752
Miscellaneous (MI)	22,346	5	0	5	22,351
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>600,380</b>	<b>17,898</b>	<b>65,195</b>	<b>83,093</b>	<b>683,473</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1,271,595</b>	<b>392,543</b>	<b>65,195</b>	<b>457,738</b>	<b>1,729,333</b>


## 2007 METHOD OF CASE DISPOSITION

### Summary of All Disposition Types

#### Method of Disposition (Number of Cases)

Disposition Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
Jury Trial	1,644	30	0	30	1,674
Bench Trial	80,767	4,661	6,709	11,370	92,137
Bench Disposition	127,685	5,017	6,066	11,083	138,768
Dismissal	253,842	39,854	23,730	63,584	317,426
Guilty Plea/ Admission	420,650	91,718	0	91,718	512,368
Default	169,517	11,882	31,869	43,751	213,268
Deferred/Diverted	67,555	32,641	0	32,641	100,196
Violations Bureau	197,705	86,924	0	86,924	284,629
Closed	24,828	622	0	622	25,450
FTA/FTP	72,738	43,839	0	43,839	116,577
Other Methods	21,328	1,535	127	1,662	22,990
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,438,259</b>	<b>318,723</b>	<b>68,501</b>	<b>387,224</b>	<b>1,825,483</b>

#### Method of Disposition (Percent of Total)





## Dispositions by Jury Trial

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	97	0	0	0	97
Felony (CF)	3	0	0	0	3
Class A Felony (FA)	168	0	0	0	168
Class B Felony (FB)	228	0	0	0	228
Class C Felony (FC)	198	0	0	0	198
Class D Felony (FD)	345	0	0	0	345
Misdemeanor (CM)	162	0	0	0	162
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	5	0	0	0	5
Infraction (IF)	7	20	0	20	27
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	0	10	0	10	10
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>1,213</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,243</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	60	0	0	0	60
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Collections (CC)	11	0	0	0	11
Tort (CT)	360	0	0	0	360
Small Claims (SC)	0	0	0	0	0
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoptions (AD)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	0	0	0	0	0
Guardianships (GU)	0	0	0	0	0
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MI)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>431</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>1,644</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1,674</b>

## Dispositions by Bench Trial

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	8	0	0	0	8
Felony (CF)	14	0	0	0	14
Class A Felony (FA)	60	0	0	0	60
Class B Felony (FB)	94	0	0	0	94
Class C Felony (FC)	182	0	0	0	182
Class D Felony (FD)	594	0	0	0	594
Misdemeanor (CM)	2,286	1,813	0	1,813	4,099
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	102	0	0	0	102
Miscellaneous (MC)	865	0	0	0	865
Infraction (IF)	8,725	2,341	0	2,341	11,066
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	2,390	422	0	422	2,812
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>15,320</b>	<b>4,576</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,576</b>	<b>19,896</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	1,785	0	0	0	1,785
Delinquency (JD)	2,076	0	0	0	2,076
Status (JS)	445	0	0	0	445
Paternity (JP)	6,479	0	0	0	6,479
Miscellaneous (JM)	416	0	0	0	416
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	852	0	0	0	852
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>12,053</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12,053</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	1,066	6	0	6	1,072
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	612	0	0	0	612
Civil Collections (CC)	1,496	14	0	14	1,510
Tort (CT)	227	0	0	0	227
Small Claims (SC)	26,019	64	6,709	6,773	32,792
Domestic Relations (DR)	10,031	0	0	0	10,031
Reciprocal Support (RS)	497	0	0	0	497
Mental Health (MH)	1,024	0	0	0	1,024
Adoptions (AD)	1,335	0	0	0	1,335
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	488	0	0	0	488
Guardianships (GU)	1,573	0	0	0	1,573
Trusts (TR)	44	0	0	0	44
Protective Orders (PO)	6,378	0	0	0	6,378
Miscellaneous (MI)	2,604	1	0	1	2,605
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>53,394</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>6,709</b>	<b>6,794</b>	<b>60,188</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>80,767</b>	<b>4,661</b>	<b>6,709</b>	<b>11,370</b>	<b>92,137</b>

## Dispositions by Bench Disposition

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	3	0	0	0	3
Felony (CF)	152	0	0	0	152
Class A Felony (FA)	31	0	0	0	31
Class B Felony (FB)	97	0	0	0	97
Class C Felony (FC)	144	0	0	0	144
Class D Felony (FD)	425	0	0	0	425
Misdemeanor (CM)	1,951	2,421	0	2,421	4,372
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	267	0	0	0	267
Miscellaneous (MC)	16,689	231	0	231	16,920
Infraction (IF)	1,192	1,923	0	1,923	3,115
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	188	377	0	377	565
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>21,139</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>26,091</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	1,837	0	0	0	1,837
Delinquency (JD)	3,880	0	0	0	3,880
Status (JS)	1,536	0	0	0	1,536
Paternity (JP)	6,033	0	0	0	6,033
Miscellaneous (JM)	6,274	0	0	0	6,274
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	290	0	0	0	290
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>19,850</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,850</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	2,236	18	0	18	2,254
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	4,306	0	0	0	4,306
Civil Collections (CC)	5,557	20	0	20	5,577
Tort (CT)	585	0	0	0	585
Small Claims (SC)	33,081	0	6,066	6,066	39,147
Domestic Relations (DR)	19,247	0	0	0	19,247
Reciprocal Support (RS)	838	0	0	0	838
Mental Health (MH)	1,031	27	0	27	1,058
Adoptions (AD)	507	0	0	0	507
Adoption History (AH)	1	0	0	0	1
Estates (ES/EU)	1,676	0	0	0	1,676
Guardianships (GU)	930	0	0	0	930
Trusts (TR)	123	0	0	0	123
Protective Orders (PO)	13,314	0	0	0	13,314
Miscellaneous (MI)	3,264	0	0	0	3,264
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>86,696</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>6,066</b>	<b>6,131</b>	<b>92,827</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>127,685</b>	<b>5,017</b>	<b>6,066</b>	<b>11,083</b>	<b>138,768</b>

## Dispositions by Dismissal

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	22	0	0	0	22
Felony (CF)	614	0	0	0	614
Class A Felony (FA)	409	0	0	0	409
Class B Felony (FB)	839	0	0	0	839
Class C Felony (FC)	1,475	0	0	0	1,475
Class D Felony (FD)	10,610	1	0	0	10,610
Misdemeanor (CM)	48,675	11,331	0	11,331	60,006
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	220	1	0	0	220
Miscellaneous (MC)	3,487	8	0	8	3,495
Infraction (IF)	44,703	19,643	0	19,643	64,346
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	8,537	6,714	0	6,714	15,251
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>119,591</b>	<b>37,698</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37,696</b>	<b>157,287</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	2,429	0	0	0	2,429
Delinquency (JD)	4,532	0	0	0	4,532
Status (JS)	1,107	0	0	0	1,107
Paternity (JP)	2,531	0	0	0	2,531
Miscellaneous (JM)	1,742	0	0	0	1,742
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	876	0	0	0	876
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>13,217</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13,217</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	7,662	385	0	385	8,047
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	9,756	0	0	0	9,756
Civil Collections (CC)	16,059	719	0	719	16,778
Tort (CT)	7,744	258	0	258	8,002
Small Claims (SC)	59,403	765	23,730	24,495	83,898
Domestic Relations (DR)	6,404	0	0	0	6,404
Reciprocal Support (RS)	664	0	0	0	664
Mental Health (MH)	1,419	0	0	0	1,419
Adoptions (AD)	158	0	0	0	158
Adoption History (AH)	65	0	0	0	65
Estates (ES/EU)	222	0	0	0	222
Guardianships (GU)	442	0	0	0	442
Trusts (TR)	18	0	0	0	18
Protective Orders (PO)	8,569	0	0	0	8,569
Miscellaneous (MI)	2,449	31	0	31	2,480
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>121,034</b>	<b>2,158</b>	<b>23,730</b>	<b>25,888</b>	<b>146,922</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>253,842</b>	<b>39,856</b>	<b>23,730</b>	<b>63,584</b>	<b>317,426</b>

## Dispositions by Guilty Plea/Admission

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	111	0	0	0	111
Felony (CF)	430	0	0	0	430
Class A Felony (FA)	2,050	0	0	0	2,050
Class B Felony (FB)	4,478	0	0	0	4,478
Class C Felony (FC)	7,833	0	0	0	7,833
Class D Felony (FD)	37,346	0	0	0	37,346
Misdemeanor (CM)	85,159	18,082	0	18,082	103,241
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	179	0	0	0	179
Infraction (IF)	229,406	66,853	0	66,853	296,259
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	34,181	6,782	0	6,782	40,963
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>401,173</b>	<b>91,717</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91,717</b>	<b>492,890</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	2,896	0	0	0	2,896
Delinquency (JD)	11,220	0	0	0	11,220
Status (JS)	2,032	0	0	0	2,032
Paternity (JP)	2,699	0	0	0	2,699
Miscellaneous (JM)	519	0	0	0	519
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	103	0	0	0	103
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>19,469</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,469</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Collections (CC)	0	0	0	0	0
Tort (CT)	0	0	0	0	0
Small Claims (SC)	0	0	0	0	0
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoptions (AD)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	0	0	0	0	0
Guardianships (GU)	0	0	0	0	0
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	2	0	0	0	2
Miscellaneous (MI)	6	1	0	1	7
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>420,650</b>	<b>91,718</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91,718</b>	<b>512,368</b>

## Dispositions by Default

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	0	0	0	0	0
Class B Felony (FB)	0	0	0	0	0
Class C Felony (FC)	0	0	0	0	0
Class D Felony (FD)	0	0	0	0	0
Misdemeanor (CM)	0	0	0	0	0
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	0	0	0	0	0
Infraction (IF)	5,006	7,941	0	7,941	12,947
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	1,209	1,326	0	1,326	2,535
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>6,215</b>	<b>9,267</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,267</b>	<b>15,482</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	3,846	98	0	98	3,944
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	26,777	0	0	0	26,777
Civil Collections (CC)	48,298	434	0	434	48,732
Tort (CT)	1,113	1,092	0	1,092	2,205
Small Claims (SC)	81,500	991	31,869	32,860	114,360
Domestic Relations (DR)	392	0	0	0	392
Reciprocal Support (RS)	13	0	0	0	13
Mental Health (MH)	2	0	0	0	2
Adoptions (AD)	1	0	0	0	1
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	56	0	0	0	56
Guardianships (GU)	14	0	0	0	14
Trusts (TR)	1	0	0	0	1
Protective Orders (PO)	440	0	0	0	440
Miscellaneous (MI)	849	0	0	0	849
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>163,302</b>	<b>2,615</b>	<b>31,869</b>	<b>34,484</b>	<b>197,786</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>169,517</b>	<b>11,882</b>	<b>31,869</b>	<b>43,751</b>	<b>213,268</b>

## Dispositions by Deferred/Diverted

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	5	0	0	0	5
Class B Felony (FB)	19	0	0	0	19
Class C Felony (FC)	84	0	0	0	84
Class D Felony (FD)	843	0	0	0	843
Misdemeanor (CM)	15,217	6,241	0	6,241	21,458
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	47	0	0	0	47
Infraction (IF)	48,195	21,981	0	21,981	70,176
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	3,145	4,419	0	4,419	7,564
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>67,555</b>	<b>32,641</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32,641</b>	<b>100,196</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Collections (CC)	0	0	0	0	0
Tort (CT)	0	0	0	0	0
Small Claims (SC)	0	0	0	0	0
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoptions (AD)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	0	0	0	0	0
Guardianships (GU)	0	0	0	0	0
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MI)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>67,555</b>	<b>32,641</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32,641</b>	<b>100,196</b>



## Dispositions by Violations Bureau

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	0	0	0	0	0
Class B Felony (FB)	0	0	0	0	0
Class C Felony (FC)	0	0	0	0	0
Class D Felony (FD)	0	0	0	0	0
Misdemeanor (CM)	206	655	0	655	861
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	0	0	0	0	0
Infraction (IF)	189,168	79,520	0	79,520	268,688
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	8,327	6,749	0	6,749	15,076
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>197,701</b>	<b>86,924</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>86,924</b>	<b>284,625</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Collections (CC)	0	0	0	0	0
Tort (CT)	0	0	0	0	0
Small Claims (SC)	0	0	0	0	0
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoptions (AD)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	0	0	0	0	0
Guardianships (GU)	0	0	0	0	0
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	2	0	0	0	2
Miscellaneous (MI)	2	0	0	0	2
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>197,705</b>	<b>86,924</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>86,924</b>	<b>284,629</b>

## Dispositions by Closed

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	0	0	0	0	0
Class B Felony (FB)	0	0	0	0	0
Class C Felony (FC)	0	0	0	0	0
Class D Felony (FD)	0	0	0	0	0
Misdemeanor (CM)	0	0	0	0	0
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	0	0	0	0	0
Infraction (IF)	0	0	0	0	0
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	262	0	0	0	262
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	111	0	0	0	111
Civil Collections (CC)	418	586	0	586	1,004
Tort (CT)	47	0	0	0	47
Small Claims (SC)	1,013	0	0	0	1,013
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	2,343	0	0	0	2,343
Adoptions (AD)	1,094	0	0	0	1,094
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	13,034	0	0	0	13,034
Guardianships (GU)	5,797	0	0	0	5,797
Trusts (TR)	266	0	0	0	266
Protective Orders (PO)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MI)	443	36	0	36	479
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>24,828</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>25,450</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>24,828</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>25,450</b>


## Dispositions by Failure to Appear/Pay

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	0	0	0	0	0
Class A Felony (FA)	0	0	0	0	0
Class B Felony (FB)	0	0	0	0	0
Class C Felony (FC)	0	0	0	0	0
Class D Felony (FD)	0	0	0	0	0
Misdemeanor (CM)	0	0	0	0	0
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MC)	0	0	0	0	0
Infraction (IF)	70,282	39,203	0	39,203	109,485
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	2,456	4,636	0	4,636	7,092
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>72,738</b>	<b>43,839</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43,839</b>	<b>116,577</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	0	0	0	0	0
Delinquency (JD)	0	0	0	0	0
Status (JS)	0	0	0	0	0
Paternity (JP)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (JM)	0	0	0	0	0
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	0	0	0	0	0
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Collections (CC)	0	0	0	0	0
Tort (CT)	0	0	0	0	0
Small Claims (SC)	0	0	0	0	0
Domestic Relations (DR)	0	0	0	0	0
Reciprocal Support (RS)	0	0	0	0	0
Mental Health (MH)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoptions (AD)	0	0	0	0	0
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	0	0	0	0	0
Guardianships (GU)	0	0	0	0	0
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous (MI)	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>72,738</b>	<b>43,839</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43,839</b>	<b>116,577</b>

## Dispositions by Other Methods

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	0	0	0	0	0
Felony (CF)	75	0	0	0	75
Class A Felony (FA)	11	0	0	0	11
Class B Felony (FB)	39	0	0	0	39
Class C Felony (FC)	50	0	0	0	50
Class D Felony (FD)	236	0	0	0	236
Misdemeanor (CM)	839	322	0	322	1,161
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	154	0	0	0	154
Miscellaneous (MC)	2,395	8	0	8	2,403
Infraction (IF)	711	229	0	229	940
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	48	748	0	748	796
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>4,558</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>5,865</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	330	0	0	0	330
Delinquency (JD)	1,239	0	0	0	1,239
Status (JS)	266	0	0	0	266
Paternity (JP)	1,265	0	0	0	1,265
Miscellaneous (JM)	1,502	0	0	0	1,502
Term, Parental Rights (JT)	22	0	0	0	22
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>4,624</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,624</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	767	0	0	0	767
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	1,038	0	0	0	1,038
Civil Collections (CC)	889	0	0	0	889
Tort (CT)	249	228	0	228	477
Small Claims (SC)	3,153	0	127	127	3,280
Domestic Relations (DR)	734	0	0	0	734
Reciprocal Support (RS)	71	0	0	0	71
Mental Health (MH)	255	0	0	0	255
Adoptions (AD)	77	0	0	0	77
Adoption History (AH)	0	0	0	0	0
Estates (ES/EU)	278	0	0	0	278
Guardianships (GU)	125	0	0	0	125
Trusts (TR)	6	0	0	0	6
Protective Orders (PO)	3,947	0	0	0	3,947
Miscellaneous (MI)	557	0	0	0	557
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>12,146</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>12,501</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>21,328</b>	<b>1,535</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>1,662</b>	<b>22,990</b>


## STATISTICAL TRENDS



1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
1,611,637	1,552,439	1,679,153	1,799,330	1,883,975	1,727,883	1,642,930	1,682,700	1,784,359	1,878,542

1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
17.0% Increase in Cases Filed	8.7% Increase in Cases Filed


1998 to 2007
16.6% Increase in Cases Filed



	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Felony	53,786	53,882	55,108	57,077	60,639	62,840	65,887	66,911	67,987	69,954
Misdemeanor	225,207	199,016	198,680	201,639	204,239	200,347	203,161	201,711	197,372	200,071
Total Felonies and Misdemeanors	278,993	252,898	253,788	258,716	264,878	263,187	269,048	268,622	265,359	270,025

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Felony	12.7% Increase in Filings	11.3% Increase in Filings
Misdemeanor	9.3% Decrease in Filings	0.1% Decrease in Filings
Total Felonies and Misdemeanors	5.1% Decrease in Filings	2.6% Increase in Filings

	1998 to 2007
Felony	30.1% Increase in Filings
Misdemeanor	11.2% Decrease in Filings
Total Felonies and Misdemeanors	3.2% Decrease in Filings




	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Infractions	667,974	654,838	754,933	839,762	885,562	740,201	641,144	691,506	774,286	852,868
Ordinance Violations	104,435	90,648	92,409	88,121	115,638	97,205	91,521	86,084	102,065	96,234

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Infractions	32.6% Increase in Cases Filed	15.2% Increase in Cases Filed
Ordinance Violations	10.7% Increase in Cases Filed	1.0% Decrease in Cases Filed


	1998 to 2007
Infractions	27.7% Increase in Cases Filed
Ordinance Violations	7.9% Decrease in Cases Filed






2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
279	243	234	232	228	209

	2003 to 2007
Murder Filings	13.9% Decrease in Filings



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Mortgage Foreclosure	29,731	29,827	30,867	34,142	40,896	43,804
Civil Collections	51,760	60,021	66,355	63,667	68,709	82,139


	2003 to 2007
Mortgage Foreclosure	46.9% Increase in Filings
Civil Collections	36.9% Increase in Filings



	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Domestic Relations	42,323	41,139	41,587	40,682	39,794	38,360	37,410	39,039	37,491	37,861
Protective Orders	20,175	21,066	24,326	27,067	26,387	25,067	27,004	28,373	29,323	31,953

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Domestic Relations	6.0% Decrease in Cases Filed	1.3% Decrease in Cases Filed
Protective Orders	30.8% Increase in Cases Filed	27.5% Increase in Cases Filed


	1998 to 2007
Domestic Relations	10.5% Decrease in Cases Filed
Protective Orders	58.4% Increase in Cases Filed



	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Paternity	13,638	14,318	15,442	16,147	16,310	17,813	16,710	18,277	20,651	21,057
Domestic Relations	42,323	41,139	41,587	40,682	39,794	38,360	37,410	39,039	37,491	37,861

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Paternity	19.6% Increase in Filings	18.2% Increase in Filings
Domestic Relations	6.0% Decrease in Filings	1.3% Decrease in Filings

	1998 to 2007
Paternity	54.4% Increase in Filings
Domestic Relations	10.5% Decrease in Filings




	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Delinquency	25,563	24,643	24,419	25,547	26,101	25,861	26,653	26,926	27,835	24,706
Status	5,618	5,389	6,033	6,375	6,314	6,832	6,460	6,661	7,448	6,091
Miscellaneous	6,669	7,331	6,244	6,434	6,281	7,615	7,245	7,159	8,969	10,281
CHINS	7,236	7,772	8,080	7,888	8,215	8,655	9,574	9,865	8,861	10,143
Termination of Parental Rights	1,271	1,816	1,637	1,551	1,513	1,801	2,097	2,224	2,553	2,504
Paternity	13,638	14,318	15,442	16,147	16,310	17,813	16,710	18,277	20,651	21,057

Total Juvenile Cases Filed	59,995	61,269	61,855	63,942	64,734	68,577	68,739	71,112	76,317	74,782
----------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Total Juvenile Cases Filed	7.9% Increase in Filings	9.0% Increase in Filings


	1998 to 2007
Total Juvenile Cases Filed	24.6% Increase in Filings



	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Delinquency	25,563	24,643	24,419	25,547	26,101	25,861	26,653	26,926	27,835	24,706
Status	5,618	5,389	6,033	6,375	6,314	6,832	6,460	6,661	7,448	6,091
Miscellaneous	6,669	7,331	6,244	6,434	6,281	7,615	7,245	7,159	8,969	10,281

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Delinquency	2.1% Increase in Filings	4.5% Decrease in Filings
Status	12.4% Increase in Filings	10.8% Decrease in Filings
Miscellaneous	5.8% Decrease in Filings	35.0% Increase in Filings

	1998 to 2007
Delinquency	3.4% Decrease in Filings
Status	8.4% Increase in Filings
Miscellaneous	54.2% Increase in Filings




	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
CHINS	7,236	7,772	8,080	7,888	8,215	8,655	9,574	9,865	8,861	10,143
Termination of Parental Rights	1,271	1,816	1,637	1,551	1,513	1,801	2,097	2,224	2,553	2,504

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
CHINS	13.5% Increase in Filings	17.2% Increase in Filings
Termination of Parental Rights	19.0% Increase in Filings	39.0% Increase in Filings

	1998 to 2007
CHINS	40.2% Increase in Filings
Termination of Parental Rights	97.0% Increase in Filings





	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Adoption	3,310	3,507	3,874	3,826	3,647	3,430	3,615	3,549	3,640	3,722
Termination of Parental Rights	1,271	1,816	1,637	1,551	1,513	1,801	2,097	2,224	2,553	2,504

	1998 to 2002	2003 to 2007
Adoption	10.2% Increase in Cases Filed	8.5% Increase in Cases Filed
Termination of Parental Rights	19.0% Increase in Cases Filed	39.0% Increase in Cases Filed

	1998 to 2007
Adoption	12.4% Increase in Cases Filed
Termination of Parental Rights	97.0% Increase in Cases Filed

## 2007 GAL/CASA

### 2007 Indiana GAL/CASA Volunteer Program Statistics

County	Type of Program	Staff		Volunteers		
		Full Time	Part Time	Number of Volunteers	New Volunteers in 2007	Volunteer Advocacy Hours
Adams	None	0	0	0	0	0
Allen	Court	5	0	68	24	17,412
Bartholomew*	501C3	7	4	160	53	17,754
Benton	None	0	0	0	0	0
Blackford	None	0	0	0	0	0
Boone	Court	1	1	11	0	2,160
Brown	Court	0	1	24	4	6,192
Carroll	None	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	Court	0	3	8	0	420
Clark	Court	1	0	15	8	882
Clay	Court	0	1	4	0	144
Clinton	None	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	None	0	0	0	0	0
Daviess	Court	0	1	No report		0
Dearborn*	Court	0	2	17	6	2,172
Decatur*	501C3	See Bartholomew				
DeKalb*	501C3	3	0	43	4	18,528
Delaware	Court	2	1	58	22	9,912
DuBois	Court	0	1	14	0	1,968
Elkhart	Umbrella	4	1	62	18	8,484
Fayette	Court	0	3	2	0	624
Floyd*	Multi	0	2	13	5	3,385
Fountain	None	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	None	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	501C3	0	2	24	5	2,838
Gibson	Court	2	0	28	8	4,200
Grant	501C3	2	0	22	0	2,844
Greene	Court	1	1	37	12	5,460
Hamilton	Court	0	6	40	4	16,200
Hancock*	Multi	1	6	54	21	18,278
Harrison	Court	0	2	24	7	2,519
Hendricks	None	0	0	0	0	0
Henry*	Multi	See Hancock				
Howard	501C3	2	1	21	4	1,252
Huntington	None	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson*	Multi	See Bartholomew				
Jasper	None	0	0	0	0	0
Jay	None	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	Umbrella	0	3	15	6	2,808
Jennings*	Multi	See Bartholomew				

County	Type of Program	Staff		Volunteers		
		Full Time	Part Time	Number of Volunteers	New Volunteers in 2007	Volunteer Advocacy Hours
Johnson	Court	1	0	49	11	7,686
Knox	Umbrella	0	1	15	2	2,196
Kosciusko	501C3	2	1	44	14	5,700
LaGrange*	Multi	See DeKalb				
Lake	Court	13	3	137	37	17,274
LaPorte*	Multi	2	1	63	13	9,306
Lawrence	Court	1	0	29	5	8,384
Madison*	Multi	See Hancock				
Marion	501C3	25	5	301	77	155,394
Marshall	501C3	0	1	17	9	2,346
Martin	None	0	0	0	0	0
Miami	Court	2	0	34	5	4,758
Monroe	Umbrella	3	3	68	23	11,706
Montgomery	Umbrella	1	0	30	8	3,154
Morgan	Court	1	0	26	10	5,472
Newton	None	0	0	0	0	0
Noble*	Multi	See DeKalb				
Ohio*	Multi	See Dearborn				
Orange	None	0	0	0	0	0
Owen	Court	0	2	14	8	4,356
Parke	Court	0	1	13	2	1,606
Perry	Court	1	0	2	0	84
Pike	None	0	0	0	0	0
Porter	Umbrella	3	1	85	17	6,117
Posey	None	0	0	0	0	0
Pulaski*	Multi	0	1	11	11	2,742
Putnam	Umbrella	1	0	24	6	6,588
Randolph	Court	0	1	10	0	1,920
Ripley	Court	0	1	2	0	3,204
Rush	None	0	0	0	0	0
St Joseph	Court	5	0	83	26	13,824
Scott	Umbrella	0	1	4	4	120
Shelby*	Multi	See Bartholomew				
Spencer	None	0	0	0	0	0
Starke	Court	0	2	13	6	4,284
Steuben*	Multi	See DeKalb				
Sullivan	None	0	0	0	0	0
Switzerland	Court	0	1	5	0	1,220
Tippecanoe	Court	2	5	126	26	31,380
Tipton	None	0	0	0	0	0
Union	Court	0	2	6	1	1,830
Vanderburgh	501C3	4	2	88	26	24,996
Vermillion	None	0	0	0	0	0
Vigo	Court	2	3	61	31	18,882

County	Type of Program	Staff		Volunteers		
		Full Time	Part Time	Number of Volunteers	New Volunteers in 2007	Volunteer Advocacy Hours
Wabash	Umbrella	1	0	15	5	2,730
Warren	None	0	0	0	0	0
Warrick	Court	1	0	8	3	984
Washington*	Multi	See Floyd		6	4	1,602
Wayne	Court	1	0	8	3	692
Wells	None	0	0	0	0	0
White	None	0	0	0	0	0
Whitley*	Multi	See DeKalb				
<b>Totals</b>		<b>103</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>2,161</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>508,973</b>

\* Denotes multi-county programs

## 2007 Indiana GAL/CASA Volunteer Case Statistics

County	CHINS Cases		JT Cases		Waiting List	
	CHINS Pending 1/1/07	New CHINS Cases Assigned	JT Pending 1/1/07	New JT Cases Assigned	2007 CHINS on Waiting List	2007 JT on Waiting List
Adams	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allen	358	252	16	28	0	0
Bartholomew	114	42	19	16	0	0
Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Blackford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boone	47	71	0	0	0	0
Brown	12	12	0	3	0	0
Carroll	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	9	23	9	13	0	0
Clark	8	18	0	0	0	0
Clay	7	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dearborn	44	112	9	0	114	8
Decatur	27	5	1	3	0	1
DeKalb	3	0	2	0	0	0
Delaware	276	216	43	49	385	37
DuBois	9	20	0	7	0	0
Elkhart	290	238	21	51	97	0
Fayette	68	64	2	8	83	0
Floyd	9	47	4	5	19	0
Fountain	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fulton	41	14	0	0	0	0
Gibson	66	99	1	26	0	0
Grant	98	92	12	5	95	1
Greene	51	53	7	4	0	0
Hamilton	62	101	1	4	5	0
Hancock	24	45	1	8	10	0
Harrison	29	73	1	6	0	0
Hendricks	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henry	85	124	0	7	120	0
Howard	62	55	5	8	1	0
Huntington	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	52	27	11	3	0	0
Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jay	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	13	52	1	0	0	0
Jennings	36	38	4	9	0	0
Johnson	47	27	11	29	0	0

County	CHINS Cases		JT Cases		Waiting List	
	CHINS Pending 1/1/07	New CHINS Cases Assigned	JT Pending 1/1/07	New JT Cases Assigned	2007 CHINS on Waiting List	2007 JT on Waiting List
Knox	51	104	0	0	59	0
Kosciusko	35	50	6	7	2	6
LaGrange	6	7	0	1	1	0
Lake	1,977	744	159	245	0	0
LaPorte	180	114	5	11	59	0
Lawrence	63	33	9	2	35	0
Madison	214	123	18	31	223	0
Marion	3,026	2,049	1,256	433	804	0
Marshall	15	20	0	0	0	0
Martin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miami	40	79	4	6	49	0
Monroe	131	90	14	17	65	0
Montgomery	66	40	13	6	38	0
Morgan	46	43	13	0	19	0
Newton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Noble	52	64	8	2	50	0
Ohio	6	11	3	0	11	3
Orange	0	0	0	0	0	0
Owen	33	35	7	5	0	0
Parke	6	2	0	0	0	0
Perry	43	41	0	0	44	0
Pike	0	0	0	0	0	0
Porter	206	112	46	40	0	0
Posey	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pulaski	0	37	0	0	0	0
Putnam	63	39	10	1	0	0
Randolph	18	30	2	0	25	0
Ripley	38	30	7	2	15	0
Rush	0	0	0	0	0	0
St Joseph	157	385	59	21	262	0
Scott	0	8	0	0	0	0
Shelby	37	32	2	3	0	0
Spencer	0	0	0	0	0	0
Starke	69	47	1	0	0	0
Steuben	27	63	0	8	25	0
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Switzerland	7	12	0	3	0	0
Tippecanoe	323	180	60	70	0	0
Tipton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Union	6	22	0	1	0	0
Vanderburgh	147	469	27	99	267	19
Vermillion	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vigo	190	37	27	40	17	0

County	CHINS Cases		JT Cases		Waiting List	
	CHINS Pending 1/1/07	New CHINS Cases Assigned	JT Pending 1/1/07	New JT Cases Assigned	2007 CHINS on Waiting List	2007 JT on Waiting List
Wabash	31	47	0	0	20	0
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warrick	22	17	0	0	0	0
Washington	4	52	1	2	28	0
Wayne	37	126	3	1	0	0
Wells	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0	0
Whitley	12	8	2	4	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>9,331</b>	<b>7,322</b>	<b>1,943</b>	<b>1,353</b>	<b>3,047</b>	<b>75</b>

## REPORT ON INDIANA'S FAMILY COURT PROJECTS

---

In 1999 the Indiana Supreme Court and the Indiana Legislature partnered to create the Indiana Family Court Project to develop model family courts throughout the state. The core component of the Family Court Project is judicial coordination of multiple cases involving the same family. This coordination avoids inconsistent orders for families and promotes more informed decision-making. The projects also encourage a problem-solving approach in family law matters and promote affordable alternative dispute resolution.

In each family court project, the local judiciary and community work collaboratively to develop programs particularized to local needs. Four Family Court Rules address Judicial Notice, jurisdiction, and confidentiality issues to promote information sharing on troubled

families. The Supreme Court established these rules for the exclusive use of the family court projects.


Every two years the Supreme Court selects new counties to join the Indiana Family Court project. Currently 23 counties participate in 16 single and regional family court projects. In 2007, these projects served 5,301 children and 7,076 adults through various programming, including coordination of multiple cases, case management, service referral, affordable dispute resolution, and assistance for families without attorneys. Many of the families were involved in several court cases involving multiple issues such as divorce and child custody, establishment of paternity, juvenile delinquency, Child in Need of Services (CHINS), and various criminal matters.



## FAMILY COURT PROJECTS


### Children and Adults Served by County

Program/County Name	Children Served	Adults Served
Bartholomew/Brown/Jackson/Lawrence County	479	696
Allen County	79	63
Boone/Montgomery County	47	55
Henry County	31	27
Johnson County	45	56
Lake Circuit Court	245	339
Lake County (Juvenile)	108	57
Lake Superior Court	412	502
LaPorte County	582	712
Marion County	1,073	1,259
Monroe County	256	413
Owen County	60	98
Porter County	989	1,624
Putnam County	28	30
Crawford/Martin/Orange/Pike County	37	81
St. Joseph County	725	904
Tippecanoe County (Juvenile Drug Ct.)	24	39
Tippecanoe County	42	69
Vigo County	39	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,301</b>	<b>7,076</b>




## Case Types Involved in Family Court Proceedings

	CHINS	Delinquency	Paternity	Adoption	Support Enforcement	Civil Protective Order	All Other Crimes	Termination of Parental Rights	Miscellaneous Juvenile	Guardianship	Divorce	Miscellaneous Civil	Crimes Involving Domestic Violence
Bartholomew/Brown/Jackson/Lawrence County	22	0	26	0	0	0	0	1	60	0	282	0	0
Allen County	28	22	29	2	16	1	0	25	4	0	2	0	6
Boone/Montgomery County	45	0	35	0	0	1	38	0	0	2	2	0	7
Henry County	24	3	9	0	5	0	19	0	0	4	5	0	0
Johnson County	14	0	4	0	2	2	16	1	0	0	3	1	0
Lake Circuit Court	0	0	1	0	2	95	37	0	0	7	30	198	2
Lake County (Juvenile)	0	0	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake Superior Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	196	0	0
LaPorte County	140	316	47	9	21	8	159	19	169	10	26	5	15
Marion County	174	3	383	0	0	25	17	3	4	5	269	0	0
Monroe County	1	0	40	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	168	0	0
Owen County	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	0
Porter County	207	97	33	2	0	18	460	38	9	4	28	0	62
Putnam County	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
Crawford/Martin/Orange/Pike County	1	0	6	0	1	5	2	0	2	2	26	0	0
St. Joseph County	7	2	171	0	2	16	12	0	1	5	217	14	23
Tippecanoe County (Juvenile Drug Ct.)	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tippecanoe County	2	1	13	0	2	2	30	0	0	6	16	142	3
Vigo County	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>668</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>790</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>118</b>



## Family Court Program Types

	One Judge -One Family	Information Sharing	CHINS	Mediation/Facilitation	Domestic Relations Mediation/Facilitation	Parenting Coordination	Parental Counseling	Parenting Classes	Mediation	Juvenile Delinquency Facilitation	Service Referral	Direct Service Case Management	Truancy Programming	Protective Order Programming	Drug Court	Pro Se Assistance	Mental Health Related Services	Substance Abuse Monitoring	High Risk Screenings	VISIONS (System of Care)
Bartholomew/Brown/Jackson/Lawrence County	0	0	22	309	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allen County	1	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boone/Montgomery County	0	0	0	23	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henry County	10	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Johnson County	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake Circuit Court	0	1,127	0	124	0	62	3	0	0	234	0	0	0	0	0	214	24	0	0	0
Lake County (Juvenile)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake Superior Court	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	251	0	0	0	0
LaPorte County	0	488	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marion County	89	15	0	236	173	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe County	6	0	0	192	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Owen County	0	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Porter County	0	159	62	53	0	0	0	0	17	313	0	61	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	102
Putnam County	15	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford/Martin/Orange/Pike County	38	6	0	40	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	21	4	3	0	0	0
St. Joseph County	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	335	0	0
Tippecanoe County (Juvenile Drug Ct.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tippecanoe County	0	0	0	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vigo County	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>1,848</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>1,146</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>695</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>102</b>	



## **CASES REFERRED TO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)**

The Division tracks and reports the number of cases that are referred to Alternative Dispute Resolution, specifically civil claims, small claims and domestic relations cases. Several counties have approved ADR programs for domestic relations cases, as described later in the report.

As defined by ADR 1.1, recognized alternative dispute resolution methods

include arbitration, mediation, conciliation, facilitation, mini-trials, summary jury trials, private judges and judging, convening or conflict assessment, neutral evaluation and fact-finding, multi-door case allocations, and negotiated rulemaking. A court may order any covered case to proceed with a form of ADR prior to conducting further court proceedings.


	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Domestic Relations	952	1,372	1,386	1,349	896	1,626	1,583	1,912	1,532	1,660
Civil Plenary	1,949	1,477	1,513	1,380	1,003	1,540	943	842	1,176	1,253
Civil Tort	2,912	2,528	2,732	2,987	1,954	2,290	2,192	1,942	2,041	1,938
Small Claims					533	149	768	988	487	138
Other	441	672	492	349	302	568	396	715	1,006	859
<b>TOTAL ADR REFERRALS</b>	<b>6,254</b>	<b>6,049</b>	<b>6,123</b>	<b>6,065</b>	<b>4,688</b>	<b>6,173</b>	<b>5,882</b>	<b>6,399</b>	<b>6,242</b>	<b>5,848</b>

## REPORT ON LOCAL ADR PLANS

### Total Number of Cases Accepted from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07 by Category


Plan County	Dissolutions With Children	Dissolutions Without Children	Paternity	Other	Total
Allen	219	33	35	0	287
Boone	0	0	0	0	0
Brown	11	0	1	0	12
Clark	43	0	0	0	43
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0
DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0
Henry	7	0	4	0	11
Jackson	54	68	5	0	127
Johnson	86	136	0	0	222
Lake	133	37	92	18	280
Lawrence	117	31	20	0	168
Marion	216	7	296	0	519
Martin	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	120	36	36	0	192
Montgomery	3	0	4	0	7
Orange	0	0	0	0	0
Owen	25	5	13	0	43
Perry	0	0	0	0	0
Pike	0	0	0	0	0
Porter	7	0	77	0	84
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0
St. Joseph	7	0	0	0	7
Shelby	28	5	1	2	36
Starke	0	0	0	0	0
Tippecanoe	35	4	18	0	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,111</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2,095</b>

### 2007 ADR Plans - Case Types Accepted




## Cases Accepted and Children Affected from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07

Plan County	Total Number of Cases Accepted into ADR Fund Plan	Total Number of Children Affected by the ADR Fund Plan
Allen	287	463
Boone	0	0
Brown	12	19
Clark	43	82
Crawford	0	0
DeKalb	0	0
Henry	11	13
Jackson	127	104
Johnson	222	151
Lake	280	373
Lawrence	168	239
Marion	519	845
Martin	0	0
Monroe	192	239
Montgomery	7	10
Orange	0	0
Owen	43	50
Perry	0	0
Pike	0	0
Porter	84	47
Putnam	0	0
St. Joseph	7	11
Shelby	36	80
Starke	0	0
Tippecanoe	57	104
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,095</b>	<b>2,830</b>




## Total \$20 Fees Generated and Co-Payments Ordered from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07

Plan County	Total Amount of \$20 Fees Generated by the ADR Fund Plan	Total Amount of Co-Payments Ordered Under the ADR Fund Plan
Allen	\$30,615	\$1,075
Boone	\$5,800	\$0
Brown	\$1,220	\$525
Clark	\$20,244	\$0
Crawford	\$1,100	\$0
DeKalb	\$1,250	\$0
Henry	\$6,530	\$0
Jackson	\$5,260	\$5,680
Johnson	\$16,724	\$0
Lake	\$37,040	\$13,540
Lawrence	\$7,150	\$9,515
Marion	\$79,940	\$6,104
Martin	\$1,220	\$0
Monroe	\$9,220	\$3,000
Montgomery	\$4,600	\$340
Orange	\$3,536	\$0
Owen	\$0	\$2,646
Perry	\$1,823	\$0
Pike	\$0	\$0
Porter	\$15,200	\$264
Putnam	\$4,490	\$0
St. Joseph	\$22,880	\$535
Shelby	\$4,893	1,800
Starke	\$1,680	\$0
Tippecanoe	\$13,480	\$13,222
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$295,895</b>	<b>\$58,246</b>



## Statewide Total Case Outcomes from 12/1/06 – 11/30/07

Settled	Partially Settled	Unresolved	Total
1,347	100	552	1,999





## CASES IN WHICH JURISDICTION WAS WITHDRAWN FROM TRIAL JUDGE FOR FAILURE TO RULE WITHIN PROSCRIBED TIME

### Withdrawn Jurisdiction Pursuant to Trial Rule 53.1 & 53.2\* or Criminal Rule 15

Court	Withdrawn Jurisdiction	Note
Elkhart Superior 5	5	
Fayette Superior	1	
Hamilton Superior 2	2	
Howard Superior 1	1	SJ
Johnson Superior 3	1	
Lake Superior Civil 2	1	
Marion Superior Civil 3	2	
Miami Circuit	1	SJ
Monroe Circuit 7	1	
Montgomery Circuit	1	
Morgan Circuit	1	
Noble Circuit	1	
Owen Circuit	1	
Porter Superior 1	1	
St. Joseph Superior 8	1	
Tippecanoe Superior 2	1	SJ
Vigo Superior 3	1	SJ
White Circuit	1	
Whitley Circuit	1	SJ

Note: SJ denotes that a Special Judge, not the presiding Judge was the subject of jurisdiction withdrawal.

\*Trial Rule 53.1 governs the failure of a judge to rule on a motion. With some exceptions, if a motion is not set for a hearing or ruled upon within 30 days of filing or within 30 days of the hearing, an interested party in the case may request the clerk to remove the case from the trial judge. The Indiana Supreme Court then appoints a Special Judge to hear the case. Trial Rule 53.2 provides a time limitation for holding an issue under advisement after trial. With some exceptions, any issues of law or fact which remain unresolved 90 days after a trial or hearing may be withdrawn from the trial judge, and a Special Judge is appointed by the Supreme Court. Criminal Rule 15 makes the time limitations found in Trial Rules 53.1 and 53.2 applicable in criminal proceedings.

## CASES HELD UNDER ADVISEMENT

This report represents the number of cases held under advisement, after the

case has been tried but no decision has been rendered, at the end of the quarter.

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Cases Held Under Advisement	2,325	2,261	1,369	1,467	2,279	1,903	2,188	6,892*	6,694*	6322*

\* From 1998 to 2004 the figures represent the number cases under advisement at the end of the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter in that particular year. From 2005 forward, the figure represents the total number of cases under advisement at the end of each quarter throughout the year.

## CASES IN WHICH PAUPER COUNSEL WAS APPOINTED

Pursuant to the Indiana Constitution and case law, all persons are entitled to representation in criminal and juvenile cases, as well as appeals. If the court considers a defendant indigent, the court will appoint the defendant a Public

Defender. The Division tracks and reports the number of cases in which counsel was provided in the listed case types, where the county incurred expense, or partial expense.

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Murder					198	169	212	231	158	214
Criminal Felony *	11,237	11,574	12,528	13,476						
Class A Felony					1,391	1,641	1,795	2,021	2,036	2,263
Class B Felony					3,472	4,167	4,985	6,410	5,128	5,349
Class C Felony					6,482	6,932	7,661	8,688	8,137	8,802
Class D Felony	18,194	18,477	19,317	21,777	23,712	28,075	31,480	31,503	31,621	35,736
Criminal Misdemeanor	40,708	41,822	40,357	42,982	45,169	47,494	51,637	53,905	51,965	55,133
Juvenile CHINS		1,338	1,456	1,577	2,164	2,488	3,358	3,461	4,981	6,165
Juvenile Delinquency	12,963	12,887	12,200	12,982	14,149	12,649	16,437	18,748	18,460	15,481
Juvenile Status	1,411	1,199	1,347	1,385	1,417	1,358	1,963	1,911	1,752	1,648
Term. of Parental Rights							1,081	849	1,448	1,274
Juvenile Paternity	600	676	1,119	939	1,085	1,187	2,661	3,357	2,312	1,481
Other	2,865	1,994	2,372	2,496	5,411	6,240	5,850	4,977	5,323	3946
Post-Conviction Relief	680	629	679	683	658	184	626	707	862	933
Appeals	447	216	240	270	276	449	312	469	476	470
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>89,105</b>	<b>90,812</b>	<b>91,615</b>	<b>98,567</b>	<b>105,584</b>	<b>113,033</b>	<b>130,058</b>	<b>137,237</b>	<b>134,501</b>	<b>138,895</b>

## 2007 PRO SE LITIGANTS

This chart represents the number of cases in which at least one of the litigants appears *Pro Se* for part or all of the proceeding.

Case Type	Circuit, Superior, County & Probate Courts	City & Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims	Total City, Town, & Small Claims	Total of All Courts
<b>Criminal</b>					
Murder (MR)	1	0	0	0	1
Felony (CF)	196	0	0	0	196
Class A Felony (FA)	74	0	0	0	74
Class B Felony (FB)	186	0	0	0	186
Class C Felony (FC)	367	0	0	0	367
Class D Felony (FD)	3,966	0	0	0	3,966
Misdemeanor (CM)	20,538	14,513	0	14,513	35,051
Post Conviction Relief (PC)	407	0	0	0	407
Miscellaneous (MC)	1,096	243	0	243	1,339
Infraction (IF)	127,882	63,529	0	63,529	191,411
Ordinance Violation (OV/OE)	7,381	8,946	0	8,946	16,327
<b>Total Criminal</b>	<b>162,094</b>	<b>87,231</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>87,231</b>	<b>249,325</b>
<b>Juvenile</b>					
CHINS (JC)	770	0	0	0	770
Delinquency (JD)	1,331	0	0	0	1,331
Status (JS)	286	0	0	0	286
Paternity (JP)	2,648	0	0	0	2,648
Miscellaneous (JM)	1,049	0	0	0	1,049
Termination of Parental Rights (JT)	57	0	0	0	57
<b>Total Juvenile</b>	<b>6,141</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,141</b>
<b>Civil</b>					
Plenary (CP/PL)	569	421	0	421	990
Mortgage Foreclosure (MF)	1,738	0	0	0	1,738
Civil Collections (CC)	6,730	0	0	0	6,730
Tort (CT)	288	0	0	0	288
Small Claims (SC)	61,860	0	13,612	13,612	75,472
Domestic Relations (DR)	9,968	0	0	0	9,968
Reciprocal Support (RS)	147	0	0	0	147
Mental Health (MH)	189	34	0	34	223
Adoptions (AD)	13	0	0	0	13
Adoption History (AH)	25	0	0	0	25
Estates (ES/EU)	55	0	0	0	55
Guardianships (GU)	142	0	0	0	142
Trusts (TR)	0	0	0	0	0
Protective Orders (PO)	15,450	0	0	0	15,450
Miscellaneous (MI)	1,093	20	0	20	1,113
<b>Total Civil</b>	<b>98,267</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>13,612</b>	<b>14,087</b>	<b>112,354</b>
<b>Total All Case Types</b>	<b>266,502</b>	<b>87,706</b>	<b>13,612</b>	<b>101,318</b>	<b>367,820</b>

## COURTS IN WHICH DISPOSITIONS EXCEEDED NEW CASES

Court Name	New Cases	Disposed Cases
ADAMS CIRCUIT COURT	879	982
ALLEN SUPERIOR COURT 4	13,599	13,752
ALLEN SUPERIOR COURT 5	13,358	13,564
ALLEN SUPERIOR COURT 6	13,200	13,480
ANDERSON CITY COURT	4,131	4,137
ATTICA CITY COURT	559	583
BARTHOLOMEW CIRCUIT COURT	2,535	2,576
BATESVILLE CITY COURT	740	804
BLACKFORD CIRCUIT COURT	532	1,697
BOONE SUPERIOR COURT 1	989	1,049
BROWNSBURG TOWN COURT	9,051	9,146
BUNKER HILL TOWN COURT	1,677	1,967
BUTLER CITY COURT	4,834	4,975
CARMEL CITY COURT	9,051	9,171
CARROLL CIRCUIT COURT	642	728
CASS SUPERIOR COURT 2	4,147	4,217
CRAWFORD CIRCUIT COURT	2,355	2,599
DEARBORN CIRCUIT COURT	1,558	1,611
DEKALB CIRCUIT COURT	909	1,050
DELAWARE CIRCUIT COURT 1	1,498	1,595
DELAWARE CIRCUIT COURT 4	3,109	3,252
DELAWARE CIRCUIT COURT 5	2,679	2,722
DUBOIS CIRCUIT COURT	1,785	2,138
DUBOIS SUPERIOR COURT	7,122	7,597
DUNKIRK CITY COURT	204	206
EAST CHICAGO CITY COURT	4,367	4,429
EDGEWOOD TOWN COURT	3,009	3,404
ELKHART CIRCUIT COURT	3,311	3,360
ELKHART SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,940	2,418
ELKHART SUPERIOR COURT 3	1,369	1,393
ELKHART SUPERIOR COURT 4	12,720	12,728
FAYETTE CIRCUIT COURT	1,514	1,775
FLOYD SUPERIOR COURT	1,845	2,028
FRANKLIN CIRCUIT COURT	4,149	6,549
GARY CITY COURT	15,390	20,329
GIBSON SUPERIOR COURT	7,478	7,518
GOSHEN CITY COURT	4,853	5,314
GRANT SUPERIOR COURT 1	1,179	1,290
GREENE CIRCUIT COURT	1,269	1,340

Court Name	New Cases	Disposed Cases
HAGERSTOWN TOWN COURT	3,570	4,180
HAMILTON SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,587	1,784
HAMILTON SUPERIOR COURT 5	8,618	8,742
HANCOCK CIRCUIT COURT	1,802	4,322
HANCOCK SUPERIOR COURT 2	10,563	18,402
HENDRICKS SUPERIOR COURT 1	1,455	1,706
HENDRICKS SUPERIOR COURT 2	2,152	3,294
HENDRICKS SUPERIOR COURT 3	2,380	2,431
HENRY SUPERIOR COURT 2	3,161	3,270
HOBART CITY COURT	4,907	7,844
HOWARD CIRCUIT COURT	2,550	2,973
HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT 1	947	1,588
HOWARD SUPERIOR COURT 3	12,016	14,417
HUNTINGTON CIRCUIT COURT	1,249	1,257
JENNINGS SUPERIOR COURT	4,775	4,845
JOHNSON SUPERIOR COURT 3	4,039	4,670
KNOX CIRCUIT COURT	1,153	1,292
KNOX CITY COURT	4,047	4,127
KNOX SUPERIOR COURT 2	11,241	15,217
KOSCIUSKO SUPERIOR COURT 2	10,088	10,338
KOSCIUSKO SUPERIOR COURT 3	3,247	3,364
LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CIVIL 2	943	1,172
LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 2	442	529
LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 3	412	461
LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 4	430	727
LAPORTE CIRCUIT COURT	3,426	3,557
LEBANON CITY COURT	1,422	1,638
LOWELL TOWN COURT	1,256	1,350
MADISON CIRCUIT COURT	2,226	3,631
MARION SUPERIOR 13, CRIM DIV	198,268	200,551
MARION SUPERIOR 20, CRIM DIV	546	1,263
MARION SUPERIOR 8, CRIM DIV	1,997	3,189
MARION SUPERIOR COURT 14	2,145	2,800
MARION SUPERIOR COURT 16	1,916	1,985
MARION SUPERIOR COURT 17	1,937	1,995
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CIV 13	2,017	2,380
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM 21	3,653	5,994
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM 23	680	940
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 1	459	528
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 2	399	519
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 3	432	552

Court Name	New Cases	Disposed Cases
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 4	433	545
MARION SUPERIOR COURT, CRIM. 5	440	579
MARSHALL CIRCUIT COURT	1,132	1,244
MARSHALL SUPERIOR COURT 2	9,617	11,722
MIAMI CIRCUIT COURT	1,808	2,421
MONROE CIRCUIT COURT 1	1,410	1,436
MONROE CIRCUIT COURT 4	4,061	4,180
MONROE CIRCUIT COURT 5	8,920	10,117
MONROE CIRCUIT COURT 8	4,298	4,303
MONTGOMERY SUPERIOR COURT	992	1,006
MORGAN CIRCUIT COURT	1,832	1,867
MORGAN SUPERIOR COURT 1	1,325	1,426
MORGAN SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,148	1,221
NAPPANEE CITY COURT	886	973
NEW HAVEN CITY COURT	12,516	13,573
NEWTON CIRCUIT COURT	758	1,019
NEWTON SUPERIOR COURT	3,622	5,765
NOBLE CIRCUIT COURT	833	1,055
NOBLESVILLE CITY COURT	3,001	4,343
NORTH MANCHESTER TOWN COURT	168	188
ORANGE CIRCUIT COURT	887	903
PERRY CIRCUIT COURT	5,457	5,530
PIKE CIRCUIT COURT	3,877	4,134
PLAINFIELD TOWN COURT	5,063	5,942
PORTLAND CITY COURT	2,813	3,187
PULASKI CIRCUIT COURT	830	867
PUTNAM CIRCUIT COURT	1,359	2,067
RANDOLPH CIRCUIT COURT	1,027	1,216
RANDOLPH SUPERIOR COURT	1,581	1,629
RIPLEY CIRCUIT COURT	1,152	1,208
RIPLEY SUPERIOR COURT	1,426	1,637
ROANOKE TOWN COURT	4,138	4,216
RUSH CIRCUIT COURT	2,583	2,627
SELLERSBURG TOWN COURT	2,030	2,106
SHARPSVILLE TOWN COURT	1,367	1,545
SHELBY SUPERIOR COURT 1	1,916	2,089
SPENCER CIRCUIT COURT	5,578	5,792
ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT 1	4,932	5,352
ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT 2	4,663	5,232
ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT 3	4,468	5,172
ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT 5	4,705	4,999

Court Name	New Cases	Disposed Cases
ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT 8	4,670	5,299
STEUBEN CIRCUIT COURT	1,408	1,632
STEUBEN SUPERIOR COURT	5,921	6,059
SULLIVAN CIRCUIT COURT	1,088	1,133
SULLIVAN SUPERIOR COURT	5,320	5,455
TIPPECANOE SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,275	1,452
TIPTON CIRCUIT COURT	1,201	1,313
UNION CIRCUIT COURT	2,096	2,213
VANDEBURGH CIRCUIT COURT	3,277	3,360
VERSAILLES TOWN COURT	4,120	4,174
VIGO CIRCUIT COURT 1	1,352	1,492
VIGO SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,014	1,071
WABASH CIRCUIT COURT	1,410	1,586
WASHINGTON CIRCUIT COURT	3,123	4,348
WAYNE SUPERIOR COURT 2	1,220	1,356
WELLS SUPERIOR COURT	1,784	1,879
WEST LAFAYETTE CITY COURT	3,011	3,013
WHITE CIRCUIT COURT	913	1,177
WHITE SUPERIOR COURT	6,109	6,115
WHITESTOWN TOWN COURT	222	367
WINCHESTER CITY COURT	2,260	2,337
ZIONSVILLE TOWN COURT	1,328	1,485

## 2007 SENIOR JUDGE PROGRAM

### Trial Court Senior Judges

Number of Trial Court Judges Receiving Benefits	80
Per Judge Benefits Cost	\$10,379
<b>Total Trial Court Senior Judge Benefits Cost</b>	<b>\$830,351</b>
Days of Service by Senior Judges in Trial Courts	3,462
Per Diem: \$ 50 X 1,577	\$78,850
Per Diem: \$100 X 1,016	\$101,600
Per Diem: \$125 X 836	\$104,500
Per Diem: \$150 X 33	\$4,950
<b>Total Per Diem Paid</b>	<b>\$289,900</b>
<b>Total Cost for Trial Court Senior Judges</b>	<b>\$1,120,251</b>

### Court of Appeals Senior Judges

Number of Appellate Court Senior Judges Receiving Benefits	4
Per Judge Benefits Cost	\$10,379
<b>Total Appellate Court Senior Judge Benefits Cost</b>	<b>\$41,518</b>
Days of Service by Appellate Court Senior Judges	343
Per Diem: \$ 50 X 107	\$5,350
Per Diem: \$100 X 94	\$9,400
Per Diem: \$125 X 100	\$12,500
Per Diem: \$150 X 42	\$6,300
<b>Total Per Diem Paid</b>	<b>\$33,550</b>
<b>Total Cost for Appellate Court Senior Judges</b>	<b>\$75,068</b>

**Total Cost of Senior Judge Program** \$1,195,319

### Additional Information Regarding Senior Judge Service in Trial Courts

Senior Judge Days Served	3,462
Hours Per Day	7.5
<b>Total Hours Served by Senior Judges</b>	<b>25,965</b>
Weighted Caseload Case-Related Hours Available Per Judicial Officer	1344
Senior Judge Time Equivalent to Judicial Officers	19
Cost of Trial Court Senior Judge Performing Work Equivalent to One Regular Judicial Officer: \$1,120,251 / 19	\$58,961
Cost of Minimal Trial Court Senior Judge Service: Benefits plus 30 days	\$11,879

Please see the narrative in the Court Services section for an explanation of this program.



## 2007 CIVIL LEGAL AID FUND DISTRIBUTIONS

Program	Annual Amount	Jan. 2007	July 2007
Legal Aid - District 11 f/k/a Bartholomew Area Legal Aid, Inc.	\$16,828.26	\$6,981.21	\$9,847.05
Community Organizations Legal Assistance Program d/b/a Community Development Law Center	\$42,818.41	\$17,071.56	\$25,746.85
Elkhart Legal Aid Service, Inc.	\$19,954.80	\$8,038.57	\$11,916.23
Indiana Legal Services, Inc.	\$803,071.87	\$325,840.80	\$477,231.07
Indianapolis Legal Aid Society, Inc.	\$78,612.87	\$31,766.91	\$46,845.96
Law School Legal Service, Inc.	\$42,818.41	\$17,071.56	\$25,746.85
Legal Aid Corporation of Tippecanoe County	\$10,906.64	\$5,453.83	\$5,452.81
Legal Aid Society of Evansville, Inc.	\$20,964.17	\$9,094.32	\$11,869.85
Legal Services of Maumee Valley, Inc.	\$45,744.36	\$19,475.66	\$26,268.70
Neighborhood Christian Legal Clinic	\$84,027.37	\$26,968.29	\$57,059.08
Protective Order Pro Bono Project	\$42,818.41	\$17,071.56	\$25,746.85
Volunteer Lawyer Program of Northeast Indiana, Inc.	\$41,434.43	\$15,165.73	\$26,268.70
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,250,000.00</b>	<b>\$500,000.00</b>	<b>\$750,000.00</b>

Effective July 1, 2007, the appropriation for the Fund increased from \$1.0 million per year to \$1.5 million per year.

Please see the narrative in the Court Services section for an explanation of this program.

## REPORT OF THE JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSION AND JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION

---

### Information for Calendar Year 2007

Senior Judge Certifications	3
Senior Judge Recertifications	89
Senior Judge Certifications Denied	0

Complaints Received Alleging Code of Judicial Conduct Violations	336
Complaints Dismissed	305
Inquiries or Investigations	31
Commission Concluded No Misconduct Occurred	13
Private Cautions Issued	14
Commission Admonition Issued With Judge's Consent	0
Formal Disciplinary Charges Filed	1
Resolved by the Supreme Court of Indiana	1
Public Hearings	0

Please see the narrative in the Commissions and Committees-Staff Support section for an explanation of these programs.

# REPORT ON PUBLIC DEFENDER COMMISSION AND FUND

## Public Defender Reimbursement to Eligible Counties

Information for Fiscal Year 2006-2007

County	Population Estimates as of July 1, 2007*	NonCapital	Capital	Total Reimbursement
ADAMS	33,644	\$62,622		\$62,622
ALLEN	349,488	\$1,014,474		\$1,014,474
BENTON	8,810	\$15,532		\$15,532
BLACKFORD	13,189	\$28,690		\$28,690
CLARK	105,035	\$153,097	\$9,258	\$162,354
DECATUR	24,959	\$31,899		\$31,899
FAYETTE	24,273	\$85,656		\$85,656
FLOYD	73,064	\$155,621		\$155,621
FOUNTAIN	17,143	\$19,644		\$19,644
FULTON	20,308	\$43,034	\$47,756	\$90,790
GRANT	68,847	\$239,422		\$239,422
GREENE	32,692	\$76,906		\$76,906
HANCOCK	66,305	\$89,749		\$89,749
HENRY	47,181	\$110,279		\$110,279
HOWARD	83,776	\$257,534		\$257,534
JASPER	32,275	\$35,318		\$35,318
JAY	21,514	\$50,449		\$50,449
JENNINGS	28,106	\$38,367		\$38,367
KNOX	37,949	\$137,697		\$137,697
KOSCIUSKO	76,115	\$111,014		\$111,014
LAKE	492,104	\$1,197,113	\$184,727	\$1,381,840
LAPORTE	109,787	\$138,601		\$138,601
MADISON	131,312	\$510,309		\$510,309
MARION	876,804	\$4,271,154	\$179,935	\$4,451,089
MARTIN	10,058	\$10,329		\$10,329
MIAMI	36,641	\$17,602		\$17,602
MONROE	128,643	\$272,443		\$272,443
MONTGOMERY	37,881	\$59,104		\$59,104
NOBLE	47,526	\$90,487		\$90,487
OHIO	5,772	\$14,322		\$14,322
ORANGE	19,607	\$60,093		\$60,093
PARKE	17,169	\$31,263	\$35,628	\$66,891
PERRY	18,916	\$40,264		\$40,264

County	Population Estimates as of July 1, 2007*	NonCapital	Capital	Total Reimbursement
PIKE	12,605	\$64,853	\$15,388	\$80,241
PULASKI	13,778	\$49,654		\$49,654
RUSH	17,494	\$37,272		\$37,272
SCOTT	23,679	\$51,536		\$51,536
SHELBY	44,063	\$95,619		\$95,619
SPENCER	20,334	\$22,217	\$70,220	\$92,437
STEUBEN	33,450	\$76,125		\$76,125
SULLIVAN	21,366	\$19,354		\$19,354
SWITZERLAND	9,684	\$42,646		\$42,646
TIPPECANOE	163,364	\$346,292	\$33,551	\$379,843
VANDERBURGH	174,425	\$601,432	\$61,769	\$663,201
VERMILLION	16,417	\$24,273		\$24,273
VIGO	104,915	\$357,850	\$25,080	\$382,930
WARREN	8,482	\$5,063		\$5,063
WASHINGTON	27,920	\$118,691		\$118,691
WHITLEY	32,655	\$50,172		\$50,172
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,821,524</b>	<b>\$11,433,138</b>	<b>\$663,311</b>	<b>\$12,096,449</b>

\*Total estimated population for entire state was 6,345,289. Indiana's population figures were provided by the U.S. Census Bureau: [www.census.gov/population/www/index.html](http://www.census.gov/population/www/index.html).

## INDIANA CLEO REPORT

	Class of 1997	Class of 1998	Class of 1999	Class of 2000	Class of 2001	Class of 2002	Class of 2003	Class of 2004	Class of 2005	Class of 2006	Class of 2007	Totals*
Summer Institute Participants	30	28	29	30	30	29	31	30	30	28	28	323
Certified CLEO Fellows	29	28	29	30	30	27	30	30	30	28	28	319
Voluntary Withdrawal	3	5	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	17
Academically Dismissed	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	6
Students Deferred Status	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graduates	25	22	27	29	23	23	21	22	0	0	0	192
Currently Enrolled	0	0	0	0	5	2	2	2	26	26	0	63
Admitted to Practice in Indiana	17	15	18	16	8	9	18	13	0	0	0	114
Admission Pending in Indiana	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	21
Admitted to Other States	5	3	4	1	3	4	0	1	0	0	0	21
Not Admitted Anywhere	3	4	4	12	12	10	0	30	27	26	0	128

\*As of the publication of this annual report, the above represents the most current status of each class.

Please see the narrative in the Commissions and Committees-Staff Support section for an update of this program.



## WEIGHTED CASELOADS

### Description of Weighted Caseload Measures

Indiana has adopted a weighted caseload measurement system to establish a uniform statewide method for comparing trial court caseloads. The weighting system was developed in 1993 and 1994 when the Judicial Administration Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference, the Division, and an independent consultant began a two-year study to design a system for measuring trial court caseloads. To establish the number of minutes a particular case type should be “weighted,” the Committee determined via random sampling how many times a particular judicial action occurs in each type of case, and how many minutes each type of action takes. By multiplying the minute weight of each type of action by the number of times each type of action occurred in a particular type of case, it was possible to determine the average amount of time each type of case takes in Indiana. Twenty-five case categories were examined.

Specifically, the weighted caseload study asked judicial officers to track the time they spent on case-related activities such as prejudgment hearings, trial preparation, plea/admissions, bench trials, settlements, jury trials, opinions, orders, sentencing/disposition, post judgment hearings, and research. A variety of judicial officers, including judges, magistrates, referees, and commissioners from around the state, were asked to participate in the study. More than 36,000 case-related timed events and information from more than 14,000 historical case files were recorded and included in the calculation of the weighting system.

Based on the caseload study, it was determined that a judicial officer has an average of 80,640 minutes available during a calendar year for case related activities. It was calculated after the

deduction of time attributable to illness, education, administrative matters, community service and four weeks of vacation. The weighted statistics provide the Indiana Supreme Court and the Indiana General Assembly with information necessary for the allocation of judicial resources. Trial courts use these statistical measures to develop county caseload plans to reduce the disparity in caseloads and judicial resources.

In 2002, the Division worked with the Judicial Administration Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference to conduct an update and validation of the Weighted Caseload Measures System. The Committee evaluated and included additional categories including Murder (MR); A, B, and C felonies (FA, FB, FC); Mortgage Foreclosure (MF); and Civil Collections (CC). The results of the update to the Weighted Caseload Measures were completed in the fall of 2002 and were approved by the Indiana Supreme Court. In 2007, the Judicial Administration Committee once again began reevaluating the original study and will consider further updates to the weighted caseload system in 2009.

The weighted caseload system also provides a “utilization” figure for each court. This figure is the result of dividing the total minutes assigned to the cases filed in a court by the amount of judicial time the court has available. The amount of time regularly employed appointed judicial officers work in a particular court is added into the total judicial time available for that court and is reflected in the “have” column of the weighted caseload reports.

Indiana’s weighted caseload (WCL) measures system is intended to apply only to new case filings. Until last year, all WCL reports have reflected

utilization statistics based solely on the number of new cases filed in each court. Each year, the baseline weighted caseload utilization figures shift somewhat during the year due to the transfer of cases among the courts because of change of venue from county or judge, judicial recusals, senior judge service or other shifts of judicial time. In 2006, we began calculating the temporary, adjusted weighted caseload utilization figures, which reflect such shifts. These figures are reported in the “Temporary Adjusted Weighted Caseload Report” for 2007.

The information in the “Temporary Adjusted Weighted Caseload Report” does not change the fundamental filing patterns in the trial courts. It reflects some of the ways that courts shift caseloads and resources, sometimes in order to deal with uneven caseloads. Because these shifts are temporary, they should be used only as an additional reference and not as the baseline for the weighted caseload statistics. This temporary WCL data is reported so that courts could see how the shifting of caseloads and judicial officer resources actually played out during 2007.

In addition to the regularly appointed judicial officers, there is a cadre of judicial officers that serve on a temporary basis (Judges *Pro Tempore*, Senior Judges, Special Judges, and Special Masters pursuant to Trial Rule 53). Because of their temporary status, these temporary appointed judicial officers are not automatically reflected as a “judicial resource” for weighted caseload statistical purposes. The Quarterly Case Status Reports elicit information about these positions in each court and statistical allowances can be made for these “temporary” increases (or decreases in the case of special judge service outside the reporting court) to the amount of judicial resources. However, getting complete and accurate information from court staffs about the days these temporary appointed judicial officers serve in a court has not been easy. We are continuing to work to improve the reporting process so that courts can report and we can reflect even the temporary shifts in time and cases.




The following chart contains the weighting factors (minutes) by case category from each of the study years:

Case Category	Abbreviation	Minutes Assigned	
		1996	2002
Capital Murder	LP DP	155	2649
Murder	MR	155	453
Felony	CF	155	*
A Felony	FA	155	420
B Felony	FB	155	260
C Felony	FC	155	210
D Felony	DF, FD	75	75
Criminal Misdemeanor	CM	40	40
Post-Conviction Relief	PC	0	0
Miscellaneous Criminal	MC	18	18
Infractions	IF	3	2
Ordinance Violations	OV OE	3	2
Juvenile CHINS	JC	112	111
Juvenile Delinquency	JD	62	60
Juvenile Status	JS	38	58
Juvenile Paternity	JP	106	82
Juvenile Miscellaneous	JM	12	12
Juvenile Termination Parental Rights	JT	141	194
Civil Plenary	CP PL	106	121
Mortgage Foreclosure	MF	121	23
Civil Collections	CC	121	26
Civil Tort	CT	118	118
Small Claims	SC	13	13
Domestic Relations	DR	139	185
Reciprocal Support	RS	31	31
Mental Health	MH	37	37
Adoption	AD	53	53
Adoption Histories	AH	53	*
Estate	ES/EU	85	85
Guardianship	GU	93	93
Trusts	TR	40	40
Protective Orders	PO	34	37
Civil Miscellaneous	MI	87	87

\* Casetype names are no longer used.

## Weighted Caseload Summary



This chart reveals the importance of the weighted caseload measures, which reflect an estimate of the judicial resources consumed by each category. Despite the large number of Infractions and Small Claims cases, they consume relatively little judicial resources. In contrast, the much smaller number of civil and criminal cases consumes roughly 73% of total judicial resources in courts of record.

## 2007 WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES

	COURT NAME	2007			2006			2005			NOTE
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
ADAMS	CIRCUIT	0.91	1.00	0.91	1.02	1.00	1.02	1.07	1.00	1.07	
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.65	1.00	0.65	0.66	1.00	0.66	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.62</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>1.67</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	
ALLEN	CIRCUIT	4.86	3.00	1.62	4.57	3.00	1.52	4.70	3.00	1.57	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.19	2.00	1.09	2.19	2.00	1.09	2.17	2.00	1.08	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.17	2.00	1.08	2.17	2.00	1.08	2.15	2.00	1.08	
	SUPERIOR 3	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.17	2.00	1.09	2.19	2.00	1.09	
	SUPERIOR 4	2.93	2.00	1.46	3.12	2.00	1.56	2.82	2.00	1.41	
	SUPERIOR 5	2.74	2.00	1.37	2.77	2.00	1.38	2.88	2.00	1.44	(7)
	SUPERIOR 6	2.73	2.00	1.36	2.70	2.00	1.35	2.70	2.00	1.35	
	SUPERIOR 7	3.48	3.00	1.16	3.74	3.00	1.25	3.67	3.00	1.22	
	SUPERIOR 8	4.58	3.00	1.53	3.62	3.00	1.21	2.79	3.00	0.93	
	SUPERIOR 9	2.44	2.00	1.22	2.26	2.00	1.13	2.45	2.00	1.22	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>30.32</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>29.30</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>28.51</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>1.24</b>	
BARTHOLOMEW	CIRCUIT	2.19	1.60	1.37	2.01	1.74	1.16	2.04	1.60	1.28	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.04	1.03	1.98	1.86	1.03	1.81	1.73	1.10	1.57	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.20	2.03	1.08	2.23	2.03	1.10	2.43	2.10	1.16	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>6.43</b>	<b>4.66</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>6.10</b>	<b>4.80</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>6.20</b>	<b>4.80</b>	<b>1.29</b>	
BENTON	CIRCUIT	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.56	0.77	1.00	0.77	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.77</b>	
BLACKFORD	CIRCUIT	0.52	1.00	0.52	0.55	1.00	0.55	0.62	1.00	0.62	
	SUPERIOR	0.40	1.00	0.40	0.44	1.00	0.44	0.44	1.00	0.44	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.53</b>	
BOONE	CIRCUIT	1.57	1.75	0.90	1.62	1.50	1.08	1.71	1.50	1.14	
	SUPERIOR 1	0.88	1.00	0.88	0.88	1.00	0.88	0.85	1.00	0.85	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.32	1.25	1.05	1.13	1.20	0.94	1.11	1.20	0.93	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.77</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>3.62</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>3.67</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>0.99</b>	
BROWN	CIRCUIT	0.99	2.00	0.50	1.01	2.00	0.51	1.03	2.00	0.52	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.52</b>	
CARROLL	CIRCUIT	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.74	1.00	0.74	0.80	1.00	0.80	
	SUPERIOR	0.55	1.00	0.55	0.58	1.00	0.58	0.64	1.00	0.64	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.72</b>	
CASS	CIRCUIT	0.94	1.00	0.94	0.76	1.00	0.76	0.79	1.00	0.79	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.05	1.00	1.05	1.23	1.00	1.23	1.26	1.00	1.26	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.07	1.00	1.07	1.27	1.00	1.27	1.22	1.00	1.22	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.06</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>3.26</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>3.26</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	

	COURT NAME	2007			2006			2005			NOTE
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
CLARK	CIRCUIT	1.81	1.00	1.81	1.51	1.15	1.31	1.34	1.15	1.16	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.91	1.40	1.37	2.85	1.10	2.59	2.02	1.10	1.83	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.07	1.30	1.60	1.70	1.15	1.48	2.08	1.15	1.81	(7)
	SUPERIOR 3	3.65	1.50	2.43	3.00	1.60	1.87	2.79	1.60	1.74	
	Total / Average	9.45	5.20	1.82	9.06	5.00	1.81	8.23	5.00	1.65	
CLAY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.26	1.00	1.26	
	SUPERIOR	1.08	1.00	1.08	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.25	1.00	1.25	
	Total / Average	2.28	2.00	1.14	2.32	2.00	1.16	2.51	2.00	1.25	
CLINTON	CIRCUIT	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.26	1.00	1.26	1.24	1.00	1.24	
	SUPERIOR	1.39	1.00	1.39	1.43	1.00	1.43	1.43	1.00	1.43	
	Total / Average	2.70	2.00	1.35	2.70	2.00	1.35	2.67	2.00	1.33	
CRAWFORD	CIRCUIT	0.89	1.00	0.89	0.96	1.00	0.96	1.08	1.00	1.08	
	Total / Average	0.89	1.00	0.89	0.96	1.00	0.96	1.08	1.00	1.08	
DAVIESS	CIRCUIT	1.05	1.00	1.05	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.01	1.00	1.01	
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.12	1.00	1.12	
	Total / Average	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.13	2.00	1.07	2.13	2.00	1.07	
DEARBORN	CIRCUIT	1.69	1.30	1.30	1.72	1.00	1.72	2.09	1.00	2.09	(1,7,12)
	SUPERIOR 1	0.89	1.20	0.74	0.84	1.20	0.70	1.84	1.20	1.54	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.40	1.00	1.40	1.23	1.00	1.23				
	Total / Average	3.98	3.50	1.14	3.78	3.20	1.21	3.93	2.20	1.79	
DECATUR	CIRCUIT	1.07	1.00	1.07	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.07	1.00	1.07	
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	0.82	1.00	0.82	1.01	1.00	1.01	
	Total / Average	2.23	2.00	1.12	1.81	2.00	0.91	2.08	2.00	1.04	
DEKALB	CIRCUIT	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.66	1.00	1.66	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.07	1.20	0.89	2.10	1.20	1.75	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.18	1.00	1.18	1.18	1.00	1.18				
	Total / Average	3.35	3.00	1.12	3.41	3.20	1.08	3.76	2.20	1.71	
DELAWARE	CIRCUIT 1	1.54	1.25	1.23	1.75	1.20	1.45	1.56	1.20	1.30	
	CIRCUIT 2	2.41	2.50	0.96	1.79	1.80	0.99	1.68	1.80	0.93	
	CIRCUIT 3	1.26	1.45	0.87	0.97	1.20	0.81	0.74	1.20	0.61	
	CIRCUIT 4	1.45	1.35	1.08	1.67	1.10	1.52	1.92	1.10	1.74	(7)
	CIRCUIT 5	1.44	1.45	0.99	1.39	1.20	1.16	1.85	1.20	1.54	
	Total / Average	8.10	8.00	1.01	7.56	6.50	1.16	7.74	6.50	1.19	
DUBOIS	CIRCUIT	1.77	1.00	1.77	1.64	1.00	1.64	1.49	1.00	1.49	
	SUPERIOR	1.42	1.00	1.42	1.50	1.00	1.50	1.34	1.00	1.34	(7)
	Total / Average	3.19	2.00	1.60	3.14	2.00	1.57	2.83	2.00	1.42	
ELKHART	CIRCUIT	3.27	2.15	1.52	3.50	2.00	1.75	3.10	2.00	1.55	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.78	1.35	1.32	1.44	2.00	0.72	1.18	1.00	1.18	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.02	1.55	1.31	2.14	1.65	1.30	1.94	1.65	1.18	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.59	1.08	1.47	1.43	1.08	1.32	1.27	1.08	1.17	

		2007			2006			2005			NOTE
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	SUPERIOR 4	1.63	1.25	1.31	1.57	1.30	1.21	1.62	1.30	1.24	
	SUPERIOR 5	2.11	1.34	1.58	2.34	1.40	1.67	2.31	1.40	1.65	
	SUPERIOR 6	3.27	1.80	1.82	2.94	1.30	2.26	3.02	1.00	3.02	
	Total / Average	15.68	10.52	1.49	15.35	10.73	1.46	14.44	9.43	1.53	
FAYETTE	CIRCUIT	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.57	1.00	1.57	1.85	1.00	1.85	
	SUPERIOR	1.28	1.00	1.28	0.94	1.00	0.94	0.83	1.00	0.83	
	Total / Average	2.69	2.00	1.35	2.51	2.00	1.26	2.68	2.00	1.34	
FLOYD	CIRCUIT	2.53	1.33	1.90	2.43	1.33	1.82	2.40	1.33	1.80	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.05	1.33	1.54	2.17	1.33	1.63	2.02	1.33	1.51	
	COUNTY	1.82	1.20	1.52	1.75	1.33	1.31	1.76	1.33	1.32	
	Total / Average	6.40	3.86	1.66	6.34	4.00	1.59	6.17	4.00	1.54	
FOUNTAIN	CIRCUIT	1.35	1.40	0.96	1.11	1.25	0.89	1.35	1.25	1.08	
	Total / Average	1.35	1.40	0.96	1.11	1.25	0.89	1.35	1.25	1.08	
FRANKLIN	CIRCUIT	1.66	2.00	0.83	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.73	1.00	1.73	(9)
	Total / Average	1.66	2.00	0.83	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.73	1.00	1.73	
FULTON	CIRCUIT	0.93	1.00	0.93	0.78	1.00	0.78	0.72	1.00	0.72	
	SUPERIOR	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.92	1.00	0.92	0.97	1.00	0.97	
	Total / Average	1.92	2.00	0.96	1.70	2.00	0.85	1.69	2.00	0.84	
GIBSON	CIRCUIT	1.42	1.00	1.42	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.32	1.00	1.32	
	SUPERIOR	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.17	1.00	1.17	1.24	1.00	1.24	
	Total / Average	2.63	2.00	1.31	2.31	2.00	1.15	2.56	2.00	1.28	
GRANT	CIRCUIT	1.14	1.30	0.88	1.32	1.25	1.06	1.31	1.25	1.05	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.24	1.00	1.24	1.08	1.00	1.08	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.26	1.60	0.79	1.26	1.45	0.87	1.25	1.00	1.25	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.31	1.20	1.09	1.44	1.20	1.20	1.65	1.30	1.27	(7)
	Total / Average	4.99	5.10	0.98	5.27	4.90	1.09	5.29	4.55	1.16	
GREENE	CIRCUIT	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.43	1.00	1.43	
	SUPERIOR	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.28	1.00	1.28	
	Total / Average	2.34	2.00	1.17	2.26	2.00	1.13	2.71	2.00	1.35	
HAMILTON	CIRCUIT	1.94	1.51	1.28	1.80	1.53	1.18	1.97	1.53	1.29	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.64	1.82	1.45	2.47	1.70	1.45	2.27	1.70	1.34	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.75	1.41	1.24	1.80	1.43	1.26	1.66	1.43	1.16	
	SUPERIOR 3	2.22	1.55	1.43	2.23	1.52	1.46	2.38	1.42	1.67	
	SUPERIOR 4	1.75	1.39	1.26	1.99	1.43	1.39	1.96	1.43	1.37	
	SUPERIOR 5	1.61	1.28	1.26	1.97	1.29	1.52	2.06	1.29	1.60	
	SUPERIOR 6	1.43	1.04	1.37							
	Total / Average	13.34	10.00	1.33	12.26	8.90	1.38	12.30	8.80	1.40	
HANCOCK	CIRCUIT	1.55	1.30	1.19	1.45	1.00	1.45	1.39	1.00	1.39	(7)
	SUPERIOR 1	1.39	1.30	1.07	1.26	1.00	1.26	1.27	1.00	1.27	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.63	1.30	1.25	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.62	1.00	1.62	

		2007			2006			2005			NOTE
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	Total / Average	4.57	3.90	1.17	4.20	3.00	1.40	4.29	3.00	1.43	
HARRISON	CIRCUIT	1.41	1.40	1.01	1.59	1.00	1.59	1.58	1.00	1.58	
	SUPERIOR	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.11	1.00	1.11	
	Total / Average	2.60	2.40	1.08	2.63	2.00	1.31	2.69	2.00	1.35	
HENDRICKS	CIRCUIT	1.83	1.00	1.83	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.82	1.00	1.82	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.83	1.20	1.53	1.83	1.20	1.52	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.30	1.00	1.30	2.04	1.30	1.57	1.97	1.20	1.64	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.81	1.20	1.51	1.77	1.20	1.48	
	SUPERIOR 4	1.11	1.00	1.11							
	SUPERIOR 5	1.22	1.00	1.22							
	Total / Average	8.22	6.00	1.37	7.68	4.70	1.65	7.39	4.60	1.61	
HENRY	CIRCUIT	1.39	1.30	1.07	1.32	1.35	0.98	1.30	1.35	0.97	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.46	1.25	1.17	1.46	1.25	1.17	1.13	1.35	0.83	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.04	1.00	1.04	0.97	1.00	0.97	1.05	1.00	1.05	
	Total / Average	3.89	3.55	1.10	3.76	3.60	1.04	3.48	3.70	0.94	
HOWARD	CIRCUIT	2.32	1.30	1.78	2.47	1.30	1.90	2.56	1.30	1.97	(6)
	SUPERIOR 1	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.17	1.00	1.17	1.76	1.00	1.76	(7)
	SUPERIOR 2	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.64	1.00	1.64	1.50	1.00	1.50	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.78	1.00	1.78	1.92	1.00	1.92	2.46	1.00	2.46	
	SUPERIOR 4	1.26	1.00	1.26	1.24	1.00	1.24				
	Total / Average	8.37	5.30	1.58	8.44	5.30	1.57	8.28	4.30	1.92	
HUNTINGTON	CIRCUIT	1.23	1.20	1.02	1.17	1.20	0.97	1.16	1.15	1.01	
	SUPERIOR	1.48	1.40	1.05	1.61	1.40	1.15	1.72	1.35	1.28	
	Total / Average	2.70	2.60	1.04	2.78	2.60	1.06	2.88	2.50	1.15	
JACKSON	CIRCUIT	2.04	1.40	1.45	1.93	1.40	1.38	2.18	1.40	1.56	
	SUPERIOR	1.91	1.00	1.91	1.77	1.00	1.77	1.89	1.00	1.89	
	Total / Average	3.95	2.40	1.64	3.71	2.40	1.58	4.07	2.40	1.69	
JASPER	CIRCUIT	1.55	1.00	1.55	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.14	1.00	1.14	
	SUPERIOR	0.80	1.00	0.80	0.82	1.00	0.82	0.98	1.00	0.98	
	Total / Average	2.35	2.00	1.18	2.31	2.00	1.15	2.13	2.00	1.06	
JAY	CIRCUIT	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.78	1.00	0.78	0.73	1.00	0.73	
	SUPERIOR	0.59	1.00	0.59	0.53	1.00	0.53	0.59	1.00	0.59	
	Total / Average	1.42	2.00	0.71	1.31	2.00	0.66	1.32	2.00	0.66	
JEFFERSON	CIRCUIT	1.70	1.00	1.70	1.54	0.93	1.66	1.58	0.93	1.70	(2,12)
	SUPERIOR	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.39	1.00	1.39	1.34	1.00	1.34	
	Total / Average	3.12	2.00	1.56	2.93	1.93	1.52	2.92	1.93	1.51	
JENNINGS	CIRCUIT	1.18	1.00	1.18	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.05	1.00	1.05	
	SUPERIOR	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.37	1.00	1.37	1.41	1.00	1.41	
	Total / Average	2.46	2.00	1.23	2.52	2.00	1.26	2.46	2.00	1.23	
JOHNSON	CIRCUIT	3.43	2.25	1.52	3.31	2.25	1.47	3.29	2.25	1.46	

	COURT NAME	2007			2006			2005			NOTE
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.60	1.25	1.28	1.49	1.25	1.19	1.48	1.25	1.18	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.61	1.25	1.29	1.21	1.25	0.97	1.47	1.25	1.17	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.78	1.25	1.42	1.93	1.25	1.55	1.71	1.25	1.37	
	Total / Average	8.42	6.00	1.40	7.94	6.00	1.29	7.95	6.00	1.32	
KNOX	CIRCUIT	0.94	1.00	0.94	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.24	1.00	1.24	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.02	1.00	1.02	1.18	1.00	1.18	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.93	1.00	1.93	2.16	1.00	2.16	
	Total / Average	3.53	3.00	1.18	4.16	3.00	1.39	4.57	3.00	1.52	
KOSCIUSKO	CIRCUIT	2.18	1.00	2.18	1.99	1.00	1.99	2.11	1.00	2.11	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.73	1.00	1.73	1.46	1.00	1.46	1.33	1.00	1.33	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.27	1.00	1.27	1.15	1.00	1.15	0.84	1.00	0.84	
	SUPERIOR 3	0.85	1.00	0.85	0.85	1.00	0.85	0.75	1.00	0.75	
	Total / Average	6.02	4.00	1.50	5.44	4.00	1.36	5.04	4.00	1.26	
LAGRANGE	CIRCUIT	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.06	1.00	1.06	
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.07	1.00	1.07	1.06	1.00	1.06	
	Total / Average	2.26	2.00	1.13	2.21	2.00	1.10	2.12	2.00	1.06	
LAKE	CIRCUIT	4.77	3.70	1.29	5.02	3.70	1.36	4.61	3.70	1.25	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 1	0.92	1.20	0.77	1.07	1.20	0.89	1.15	1.20	0.95	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 2	0.89	0.80	1.11	0.77	1.20	0.64	0.95	1.40	0.68	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 3	3.76	3.20	1.18	3.62	3.00	1.21	3.92	3.20	1.23	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 4	1.10	1.20	0.91	1.12	1.20	0.93	0.48	1.00	0.48	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 5	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.96	1.00	0.96	0.91	1.00	0.91	(14)
	SUPERIOR, JUVENILE	6.41	5.50	1.17	6.41	6.35	1.01	6.22	6.35	0.98	(14)
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 1	2.57	2.00	1.29	3.21	2.00	1.61	3.16	2.00	1.58	(14)
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 2	3.64	2.70	1.35	3.70	2.10	1.76	3.29	2.10	1.56	(14)
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 3	3.19	2.20	1.45	2.82	2.20	1.28	2.74	2.20	1.25	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 6	1.06	0.90	1.17	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.91	1.00	0.91	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 7	0.87	0.90	0.97	0.85	1.00	0.85	0.90	1.00	0.90	(14)
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 4	1.95	1.40	1.39	1.94	1.20	1.62	1.71	1.20	1.43	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 1	1.34	1.40	0.95	1.52	1.50	1.01	1.26	1.50	0.84	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 2	1.11	1.40	0.80	1.30	1.50	0.87	1.28	1.50	0.85	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 3	1.10	1.40	0.79	1.33	1.50	0.88	1.30	1.50	0.87	(14)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 4	1.12	1.40	0.80	1.34	1.50	0.90	1.43	1.50	0.96	(14)
	Total / Average	36.40	32.30	1.13	37.96	33.15	1.10	36.21	33.35	1.09	
LAPORTE	CIRCUIT	3.29	3.60	0.91	3.56	3.40	1.05	2.97	2.40	1.24	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.77	1.00	1.77	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.28	1.00	1.28	1.37	1.00	1.37	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.75	1.00	1.75	1.65	1.00	1.65	1.66	1.00	1.66	
	SUPERIOR 4	2.98	1.40	2.13	2.79	1.40	1.99	2.46	1.40	1.76	
	Total / Average	10.71	8.00	1.34	10.98	7.80	1.53	10.23	6.80	1.51	

	COURT NAME	2007			2006			2005			NOTE
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
LAWRENCE	CIRCUIT	1.56	1.00	1.56	1.40	1.20	1.17	1.37	1.20	1.14	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.06	1.00	1.06	1.02	1.00	1.02	0.98	1.00	0.98	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.11	1.00	1.11	(7)
	Total / Average	3.66	3.00	1.22	3.55	3.20	1.10	3.46	3.20	1.08	
MADISON	CIRCUIT	1.86	1.40	1.33	1.68	2.00	0.84	1.60	1.40	1.14	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.91	1.46	1.31	1.97	1.33	1.48	2.01	1.33	1.51	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.90	1.60	1.81	2.88	1.40	2.06	2.59	1.40	1.85	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.69	1.60	1.06	1.97	1.35	1.46	1.95	1.35	1.45	
	COUNTY 1	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.24	1.00	1.24	1.34	1.10	1.22	(7,10)
	COUNTY 2	1.06	1.00	1.06	1.26	1.11	1.13	1.43	1.11	1.29	(10)
	Total / Average	10.54	8.06	1.31	11.00	8.19	1.37	10.93	7.69	1.42	
MARION	CIRCUIT	8.61	4.60	1.87	8.68	4.50	1.93	6.34	4.50	1.41	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 1	2.21	1.50	1.48	2.18	1.90	1.15	2.19	1.90	1.15	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 2	2.18	1.80	1.21	2.19	2.10	1.04	2.23	2.10	1.06	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 3	2.20	1.60	1.38	2.16	1.90	1.13	2.13	1.90	1.12	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 4	2.17	1.70	1.27	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.20	2.00	1.10	
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 5	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.15	2.00	1.07	2.22	2.00	1.11	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 6	2.18	1.60	1.37	2.17	2.00	1.09	2.15	2.00	1.08	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 7	2.19	1.80	1.22	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.19	2.00	1.10	(13)
	SUPERIOR, PROBATE	3.13	3.00	1.04	3.00	4.00	0.75	3.12	4.00	0.78	(13)
	SUPERIOR, JUVENILE	6.84	9.00	0.76	7.98	6.40	1.25	9.15	6.40	1.43	(6,13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 10	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.17	1.90	1.14	2.24	1.90	1.18	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 11	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.19	2.00	1.10	2.20	2.00	1.10	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 12	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.17	2.00	1.09	2.19	2.00	1.10	
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 13	1.66	1.60	1.04	2.16	2.00	1.08	2.19	2.00	1.10	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 7	1.87	1.22	1.53	1.65	1.31	1.26	1.68	1.31	1.28	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 8	1.00	1.22	0.82	1.59	1.31	1.21	1.65	1.31	1.26	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 9	1.71	1.22	1.41	2.20	1.44	1.53	2.13	1.44	1.48	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 10	1.71	1.22	1.40	1.54	1.31	1.17	1.56	1.31	1.19	(13)
	SUPERIOR CRIM 11										(4)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 12	2.50	1.70	1.47	2.11	2.06	1.02	2.24	2.06	1.09	
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 13	10.37	2.00	5.19	4.62	2.06	2.24	3.92	2.06	1.90	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 15	1.72	1.22	1.41	2.21	1.36	1.63	2.14	1.36	1.58	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 18	1.69	1.22	1.38	2.14	1.39	1.54	2.12	1.39	1.53	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 19	1.68	1.22	1.38	1.45	1.31	1.11	1.56	1.31	1.19	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 24	1.67	1.22	1.37							(13)
	VIOLATIONS BUREAU										(5)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 1	1.19	1.60	0.75	1.48	1.76	0.84	1.25	1.76	0.71	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 2	1.12	1.60	0.70	1.43	1.76	0.81	1.27	1.76	0.72	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 3	1.17	1.50	0.78	1.51	1.71	0.88	1.26	1.71	0.74	(13)



		2007			2006			2005			
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NOTE
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 4	1.20	1.50	0.80	1.49	1.86	0.80	1.24	1.86	0.67	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 5	1.21	1.50	0.80	1.49	1.86	0.80	1.33	1.86	0.71	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 6	1.24	1.50	0.83	1.45	1.81	0.80	1.29	1.81	0.71	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 14	2.17	2.70	0.80	2.64	1.86	1.42	2.41	1.86	1.30	(7,13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 16	1.42	1.50	0.95	1.52	1.51	1.01	1.65	1.51	1.09	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 17	1.46	1.50	0.97	1.52	1.54	0.99	1.66	1.54	1.08	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 20	1.93	2.00	0.96	3.66	2.81	1.30	3.20	2.81	1.14	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 21	1.73	1.50	1.15	1.82	1.80	1.01	1.79	1.80	0.99	(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 22	1.79	1.50	1.20							(13)
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 23	2.01	2.00	1.00							(13)
	<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>87.78</b>	<b>69.96</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>88.02</b>	<b>70.53</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>80.11</b>	<b>70.53</b>	<b>1.14</b>	
MARSHALL	CIRCUIT	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.05	1.00	1.05	
	SUPERIOR 1	0.97	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.19	1.00	1.19	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.76	1.00	1.76	1.73	1.00	1.73	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.59</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>3.97</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.32</b>	
MARTIN	CIRCUIT	0.81	1.00	0.81	0.93	1.00	0.93	0.93	1.00	0.93	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.93</b>	
MIAMI	CIRCUIT	1.61	1.00	1.61	1.48	1.00	1.48	1.46	1.00	1.46	
	SUPERIOR	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.36	1.00	1.36	1.65	1.00	1.65	(11)
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.11</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.55</b>	<b>2.84</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>3.11</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.56</b>	
MONROE	CIRCUIT 1	1.04	1.05	0.99	1.06	1.05	1.01	1.02	1.03	0.99	
	CIRCUIT 2	1.77	1.10	1.61	1.23	1.10	1.12	1.25	1.03	1.21	
	CIRCUIT 3	1.86	1.15	1.62	1.33	1.15	1.16	1.18	1.01	1.17	(7)
	CIRCUIT 4	1.09	1.10	0.99	1.20	1.10	1.10	1.58	1.11	1.42	
	CIRCUIT 5	1.65	1.15	1.43	1.37	1.15	1.19	1.21	1.06	1.14	
	CIRCUIT 6	0.99	1.05	0.94	1.11	1.05	1.06	1.64	1.11	1.48	
	CIRCUIT 7	1.25	1.30	0.96	1.20	1.30	0.92	1.56	1.65	0.95	
	CIRCUIT 8	1.07	1.10	0.97	1.12	1.10	1.02				
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>10.72</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>9.63</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>9.43</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.18</b>	
MONTGOMERY	CIRCUIT	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.05	1.00	1.05	1.59	1.00	1.59	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.00	1.01	1.84	1.00	1.84	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.86	1.00	2.86	1.59	1.00	1.59	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.04</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>4.92</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>5.01</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.67</b>	
MORGAN	CIRCUIT	1.21	1.30	0.93	1.25	1.50	0.83	1.27	1.50	0.85	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.34	1.28	1.04	1.38	1.50	0.92	1.53	1.50	1.02	
	SUPERIOR 2	0.92	1.10	0.84	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.90	1.00	0.90	
	SUPERIOR 3	0.90	1.10	0.82	0.85	1.10	0.77	1.04	1.00	1.04	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.37</b>	<b>4.78</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>4.47</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>4.74</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.95</b>	
NEWTON	CIRCUIT	0.45	1.00	0.45	0.57	1.00	0.57	0.48	1.00	0.48	
	SUPERIOR	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.73	1.00	0.73	0.68	1.00	0.68	

		2007			2006			2005			NOTE
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	Total / Average	1.17	2.00	0.59	1.30	2.00	0.65	1.16	2.00	0.58	
NOBLE	CIRCUIT	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.39	1.00	1.39	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.43	1.00	1.43	1.32	1.00	1.32	1.09	1.00	1.09	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.27	1.00	1.27	1.17	1.00	1.17	(7)
	Total / Average	3.71	3.00	1.24	3.77	3.00	1.26	3.64	3.00	1.21	
OHIO	CIRCUIT	0.13	0.20	0.65	0.14	0.20	0.71	0.17	0.20	0.85	(1,12)
	SUPERIOR	0.35	0.40	0.88	0.38	0.40	0.96	0.41	0.40	1.03	(3)
	Total / Average	0.48	0.60	0.80	0.53	0.60	0.84	0.58	0.60	0.97	
ORANGE	CIRCUIT	1.01	1.00	1.01	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.86	1.00	0.86	
	SUPERIOR	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.11	1.00	1.11	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Total / Average	2.16	2.00	1.08	2.10	2.00	1.05	1.87	2.00	0.93	
OWEN	CIRCUIT	1.67	2.00	0.83	1.58	1.70	0.93	1.51	1.70	0.89	(7)
	Total / Average	1.67	2.00	0.83	1.58	1.70	0.93	1.51	1.70	0.89	
PARKE	CIRCUIT	1.25	1.00	1.25	1.72	1.00	1.72	1.29	1.00	1.29	(7)
	Total / Average	1.25	1.00	1.25	1.72	1.00	1.72	1.29	1.00	1.29	
PERRY	CIRCUIT	1.73	2.00	0.86	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.65	1.00	1.65	(7)
	Total / Average	1.73	2.00	0.86	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.65	1.00	1.65	
PIKE	CIRCUIT	1.32	1.50	0.88	1.13	1.50	0.76	1.18	1.50	0.79	
	Total / Average	1.32	1.50	0.88	1.13	1.50	0.76	1.18	1.50	0.79	
PORTER	CIRCUIT	2.29	2.00	1.15	2.20	2.00	1.10	2.13	2.00	1.07	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.47	2.00	1.24	2.43	2.00	1.21	2.22	2.00	1.11	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.30	2.00	1.15	2.30	2.00	1.15	2.18	2.00	1.09	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.17	1.00	1.17	(7)
	SUPERIOR 4	1.40	1.00	1.40	1.53	1.00	1.53	1.41	1.00	1.41	
	SUPERIOR 5	1.83	1.00	1.83	1.65	1.00	1.65	1.44	1.00	1.44	
	Total / Average	11.50	9.00	1.28	11.27	9.00	1.30	10.55	9.00	1.17	
POSEY	CIRCUIT	0.90	1.00	0.90	0.74	1.00	0.74	0.90	1.00	0.90	
	SUPERIOR	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.68	1.00	0.68	0.66	1.00	0.66	
	Total / Average	1.73	2.00	0.86	1.42	2.00	0.71	1.56	2.00	0.78	
PULASKI	CIRCUIT	0.86	1.00	0.86	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.81	1.00	0.81	
	SUPERIOR	0.58	1.00	0.58	0.51	1.00	0.51	0.46	1.00	0.46	
	Total / Average	1.44	2.00	0.72	1.21	2.00	0.60	1.28	2.00	0.64	
PUTNAM	CIRCUIT	1.51	1.50	1.01	1.59	1.10	1.44	1.65	1.00	1.65	
	SUPERIOR	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.47	1.00	1.47	1.41	1.00	1.41	
	Total / Average	2.92	2.50	1.17	3.06	2.10	1.46	3.05	2.00	1.53	
RANDOLPH	CIRCUIT	1.03	1.00	1.03	0.98	1.00	0.98	1.06	1.00	1.06	
	SUPERIOR	0.69	1.00	0.69	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.92	1.00	0.92	
	Total / Average	1.71	2.00	0.86	1.70	2.00	0.85	1.98	2.00	0.99	
RIPLEY	CIRCUIT	1.07	1.00	1.07	0.97	1.00	0.97	0.92	1.00	0.92	
	SUPERIOR	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.61	1.00	0.61	0.63	1.00	0.63	

		2007			2006			2005			NOTE
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.67</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>1.58</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.77</b>	
RUSH	CIRCUIT	0.73	1.00	0.73	0.51	1.00	0.51	0.72	1.00	0.72	
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.69	1.00	0.69	0.72	1.00	0.72	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.72</b>	
ST. JOSEPH	CIRCUIT	3.63	3.00	1.21	3.03	3.00	1.01	2.88	3.00	0.96	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.32	1.25	1.86	2.06	1.25	1.65	1.94	1.25	1.56	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.03	1.25	1.62	1.99	1.25	1.59	2.19	1.25	1.75	
	SUPERIOR 3	1.76	1.25	1.41	2.03	1.25	1.63	2.03	1.25	1.62	
	SUPERIOR 4	1.47	1.33	1.11	1.50	1.33	1.13	1.59	1.33	1.19	
	SUPERIOR 5	1.53	1.00	1.53	1.61	1.00	1.61	1.62	1.33	1.22	
	SUPERIOR 6	1.48	1.33	1.11	1.54	1.33	1.16	1.59	1.00	1.59	
	SUPERIOR 7	1.48	1.33	1.11	1.49	1.33	1.12	1.59	1.33	1.20	
	SUPERIOR 8	2.08	1.25	1.66	2.26	1.25	1.81	2.31	1.25	1.85	(7)
	PROBATE	6.33	4.00	1.58	5.08	4.00	1.27	4.11	3.00	1.37	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>24.10</b>	<b>16.99</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>22.59</b>	<b>16.99</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>21.85</b>	<b>15.99</b>	<b>1.37</b>	
SCOTT	CIRCUIT	1.49	1.13	1.32	1.56	1.14	1.37	1.41	1.00	1.41	
	SUPERIOR	1.31	1.07	1.23	1.49	1.07	1.40	1.64	1.00	1.64	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>3.06</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>3.05</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.52</b>	
SHELBY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.18	1.00	1.18	1.16	1.00	1.16	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.63	1.00	1.63	1.50	1.00	1.50	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.32	1.00	1.32	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>3.97</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.32</b>	
SPENCER	CIRCUIT	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.45	1.00	1.45	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.45</b>	
STARKE	CIRCUIT	1.59	2.00	0.80	1.40	2.00	0.70	1.76	2.00	0.88	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.88</b>	
STEUBEN	CIRCUIT	1.09	1.50	0.73	1.24	1.50	0.82	1.22	1.50	0.81	
	SUPERIOR	1.78	1.50	1.19	1.70	1.50	1.13	1.57	1.50	1.05	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.87</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>2.79</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.93</b>	
SULLIVAN	CIRCUIT	0.96	1.50	0.64	0.92	1.50	0.61	0.96	1.50	0.64	
	SUPERIOR	1.00	1.50	0.67	1.04	1.50	0.69	0.98	1.50	0.65	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.96</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>1.96</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>0.64</b>	
SWITZERLAND	CIRCUIT	0.05	0.07	0.70	0.06	0.07	0.80	0.04	0.07	0.61	(2,12)
	SUPERIOR	0.89	0.60	1.48	0.94	0.60	1.56	0.87	0.60	1.45	(3)
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>0.99</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>1.37</b>	
TIPPECANOE	CIRCUIT	2.01	1.14	1.76	2.17	1.20	1.81	2.19	1.15	1.90	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.69	1.09	1.55	2.17	1.22	1.78	1.92	1.20	1.60	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.85	1.15	1.61	2.07	1.20	1.73	1.97	1.20	1.64	
	SUPERIOR 3	2.25	1.80	1.25	3.54	1.70	2.08	2.92	1.10	2.66	(6)
	SUPERIOR 4	1.85	1.24	1.50	1.37	1.33	1.03	1.44	1.25	1.15	

		2007			2006			2005			NOTE
	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	SUPERIOR 5	1.97	1.28	1.54	2.12	1.12	1.89	2.21	1.25	1.76	
	SUPERIOR 6	1.84	1.31	1.40	1.70	1.23	1.38	1.70	1.25	1.36	(7)
	Total / Average	13.46	9.01	1.49	15.13	9.00	1.67	14.34	8.40	1.71	
TIPTON	CIRCUIT	0.85	1.10	0.78	0.78	1.25	0.62	0.95	1.25	0.76	
	Total / Average	0.85	1.10	0.78	0.78	1.25	0.62	0.95	1.25	0.76	
UNION	CIRCUIT	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.82	1.00	0.82	0.71	1.00	0.71	
	Total / Average	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.82	1.00	0.82	0.71	1.00	0.71	
VANDERBURGH	CIRCUIT	3.16	2.00	1.58	3.23	2.00	1.62	3.48	2.00	1.74	
	SUPERIOR 1	2.39	1.67	1.43	2.39	1.67	1.43	3.03	1.67	1.81	
	SUPERIOR 2	2.20	1.67	1.32	2.21	1.67	1.32	2.84	1.67	1.70	(7)
	SUPERIOR 3	2.36	1.67	1.41	2.39	1.67	1.43	3.01	1.67	1.80	
	SUPERIOR 4	2.89	2.00	1.45	2.92	2.00	1.46	2.83	2.00	1.41	(6)
	SUPERIOR 5	2.38	1.67	1.43	2.37	1.67	1.42	3.00	1.67	1.80	
	SUPERIOR 6	2.38	1.67	1.42	2.40	1.67	1.43	3.01	1.67	1.80	
	SUPERIOR 7	2.40	1.67	1.44	2.39	1.67	1.43	3.01	1.67	1.80	
	Total / Average	20.16	14.02	1.44	20.30	14.02	1.44	24.20	14.02	1.73	
VERMILLION	CIRCUIT	1.12	1.00	1.12	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.24	1.00	1.24	
	Total / Average	1.12	1.00	1.12	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.24	1.00	1.24	
VIGO	CIRCUIT/SUP 3	2.43	2.30	1.06	2.71	2.30	1.18	2.89	2.00	1.44	(6,8)
	SUPERIOR 1	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.38	1.00	1.38	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.23	1.00	1.23	1.11	1.05	1.06	1.54	1.00	1.54	
	SUPERIOR 3										(8)
	SUPERIOR 4	1.58	1.00	1.58	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.30	1.00	1.30	
	SUPERIOR 5	1.68	1.00	1.68	1.51	1.00	1.51	1.59	1.00	1.59	(7)
	SUPERIOR 6	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.07	1.00	1.07				
	Total/Average	9.50	7.30	1.30	7.96	6.35	1.25	8.70	6.00	1.45	
WABASH	CIRCUIT	1.32	1.00	1.32	1.52	1.05	1.45	1.48	1.05	1.41	
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.07	1.00	1.07	(7)
	Total / Average	2.49	2.00	1.24	2.68	2.05	1.30	2.55	2.05	1.25	
WARREN	CIRCUIT	0.49	1.00	0.49	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.65	1.00	0.65	
	Total / Average	0.49	1.00	0.49	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.65	1.00	0.65	
WARRICK	CIRCUIT	1.45	1.00	1.45	1.25	1.00	1.25	1.07	1.00	1.07	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.30	1.00	1.30	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.11	1.00	1.11	(7)
	SUPERIOR 2	1.39	1.00	1.39	1.12	1.00	1.12	1.06	1.00	1.06	
	Total / Average	4.13	3.00	1.38	3.51	3.00	1.17	3.24	3.00	1.08	
WASHINGTON	CIRCUIT	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.23	1.00	1.23	
	SUPERIOR	1.09	1.00	1.09	0.92	1.00	0.92	0.85	1.00	0.85	
	Total / Average	2.19	2.00	1.09	2.13	2.00	1.06	2.08	2.00	1.04	
WAYNE	CIRCUIT	1.31	1.35	0.97	1.40	1.00	1.40	1.33	1.00	1.33	
	SUPERIOR 1	1.29	1.35	0.96	1.37	1.00	1.37	1.36	1.00	1.36	

	COURT NAME	2007			2006			2005			NOTE
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	
	SUPERIOR 2	1.12	1.33	0.84	1.36	1.00	1.36	1.31	1.00	1.31	
	SUPERIOR 3	2.30	2.00	1.15	1.96	2.00	0.98	2.07	2.00	1.03	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>6.02</b>	<b>6.03</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.09</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>6.08</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>1.22</b>	
WELLS	CIRCUIT	0.92	1.00	0.92	0.93	1.00	0.93	0.86	1.00	0.86	
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.79	1.00	0.79	0.87	1.00	0.87	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	
WHITE	CIRCUIT	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.90	1.00	0.90	1.30	1.00	1.30	
	SUPERIOR	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.02	1.00	1.02	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.83</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.16</b>	
WHITLEY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.07	1.00	1.07	
	SUPERIOR	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.13	1.00	1.13	1.15	1.00	1.15	
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.17</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>2.22</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.11</b>	
	<b>TOTAL/AVERAGE</b>	<b>521.73</b>	<b>429.41</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>515.8</b>	<b>418.8</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>510.7</b>	<b>411.6</b>	<b>1.24</b>	

## Notes:

- (1) Dearborn Circuit Court and Ohio Circuit Court share a judge.
- (2) Jefferson Circuit Court and Switzerland Circuit Court share a judge.
- (3) Ohio Superior Court and Switzerland Superior Court share a judge.
- (4) Marion County Criminal Court 11 functions as an initial hearings court. The cases are considered filed in the court in which they are finally heard. Criminal Court 11 was not included in the Marion County totals or averages.
- (5) Marion County Violations Bureau does not have a judge because it only handles payment of Infractions and Ordinance Violations. Marion County Violations Bureau was not included in the Marion County totals or averages.
- (6 & 7) Indicates a drug court and because the drug court process was not studied under the first and second weighted caseload studies, the reported data does not fully reflect the extra time associated with processing drug court cases. (6) represents a juvenile drug court and (7) represents an adult drug court.
- (8) Vigo Circuit Court and Superior Court 3 are combined courts.
- (9) Franklin County is to receive a second Circuit Court on January 1, 2009. This will abolish the Franklin Circuit Court Magistrate as of January 1, 2009.
- (10) Madison County Courts will become Superior Courts as of January 1, 2009.
- (11) Miami County will receive a second Superior Court on January 1, 2009.
- (12) As of January 1, 2009, (1) the Ohio County and Switzerland County joint Superior Court is abolished; (2) the Jefferson County and Switzerland County joint fifth judicial circuit is abolished; and (3) Jefferson County constitutes and continues in the fifth judicial circuit and Switzerland County constitutes a new ninety-first circuit. Allows the judge of the Dearborn and Ohio Circuit Court to appoint one full-time magistrate.
- (13) The Judicial "Haves" as reported on the QCSRs submitted by Marion County differ from the Judicial "Haves" as set out in the 2007 Marion County Caseload Allocation Plan. Below are the "Haves" Marion County hopes to attain under its Caseload Allocation Plan.
 

Marion Circuit	6.00
Marion Superior Court, Civil 1	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 2	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 3	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 5	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 6	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 7	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Probate	2.50

Marion Superior Court, Civil 10	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 11	1.70
Marion Superior Court, Civil 13	1.70
Marion Superior 7, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 8, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 9, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 10, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 13, Crim. Div.	3.50
Marion Superior 15, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 18, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 19, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 24, Crim. Div.	1.30
Marion Superior 1, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 2, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 3, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 4, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 5, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 6, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 14, Crim. Div.	2.00
Marion Superior 16, Crim. Div.	1.40
Marion Superior 17, Crim. Div.	1.40
Marion Superior 20, Crim. Div.	1.50
Marion Superior 21, Crim. Div.	1.58
Marion Superior 22, Crim. Div.	1.25
Marion Superior 23, Crim. Div.	1.50


(14) On May 7, 2007, Lake County's Caseload Allocation Plan changed both Judicial Officers and assignment of case types in each court. The following is a projection of what the Have and Utilization would have been, if the plan were in place for the entire 2007 year:

Lake Circuit	Have: 3.70	Utilization: 1.30
Lake Superior Court, Civil 1	Have: 1.20	Utilization: .76
Lake Superior Court, Civil 2	Have: .80	Utilization: 1.23
Lake Superior Court, Civil 3	Have: 3.20	Utilization: 1.16
Lake Superior Court, Civil 4	Have: 1.20	Utilization: .89
Lake Superior Court, Civil 5	Have: 1.00	Utilization: .50
Lake Superior Court, Juv. Div.	Have: 5.50	Utilization: 1.17
Lake Superior Court, County 1	Have: 2.00	Utilization: 1.21
Lake Superior Court, County 2	Have: 2.70	Utilization: 1.33
Lake Superior Court, County 3	Have: 2.20	Utilization: 1.53
Lake Superior Court, Civil 6	Have: .90	Utilization: 1.26
Lake Superior Court, Civil 7	Have: .90	Utilization: 1.12
Lake Superior Court, County 4	Have: 1.40	Utilization: 1.32
Lake Superior Court, Crim. 1	Have: 1.40	Utilization: .94
Lake Superior Court, Crim. 2	Have: 1.40	Utilization: .78
Lake Superior Court, Crim. 3	Have: 1.40	Utilization: .80
Lake Superior Court, Crim. 4	Have: 1.40	Utilization: .79

## WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES FOR EACH DISTRICT

2007 Weighted Caseloads by District (Courts of Record)

District	Need	Have	Utilization
1	65.17	57.30	1.14
2	49.39	33.84	1.46
3	50.80	42.60	1.19
4	24.72	20.65	1.20
5	19.80	15.40	1.29
6	29.41	28.71	1.02
7	19.03	16.80	1.13
8	134.64	106.94	1.26
9	12.51	13.03	0.96
10	18.39	16.00	1.15
11	16.07	13.06	1.23
12	10.19	8.77	1.16
13	42.93	33.52	1.28
14	24.66	17.46	1.41
Statewide	521.73	429.41	1.21



## 2007 TEMPORARY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD REPORT

---

Indiana's weighted caseload measures system is intended to apply only to new case filings. Until the Temporarily Adjusted Weighted Caseload Report was created, all reports reflected trial court utilization statistics based solely on the number of new cases filed in each court. Each year, the baseline utilization figures shift somewhat due to the transfer of cases among the courts (because of change of venue from the county or judge and judicial recusals), senior judge service, and other shifts of judicial time and cases.

For 2007, we have calculated the temporary, adjusted weighted caseload utilization figures. These temporary, adjusted statistics have been calculated by:

- Adding to the court's total minutes the cases in which the reporting judge assumed jurisdiction as special judge in other courts
- Adding to the court's total minutes the venued in and transferred in cases

- Adding to the reporting courts total minutes the time that senior judges serve in the reporting court
- Subtracting from the court's total minutes the number of cases in which another judge assumed jurisdiction as special judge in the reporting court
- Subtracting from the court's total minutes the venued out and transferred out cases

The information in the "Temporary, Adjusted Weighted Caseload Report" does not change the fundamental filing patterns in the trial courts. It reflects some of the ways that courts shift caseloads and resources, sometimes in order to deal with uneven caseloads. Because these shifts are temporary, they should be used only as an additional reference and not as the baseline for the weighted caseload statistics. This temporary data is reported so that courts could see how the shifting of caseloads and judicial officer resources actually played out during 2007.

State	Weighted Caseload Measures			Temporarily Adjusted Weighted Caseload Measures			
	Need	Have	Util.	Need	Have	Util.	% Change
Total/ Average	521.73	429.71	1.21	532.14	448.39	1.19	-2.3%



## 2007 TEMPORARY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
ADAMS	CIRCUIT	0.91	1.00	0.91	0.91	1.03	0.89	-2.7%
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.5%
	Total / Average	1.62	2.00	0.81	1.63	2.03	0.80	-1.2%
ALLEN	CIRCUIT	4.86	3.00	1.62	4.95	3.18	1.56	-3.9%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.19	2.00	1.09	2.15	2.03	1.06	-2.8%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.17	2.00	1.08	2.12	2.00	1.06	-2.0%
	SUPERIOR 3	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.18	2.00	1.09	-1.2%
	SUPERIOR 4	2.93	2.00	1.46	2.93	2.09	1.40	-4.5%
	SUPERIOR 5	2.74	2.00	1.37	2.74	2.00	1.37	0.0%
	SUPERIOR 6	2.73	2.00	1.36	2.73	2.00	1.36	0.0%
	SUPERIOR 7	3.48	3.00	1.16	3.45	3.46	1.00	-14.0%
	SUPERIOR 8	4.58	3.00	1.53	4.57	3.00	1.52	-0.1%
	SUPERIOR 9	2.44	2.00	1.22	2.40	2.00	1.20	-1.6%
	Total / Average	30.32	23.00	1.32	30.23	23.76	1.27	-3.5%
BARTHOLOMEW	CIRCUIT	2.19	1.60	1.37	2.19	1.61	1.36	-0.6%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.04	1.03	1.98	2.02	1.03	1.96	-1.3%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.20	2.03	1.08	2.23	2.06	1.08	-0.3%
	Total / Average	6.43	4.66	1.38	6.44	4.70	1.37	-0.9%
BENTON	CIRCUIT	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.63	1.06	0.60	-0.1%
	Total / Average	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.63	1.06	0.60	-0.1%
BLACKFORD	CIRCUIT	0.52	1.00	0.52	0.48	1.09	0.44	-15.5%
	SUPERIOR	0.40	1.00	0.40	0.40	1.00	0.40	-2.2%
	Total / Average	0.93	2.00	0.46	0.88	2.09	0.42	-9.4%
BOONE	CIRCUIT	1.57	1.75	0.90	1.59	1.75	0.91	1.0%
	SUPERIOR 1	0.88	1.00	0.88	0.86	1.00	0.86	-2.0%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.32	1.25	1.05	1.38	1.25	1.10	4.7%
	Total / Average	3.77	4.00	0.94	3.83	4.00	0.96	1.6%
BROWN	CIRCUIT	0.99	2.00	0.50	1.00	2.00	0.50	0.7%
	Total / Average	0.99	2.00	0.50	1.00	2.00	0.50	0.7%
CARROLL	CIRCUIT	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.75	1.01	0.74	2.8%
	SUPERIOR	0.55	1.00	0.55	0.55	1.00	0.55	0.7%
	Total / Average	1.27	2.00	0.63	1.30	2.01	0.65	2.0%
CASS	CIRCUIT	0.94	1.00	0.94	1.09	1.02	1.06	13.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.05	1.00	1.05	0.94	1.10	0.86	-18.2%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.07	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.45	0.75	-30.3%

		WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
COUNTY	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	Total / Average	3.06	3.00	1.02	3.11	3.57	0.87	-14.5%
CLARK	CIRCUIT	1.81	1.00	1.81	1.77	1.09	1.62	-10.8%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.91	1.40	1.37	1.91	1.42	1.34	-1.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.07	1.30	1.60	2.07	1.32	1.57	-1.4%
	SUPERIOR 3	3.65	1.50	2.43	3.66	1.61	2.27	-6.8%
	Total / Average	9.45	5.20	1.82	9.42	5.45	1.73	-4.9%
CLAY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.20	1.08	1.11	-6.8%
	SUPERIOR	1.08	1.00	1.08	1.08	1.00	1.08	-0.1%
	Total / Average	2.28	2.00	1.14	2.28	2.08	1.10	-3.6%
CLINTON	CIRCUIT	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.29	1.08	1.20	-8.3%
	SUPERIOR	1.39	1.00	1.39	1.38	1.15	1.20	-13.7%
	Total / Average	2.70	2.00	1.35	2.67	2.22	1.20	-11.1%
CRAWFORD	CIRCUIT	0.89	1.00	0.89	1.27	1.09	1.16	30.8%
	Total / Average	0.89	1.00	0.89	1.27	1.09	1.16	30.8%
DAVIESS	CIRCUIT	1.05	1.00	1.05	1.00	1.16	0.87	-16.9%
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.12	1.15	0.98	-15.9%
	Total / Average	2.21	2.00	1.11	2.13	2.30	0.92	-16.4%
DEARBORN	CIRCUIT	1.69	1.30	1.30	1.73	1.45	1.19	-8.7%
	SUPERIOR 1	0.89	1.20	0.74	0.87	1.29	0.68	-8.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.40	1.00	1.40	1.40	1.06	1.33	-5.1%
	Total / Average	3.98	3.50	1.14	4.00	3.79	1.05	-7.3%
DECATUR	CIRCUIT	1.07	1.00	1.07	1.07	1.00	1.07	-0.1%
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.15	1.00	1.15	-0.6%
	Total / Average	2.23	2.00	1.12	2.23	2.00	1.11	-0.4%
DEKALB	CIRCUIT	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.09	1.03	1.06	-2.6%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.06	1.05	1.00	-7.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.18	1.00	1.18	1.23	1.00	1.23	4.3%
	Total / Average	3.35	3.00	1.12	3.37	3.08	1.10	-2.0%
DELAWARE	CIRCUIT 1	1.54	1.25	1.23	1.53	1.25	1.22	-0.8%
	CIRCUIT 2	2.41	2.50	0.96	2.37	2.50	0.95	-1.7%
	CIRCUIT 3	1.26	1.45	0.87	1.26	1.50	0.84	-3.6%
	CIRCUIT 4	1.45	1.35	1.08	1.58	1.36	1.16	8.0%
	CIRCUIT 5	1.44	1.45	0.99	1.47	1.58	0.93	-6.0%
	Total / Average	8.10	8.00	1.01	8.20	8.18	1.00	-1.0%
DUBOIS	CIRCUIT	1.77	1.00	1.77	1.88	1.13	1.66	-6.3%
	SUPERIOR	1.42	1.00	1.42	1.45	1.07	1.35	-5.3%
	Total / Average	3.19	2.00	1.60	3.33	2.21	1.51	-5.6%
ELKHART	CIRCUIT	3.27	2.15	1.52	3.27	2.48	1.32	-13.2%

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR 1	1.78	1.35	1.32	2.08	1.40	1.49	12.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.02	1.55	1.31	2.18	1.62	1.35	3.1%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.59	1.08	1.47	1.62	1.12	1.45	-1.1%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.63	1.25	1.31	1.62	1.26	1.28	-1.8%
	SUPERIOR 5	2.11	1.34	1.58	2.11	1.38	1.53	-3.0%
	SUPERIOR 6	3.27	1.80	1.82	3.41	1.80	1.89	4.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>15.68</b>	<b>10.52</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>16.30</b>	<b>11.06</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>-1.1%</b>
FAYETTE	CIRCUIT	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.41	1.08	1.30	-7.3%
	SUPERIOR	1.28	1.00	1.28	1.29	1.00	1.29	0.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.69</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>2.70</b>	<b>2.08</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>-3.7%</b>
FLOYD	CIRCUIT	2.53	1.33	1.90	2.54	1.55	1.63	-14.0%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.05	1.33	1.54	2.07	1.49	1.38	-10.4%
	COUNTY	1.82	1.20	1.52	1.85	1.30	1.42	-6.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>6.40</b>	<b>3.86</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>6.45</b>	<b>4.35</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>-10.5%</b>
FOUNTAIN	CIRCUIT	1.35	1.40	0.96	1.38	1.54	0.89	-7.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>-7.0%</b>
FRANKLIN	CIRCUIT	1.66	2.00	0.83	1.65	2.00	0.83	-0.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>1.65</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>-0.4%</b>
FULTON	CIRCUIT	0.93	1.00	0.93	0.92	1.00	0.92	-1.1%
	SUPERIOR	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>
GIBSON	CIRCUIT	1.42	1.00	1.42	1.38	1.07	1.28	-9.9%
	SUPERIOR	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.21	1.11	1.09	-10.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>2.58</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>-10.1%</b>
GRANT	CIRCUIT	1.14	1.30	0.88	1.07	1.41	0.76	-13.4%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.34	1.00	1.34	4.2%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.26	1.60	0.79	1.33	1.64	0.81	3.1%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.31	1.20	1.09	1.35	1.22	1.11	1.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>5.27</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>-1.3%</b>
GREENE	CIRCUIT	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.14	1.01	1.13	-1.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.19	1.07	1.12	-6.5%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.33</b>	<b>2.08</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>-4.0%</b>
HAMILTON	CIRCUIT	1.94	1.51	1.28	1.92	1.60	1.20	-6.6%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.64	1.82	1.45	2.65	1.82	1.46	0.5%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.75	1.41	1.24	1.79	1.41	1.27	2.1%
	SUPERIOR 3	2.22	1.55	1.43	2.19	1.55	1.41	-1.6%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.75	1.39	1.26	1.76	1.39	1.26	0.5%
	SUPERIOR 5	1.61	1.28	1.26	1.62	1.28	1.27	0.6%

		WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
COUNTY	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR 6	1.43	1.04	1.37	1.42	1.04	1.36	-0.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>13.34</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>13.34</b>	<b>10.09</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>-0.9%</b>
HANCOCK	CIRCUIT	1.55	1.30	1.19	1.53	1.30	1.18	-1.0%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.39	1.30	1.07	1.39	1.30	1.07	-0.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.63	1.30	1.25	1.62	1.30	1.25	-0.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.57</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>4.54</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>-0.8%</b>
HARRISON	CIRCUIT	1.41	1.40	1.01	1.33	1.56	0.85	-15.5%
	SUPERIOR	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.20	1.16	1.04	-12.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>0.93</b>	<b>-14.0%</b>
HENDRICKS	CIRCUIT	1.83	1.00	1.83	1.78	1.04	1.70	-7.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.41	1.00	1.41	2.2%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.30	1.00	1.30	1.29	1.00	1.29	-0.4%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.44	1.00	1.44	4.7%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.11	1.00	1.11	1.22	1.00	1.22	9.7%
	SUPERIOR 5	1.22	1.00	1.22	1.27	1.00	1.27	4.5%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>8.22</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>8.41</b>	<b>6.04</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
HENRY	CIRCUIT	1.39	1.30	1.07	1.41	1.39	1.01	-5.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.46	1.25	1.17	1.45	1.26	1.16	-1.0%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.04	1.00	1.04	0.1%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.89</b>	<b>3.55</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>3.91</b>	<b>3.65</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>-2.3%</b>
HOWARD	CIRCUIT	2.32	1.30	1.78	2.31	1.41	1.63	-8.3%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.27	1.09	1.16	-12.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.69	1.00	1.69	1.68	1.13	1.48	-12.4%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.78	1.00	1.78	1.78	1.00	1.78	0.4%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.26	1.00	1.26	1.36	1.07	1.27	0.9%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>8.37</b>	<b>5.30</b>	<b>1.58</b>	<b>8.40</b>	<b>5.71</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>-6.8%</b>
HUNTINGTON	CIRCUIT	1.23	1.20	1.02	1.22	1.26	0.97	-5.1%
	SUPERIOR	1.48	1.40	1.05	1.68	1.40	1.20	14.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.70</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>2.90</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>5.2%</b>
JACKSON	CIRCUIT	2.04	1.40	1.45	2.10	1.53	1.38	-5.3%
	SUPERIOR	1.91	1.00	1.91	1.90	1.12	1.69	-11.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.95</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>2.65</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>-8.1%</b>
JASPER	CIRCUIT	1.55	1.00	1.55	1.55	1.16	1.33	-14.2%
	SUPERIOR	0.80	1.00	0.80	0.81	1.14	0.71	-10.9%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.35</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>2.36</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>-12.8%</b>
JAY	CIRCUIT	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.81	1.00	0.81	-2.0%
	SUPERIOR	0.59	1.00	0.59	0.66	1.06	0.63	6.3%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>2.06</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.1%</b>

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
JEFFERSON	CIRCUIT	1.70	1.00	1.70	1.69	1.03	1.64	-3.8%
	SUPERIOR	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.45	1.03	1.40	-0.8%
	Total / Average	3.12	2.00	1.56	3.15	2.07	1.52	-2.4%
JENNINGS	CIRCUIT	1.18	1.00	1.18	1.19	1.07	1.11	-5.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.25	1.02	1.22	-5.4%
	Total / Average	2.46	2.00	1.23	2.43	2.09	1.17	-5.4%
JOHNSON	CIRCUIT	3.43	2.25	1.52	3.43	2.25	1.52	0.0%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.60	1.25	1.28	1.59	1.26	1.26	-1.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.61	1.25	1.29	1.79	1.32	1.36	5.4%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.78	1.25	1.42	2.94	1.25	2.35	65.5%
	Total / Average	8.41	6.00	1.40	9.75	6.08	1.60	14.4%
KNOX	CIRCUIT	0.94	1.00	0.94	1.05	1.04	1.00	7.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.16	1.13	1.03	-10.1%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.45	1.19	1.22	-15.8%
	Total / Average	3.53	3.00	1.18	3.66	3.36	1.09	-7.5%
KOSCIUSKO	CIRCUIT	2.18	1.00	2.18	2.19	1.18	1.85	-15.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.73	1.00	1.73	1.72	1.08	1.59	-8.1%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.27	1.00	1.27	1.27	1.04	1.22	-3.8%
	SUPERIOR 3	0.85	1.00	0.85	0.85	1.06	0.80	-5.3%
	Total / Average	6.02	4.00	1.50	6.02	4.36	1.38	-8.2%
LAGRANGE	CIRCUIT	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.14	1.13	1.01	-8.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.16	1.11	1.05	-9.9%
	Total / Average	2.26	2.00	1.13	2.30	2.24	1.03	-9.1%
LAKE	CIRCUIT	4.77	3.70	1.29	4.59	3.76	1.22	-5.1%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 1	0.92	1.20	0.77	0.90	1.23	0.73	-4.9%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 2	0.89	0.80	1.11	0.84	0.80	1.05	-5.5%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 3	3.76	3.20	1.18	4.16	3.20	1.30	10.5%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 4	1.10	1.20	0.91	1.11	1.20	0.92	1.1%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 5	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.51	1.08	0.47	-21.7%
	SUPERIOR, JUVENILE	6.41	5.50	1.17	6.44	5.50	1.17	0.4%
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 1	2.57	2.00	1.29	2.62	2.00	1.31	1.8%
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 2	3.64	2.70	1.35	3.57	2.70	1.32	-1.9%
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 3	3.19	2.20	1.45	3.14	2.20	1.43	-1.5%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 6	1.06	0.90	1.17	1.14	0.90	1.27	7.9%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 7	0.87	0.90	0.97	1.06	0.91	1.17	21.1%
	SUPERIOR, COUNTY 4	1.95	1.40	1.39	1.95	1.40	1.39	0.0%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 1	1.34	1.40	0.95	1.35	1.40	0.97	1.3%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 2	1.11	1.40	0.80	1.11	1.40	0.79	-0.6%

		WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
COUNTY	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 3	1.10	1.40	0.79	1.09	1.40	0.78	-1.0%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 4	1.12	1.40	0.80	1.14	1.40	0.81	1.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>36.40</b>	<b>32.30</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>36.72</b>	<b>32.48</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
LAPORTE	CIRCUIT	3.29	3.60	0.91	3.30	3.69	0.89	-2.3%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.27	1.26	1.01	-22.4%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.38	1.00	1.38	1.34	1.07	1.26	-8.7%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.75	1.00	1.75	1.75	1.07	1.64	-6.6%
	SUPERIOR 4	2.98	1.40	2.13	2.95	1.41	2.09	-1.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>10.71</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>10.61</b>	<b>8.49</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>-6.7%</b>
LAWRENCE	CIRCUIT	1.56	1.00	1.56	1.49	1.00	1.49	-4.7%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.06	1.00	1.06	1.08	1.07	1.00	-5.5%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.03	1.04	0.98	-5.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.66</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>3.59</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>-5.7%</b>
MADISON	CIRCUIT	1.86	1.40	1.33	1.87	1.40	1.34	0.2%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.91	1.46	1.31	1.92	1.54	1.25	-4.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.90	1.60	1.81	2.91	1.92	1.52	-16.2%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.69	1.60	1.06	1.72	1.74	0.99	-6.7%
	COUNTY 1	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.10	1.05	1.05	-5.0%
	COUNTY 2	1.06	1.00	1.06	1.07	1.09	0.97	-8.5%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>10.54</b>	<b>8.06</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>10.59</b>	<b>8.74</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>-7.4%</b>
MARION	CIRCUIT	8.61	4.60	1.87	8.63	4.64	1.86	-0.6%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 1	2.21	1.50	1.48	2.25	1.59	1.42	-3.8%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 2	2.18	1.80	1.21	2.19	1.81	1.21	-0.3%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 3	2.20	1.60	1.38	2.22	1.60	1.39	0.7%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 4	2.17	1.70	1.27	7.79	1.77	4.40	245.4%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 5	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.21	1.65	1.34	-2.9%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 6	2.18	1.60	1.37	2.16	1.64	1.32	-3.3%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 7	2.19	1.80	1.22	2.17	1.80	1.21	-1.0%
	SUPERIOR, PROBATE	3.13	3.00	1.04	3.14	3.04	1.03	-1.0%
	SUPERIOR, JUVENILE	6.84	9.00	0.76	6.82	9.05	0.75	-0.9%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 10	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.28	1.60	1.43	3.4%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 11	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.22	1.60	1.38	0.0%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 12	2.21	1.60	1.38	2.25	1.60	1.41	1.8%
	SUPERIOR, CIVIL 13	1.66	1.60	1.04	1.65	1.69	0.97	-5.9%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 7	1.87	1.22	1.53	1.82	1.25	1.46	-4.8%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 8	1.00	1.22	0.82	1.90	1.28	1.48	81.3%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 9	1.71	1.22	1.41	1.64	1.32	1.24	-11.6%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 10	1.71	1.22	1.40	1.63	1.31	1.24	-11.5%

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 12	2.50	1.70	1.47	2.22	1.70	1.31	-11.2%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 13	10.37	2.00	5.19	9.97	2.00	4.98	-3.9%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 15	1.72	1.22	1.41	1.12	1.30	0.86	-39.1%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 18	1.69	1.22	1.38	1.46	1.30	1.12	-19.2%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 19	1.68	1.22	1.38	1.62	1.31	1.23	-10.5%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 24	1.67	1.22	1.37	1.99	1.23	1.62	18.4%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 1	1.19	1.60	0.75	1.19	1.86	0.64	-14.2%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 2	1.12	1.60	0.70	1.08	1.60	0.68	-3.1%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 3	1.17	1.50	0.78	1.13	1.54	0.73	-6.7%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 4	1.20	1.50	0.80	1.24	1.56	0.80	-0.4%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 5	1.21	1.50	0.80	1.17	1.58	0.74	-8.3%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 6	1.24	1.50	0.83	1.29	1.51	0.86	3.2%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 14	2.17	2.70	0.80	1.65	2.82	0.59	-27.3%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 16	1.42	1.50	0.95	1.40	1.53	0.92	-3.3%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 17	1.46	1.50	0.97	1.45	1.52	0.95	-2.0%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 20	1.93	2.00	0.96	1.68	2.01	0.84	-13.1%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 21	1.73	1.50	1.15	1.67	1.61	1.04	-10.1%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 22	1.79	1.50	1.20	1.78	1.52	1.17	-1.8%
	SUPERIOR, CRIM 23	2.01	2.00	1.00	3.97	2.00	1.98	97.6%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>87.78</b>	<b>69.96</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>94.03</b>	<b>71.75</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>4.5%</b>
MARSHALL	CIRCUIT	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.90	1.00	0.90	-5.0%
	SUPERIOR 1	0.97	1.00	0.97	0.96	1.10	0.87	-10.5%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.67	1.18	1.41	-15.5%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.59</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>3.53</b>	<b>3.28</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>-10.2%</b>
MARTIN	CIRCUIT	0.81	1.00	0.81	0.88	1.00	0.88	9.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>9.8%</b>
MIAMI	CIRCUIT	1.61	1.00	1.61	1.66	1.19	1.40	-13.4%
	SUPERIOR	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.47	1.13	1.30	-13.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.11</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.55</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>-13.1%</b>
MONROE	CIRCUIT 1	1.04	1.05	0.99	1.09	1.12	0.98	-1.8%
	CIRCUIT 2	1.77	1.10	1.61	1.76	1.20	1.46	-9.0%
	CIRCUIT 3	1.86	1.15	1.62	1.74	1.23	1.42	-12.2%
	CIRCUIT 4	1.09	1.10	0.99	1.09	1.17	0.93	-6.6%
	CIRCUIT 5	1.65	1.15	1.43	1.78	1.15	1.55	8.2%
	CIRCUIT 6	0.99	1.05	0.94	1.07	1.05	1.02	8.2%
	CIRCUIT 7	1.25	1.30	0.96	1.26	1.31	0.96	-0.3%
	CIRCUIT 8	1.07	1.10	0.97	1.09	1.18	0.93	-4.6%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>10.72</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>10.88</b>	<b>9.40</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>-2.9%</b>

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
MONTGOMERY	CIRCUIT	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.04	1.10	0.95	-8.5%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.06	0.94	-6.1%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.08	1.04	1.04	3.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.04</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>3.11</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>-3.9%</b>
MORGAN	CIRCUIT	1.21	1.30	0.93	1.09	1.35	0.81	-13.3%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.34	1.28	1.04	1.42	1.39	1.02	-2.3%
	SUPERIOR 2	0.92	1.10	0.84	0.97	1.10	0.88	5.6%
	SUPERIOR 3	0.90	1.10	0.82	0.98	1.11	0.88	6.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.37</b>	<b>4.78</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>4.95</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>-1.6%</b>
NEWTON	CIRCUIT	0.45	1.00	0.45	0.45	1.00	0.45	-1.6%
	SUPERIOR	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.72	1.00	0.72	0.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>
NOBLE	CIRCUIT	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.11	1.08	1.03	4.3%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.43	1.00	1.43	1.42	1.07	1.32	-7.9%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.29	1.00	1.29	1.34	1.03	1.30	1.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>3.71</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>3.87</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>-1.7%</b>
OHIO	CIRCUIT	0.13	0.20	0.65	0.13	0.21	0.64	-1.8%
	SUPERIOR	0.35	0.40	0.87	0.35	0.40	0.88	0.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>-0.2%</b>
ORANGE	CIRCUIT	1.01	1.00	1.01	1.03	1.03	1.01	-0.5%
	SUPERIOR	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.15	1.00	1.15	0.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>2.18</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>-0.1%</b>
OWEN	CIRCUIT	1.67	2.00	0.83	1.74	2.00	0.87	4.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.67</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
PARKE	CIRCUIT	1.25	1.00	1.25	1.24	1.05	1.18	-6.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.25</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>-6.0%</b>
PERRY	CIRCUIT	1.73	2.00	0.86	1.63	2.22	0.74	-14.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>2.22</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>-14.8%</b>
PIKE	CIRCUIT	1.32	1.50	0.88	1.31	1.54	0.85	-3.9%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>-3.9%</b>
PORTER	CIRCUIT	2.29	2.00	1.15	2.27	2.04	1.11	-3.2%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.47	2.00	1.24	2.46	2.07	1.19	-3.9%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.30	2.00	1.15	2.37	2.05	1.16	0.9%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.21	1.00	1.21	1.16	1.02	1.14	-5.8%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.40	1.00	1.40	1.39	1.03	1.34	-4.2%
	SUPERIOR 5	1.83	1.00	1.83	1.85	1.06	1.76	-4.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>11.50</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>11.50</b>	<b>9.27</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>-3.0%</b>
POSEY	CIRCUIT	0.90	1.00	0.90	0.95	1.05	0.90	0.7%



COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.82	1.00	0.82	-1.9%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>2.05</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>-0.4%</b>
PULASKI	CIRCUIT	0.86	1.00	0.86	0.91	1.01	0.90	4.9%
	SUPERIOR	0.58	1.00	0.58	0.55	1.00	0.55	-5.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
PUTNAM	CIRCUIT	1.51	1.50	1.01	1.52	1.66	0.92	-8.8%
	SUPERIOR	1.41	1.00	1.41	1.41	1.07	1.32	-6.6%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.92</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>2.73</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>-8.0%</b>
RANDOLPH	CIRCUIT	1.03	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.01	-1.5%
	SUPERIOR	0.69	1.00	0.69	0.70	1.02	0.69	0.1%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.71</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>-0.8%</b>
RIPLEY	CIRCUIT	1.07	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.00	1.08	0.8%
	SUPERIOR	0.60	1.00	0.60	0.72	1.00	0.72	19.6%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.67</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>7.5%</b>
RUSH	CIRCUIT	0.73	1.00	0.73	0.83	1.03	0.80	9.8%
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.63	1.00	0.63	-11.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>-0.4%</b>
ST. JOSEPH	CIRCUIT	3.63	3.00	1.21	3.58	3.17	1.13	-6.6%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.32	1.25	1.86	2.29	1.49	1.53	-17.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.03	1.25	1.62	2.04	1.39	1.47	-9.3%
	SUPERIOR 3	1.76	1.25	1.41	1.82	1.35	1.35	-4.3%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.47	1.33	1.11	1.45	1.33	1.09	-1.8%
	SUPERIOR 5	1.53	1.00	1.53	1.52	1.06	1.43	-6.6%
	SUPERIOR 6	1.48	1.33	1.11	1.47	1.34	1.10	-0.9%
	SUPERIOR 7	1.48	1.33	1.11	1.52	1.33	1.14	2.7%
	SUPERIOR 8	2.08	1.25	1.66	2.01	1.26	1.60	-3.6%
	PROBATE	6.33	4.00	1.58	6.34	4.28	1.48	-6.4%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>24.10</b>	<b>16.99</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>24.04</b>	<b>18.00</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>-5.9%</b>
SCOTT	CIRCUIT	1.49	1.13	1.32	1.48	1.30	1.14	-14.0%
	SUPERIOR	1.31	1.07	1.23	1.32	1.27	1.04	-15.1%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>2.57</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>-14.5%</b>
SHELBY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.18	1.06	1.12	-6.6%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.67	1.00	1.67	1.66	1.13	1.48	-11.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.31	1.00	1.31	1.30	1.09	1.20	-8.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>3.28</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>-9.0%</b>
SPENCER	CIRCUIT	1.49	1.00	1.49	1.46	1.13	1.28	-13.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>-13.8%</b>
STARKE	CIRCUIT	1.59	2.00	0.80	1.59	2.17	0.73	-7.8%

		WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
COUNTY	COURT NAME	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	Total / Average	1.59	2.00	0.80	1.59	2.17	0.73	-7.8%
STEBEN	CIRCUIT	1.09	1.50	0.73	1.08	1.50	0.72	-1.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.78	1.50	1.19	1.79	1.50	1.20	0.9%
	Total / Average	2.87	3.00	0.96	2.87	3.00	0.96	0.1%
SULLIVAN	CIRCUIT	0.96	1.50	0.64	0.94	1.52	0.62	-3.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.00	1.50	0.67	0.97	1.52	0.64	-3.5%
	Total / Average	1.96	3.00	0.65	1.92	3.04	0.63	-3.4%
SWITZERLAND	CIRCUIT	0.05	0.07	0.70	0.05	0.07	0.70	-0.7%
	SUPERIOR	0.89	0.60	1.48	0.89	0.60	1.48	0.0%
	Total / Average	0.94	0.67	1.40	0.94	0.67	1.40	0.0%
TIPPECANOE	CIRCUIT	2.01	1.14	1.76	2.07	1.21	1.71	-2.8%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.69	1.09	1.55	1.57	1.29	1.21	-21.9%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.85	1.15	1.61	1.88	1.27	1.48	-8.1%
	SUPERIOR 3	2.25	1.80	1.25	2.25	1.88	1.19	-4.8%
	SUPERIOR 4	1.85	1.24	1.50	1.85	1.25	1.49	-0.7%
	SUPERIOR 5	1.97	1.28	1.54	1.97	1.29	1.52	-1.3%
	SUPERIOR 6	1.84	1.31	1.40	1.83	1.31	1.40	-0.2%
	Total / Average	13.46	9.01	1.49	13.42	9.50	1.41	-5.4%
TIPTON	CIRCUIT	0.85	1.10	0.78	0.92	1.15	0.80	3.3%
	Total / Average	0.85	1.10	0.78	0.92	1.15	0.80	3.3%
UNION	CIRCUIT	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.0%
	Total / Average	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.0%
VANDERBURGH	CIRCUIT	3.16	2.00	1.58	3.06	2.02	1.51	-4.2%
	SUPERIOR 1	2.39	1.67	1.43	2.41	2.02	1.19	-16.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	2.20	1.67	1.32	2.22	1.71	1.29	-1.9%
	SUPERIOR 3	2.36	1.67	1.41	2.40	2.16	1.11	-21.5%
	SUPERIOR 4	2.89	2.00	1.45	2.89	2.01	1.44	-0.4%
	SUPERIOR 5	2.38	1.67	1.43	2.40	1.78	1.35	-5.8%
	SUPERIOR 6	2.38	1.67	1.42	2.40	1.94	1.24	-13.1%
	SUPERIOR 7	2.40	1.67	1.44	2.41	1.84	1.31	-8.7%
	Total / Average	20.16	14.02	1.44	20.18	15.48	1.30	-9.4%
VERMILLION	CIRCUIT	1.12	1.00	1.12	1.14	1.05	1.08	-3.7%
	Total / Average	1.12	1.00	1.12	1.14	1.05	1.08	-3.7%
VIGO	CIRCUIT/SUP 3	2.43	2.30	1.06	2.44	2.36	1.03	-2.1%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.14	1.00	1.14	1.09	1.06	1.03	-9.1%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.23	1.00	1.23	1.24	1.00	1.24	1.0%
	SUPERIOR 3							
	SUPERIOR 4	1.58	1.00	1.58	1.54	1.08	1.42	-9.8%

COUNTY	COURT NAME	WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			TEMPORARILY ADJUSTED WEIGHTED CASELOAD MEASURES			
		NEED	HAVE	UTIL	NEED	HAVE	UTIL	% CHANGE
	SUPERIOR 5	1.68	1.00	1.68	1.66	1.18	1.41	-16.2%
	SUPERIOR 6	1.44	1.00	1.44	1.46	1.03	1.41	-1.7%
	<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>7.30</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>9.44</b>	<b>7.71</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>-5.9%</b>
WABASH	CIRCUIT	1.32	1.00	1.32	1.29	1.06	1.21	-8.2%
	SUPERIOR	1.16	1.00	1.16	1.21	1.08	1.13	-3.3%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.49</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>2.14</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>-5.9%</b>
WARREN	CIRCUIT	0.49	1.00	0.49	0.51	1.01	0.51	3.3%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
WARRICK	CIRCUIT	1.45	1.00	1.45	1.42	1.00	1.42	-1.9%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.30	1.00	1.30	1.28	1.17	1.10	-15.6%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.39	1.00	1.39	1.37	1.17	1.17	-15.8%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>4.13</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>4.07</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>-11.6%</b>
WASHINGTON	CIRCUIT	1.10	1.00	1.10	1.07	1.06	1.01	-7.7%
	SUPERIOR	1.09	1.00	1.09	1.09	1.02	1.07	-2.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.08</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>-4.9%</b>
WAYNE	CIRCUIT	1.31	1.35	0.97	1.15	1.46	0.79	-18.7%
	SUPERIOR 1	1.29	1.35	0.96	1.34	1.42	0.95	-0.7%
	SUPERIOR 2	1.12	1.33	0.84	1.38	1.42	0.97	15.4%
	SUPERIOR 3	2.30	2.00	1.15	2.30	2.00	1.15	0.0%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>6.02</b>	<b>6.03</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.18</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>-1.7%</b>
WELLS	CIRCUIT	0.92	1.00	0.92	0.93	1.00	0.93	0.4%
	SUPERIOR	0.71	1.00	0.71	0.73	1.00	0.73	3.7%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.83</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
WHITE	CIRCUIT	0.83	1.00	0.83	0.83	1.04	0.80	-3.6%
	SUPERIOR	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.05	0.97	-3.2%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>1.83</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>1.84</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>-3.3%</b>
WHITLEY	CIRCUIT	1.19	1.00	1.19	1.21	1.00	1.21	2.1%
	SUPERIOR	1.15	1.00	1.15	1.16	1.04	1.11	-3.1%
	<b>Total / Average</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>-0.6%</b>

<b>STATE TOTAL/AVERAGE</b>	<b>521.73</b>	<b>429.41</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>532.14</b>	<b>448.39</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>-2.3%</b>
----------------------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	--------------

## FISCAL REPORT OF INDIANA TRIAL COURTS

---

Indiana Code 33-24-6-3(a)(2) directs the Division of State Court Administration to collect and compile statistical data on the receipt and expenditure of public monies by and for the operation of the courts. Each court, whether separately or as a collective unit, must file with the Division its Report on Court Revenue ("Revenue Report") and its Report on Budget & Expenditures ("Budget & Expenditure Report").

The Executive Summary presents a general financial overview of the reported expenditures of Indiana's courts and revenues generated through their operation. Volume III contains a more comprehensive review of the revenues and expenditures generated by the state courts. While the requested and approved budgets are available, they are not published in the Annual Report. The financial information is gathered on an annual basis at the close of each calendar year. Beginning in 2007, the Division gathered the revenue and expenditure data on its website INCite, through its Indiana Courts Online Reports (ICOR) system. Because ICOR was completely electronic, the Division was able to expand many categories of both the Revenue Report and the Budget & Expenditure Report, including expanding many of the categories of expenses incurred by the courts and expanding the reporting of the different user fees on the Revenue Report.

---

### EXPENDITURES

---

The Supreme Court, Court of Appeals and Tax Court are funded through appropriations from the state general fund. The Indiana State Auditor's Report contains information about the expenditures by these courts and other state-level expenditures on judicial

functions.<sup>46</sup> Relevant portions of that report are reflected earlier in this volume.

Indiana's trial courts are funded primarily through county funds. State funds pay for the judges' salaries, magistrates' salaries and for senior judge and some special judge expenses.<sup>47</sup> The counties may receive state funds for reimbursement for approved pauper defense services and for GAL/CASA services for abused and neglected children. Courts also generate user fees, some deposited with the state, that are then expended on court services.

Municipalities fund city and town courts. In many instances the local government does not maintain a distinct city or town court budget, and all expenses are paid directly from the local general fund. This practice makes it difficult to provide accurate expenditure information on the city and town courts.

Marion County townships directly fund the Marion County Small Claims Courts through budget appropriations.

The Budget & Expenditure Report categorizes the trial court expenditures as follows: salaried and unsalaried personnel expenses, services, capital outlays, and travel. If any of the expenditures were facilitated by mandate, the report reflects information related to the mandate as well.

Some items of the expanded expenditures the Division collected were fringe benefits, juvenile detention expenses, information technology staff expenses, technology purchases and leases, and a more expanded travel section.

---

<sup>46</sup> I.C. § 4-10-13-2

<sup>47</sup> I.C. § 33-38-5-6; Admin. R. 5

## REVENUE REFERENCES

The trial courts generate revenue primarily from filing fees, court costs, fines and user fees assessed to the litigants. Revenues generated through the operation of the trial courts are collected, accounted for and disbursed by the Clerk of the Circuit Court, an independently elected constitutional office for each Judicial Circuit. The Clerk of the Circuit Court also functions as the clerk of the county and, as such, performs many other functions that are not related to court operations.

Revenues generated through the city, town, and Marion County Small Claims Courts are collected by the local clerk and disbursed pursuant to statutory provisions, to the state, county, or local general fund, or to a list of specific funds established by the General Assembly for specific programs and services. The only direct payment fee is the personal service of process fee charged to small claims litigants in the Marion County Small Claims Courts. This fee is paid to the constable and his or her deputies.

## COSTS AND FEES ROUTINELY CHARGED

**Court Costs:** The court cost is the basic expense for filing a civil case and the basic cost assessed upon a conviction in a criminal case or a judgment in an infraction or ordinance violation. The costs are set by case type, with charges ranging from \$35 (Small Claims) to \$120 (felony or misdemeanor convictions). Other case types include infractions and ordinance violations, juvenile actions, civil actions and probate filings.

The court costs collected in the circuit, superior, probate and county courts are distributed to the State, County and Local general funds in the following percentages: 70% to the state, 27% to the

county and 3% to the local level general fund. The costs collected in the city and town courts are distributed as follows: 55% to the state, 20% to the county and 25% to the city or town general fund.

**Judicial Salaries Fee:** This fee is imposed for all case types, including pretrial diversion. For small claims cases, the fee was \$11 through June 30, 2007; \$12 effective July 1, 2007. For all other case filings, the fee was \$16 through June 30, 2007; \$17 effective July 1, 2007. This fee increases by \$1 every July 1 through 2010. City and town courts and small claims courts may keep 25% of the fee collected. The remaining fee amounts are reported in the state level funds column, all deposited in the general fund.

**Document Storage Fee:** For maintaining court records, the clerk collects this \$2 fee in every action (not charged in pretrial diversion actions). Money collected from this fee is deposited into the Clerk's Record Perpetuation Fund, which may be used by clerks for the preservation of records or for the improvement of record keeping systems and equipment. It is reported as county level or local level specific funds depending on the reporting court.

**Automated Record-Keeping Fee:** This fee is imposed for all case types (not charged in pretrial diversion actions). The fee is set at \$7 until June 30, 2011, when it will decrease to \$4. This fee is the primary funding source for the Judicial Technology and Automation Committee. The court reports this fee at the state level.

**Public Defense Administration Fee:** This \$3 fee is imposed for all case types, including pretrial diversion. It is reported in the state level funds column. Previously, this fee was titled the Judicial Administration Fee. It is deposited in the general fund to assist in funding the costs of public defenders.

**Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee:**

This \$1 fee is collected in all cases, including pretrial diversion. It is reported in the state level funds column for deposit in the state judicial branch insurance adjustment account.

**Court Administration Fee:** This \$3 fee is imposed in all cases, including pretrial diversion actions. The fee is reported in the state level funds column, for the general fund to help fund the pension fund for judges and magistrates. Effective July 1, 2008, the fee will increase to \$5, of which the Marion County Small Claims courts are permitted to retain \$2 for deposit in the local level funds.

---

**FEES CHARGED ROUTINELY IN CRIMINAL, INFRACTION AND ORDINANCE VIOLATION CASES**


---

**DNA Sample Processing:** This \$2 fee is assessed to anyone convicted of a felony or misdemeanor, found to have committed an infraction or ordinance violation or required to pay a pretrial diversion fee. Money collected from this fee is reported in the state level funds column, for deposit in the DNA sample-processing fund.

**Jury Fee:** This \$2 fee is imposed when a defendant is found to have committed a crime, violated a statute defining an infraction or violated an ordinance of a municipal corporation. Even though this fee is considered a user fee, it is reported separately, under the county or local level funds, depending on the collecting court.

**Law Enforcement Continuing Education Program Fee:** This is a \$3 fee that is charged in each criminal conviction and each infraction and ordinance violation. The fee total is reported in the county or local level column, depending upon the court collecting, to be deposited in the relevant user fee fund. Effective July 1, 2008, this fee will increase to \$4. This fee is considered a user fee but is

discussed separately from the other user fees because of the frequency with which the fee is charged in criminal cases.

---

**USER FEES REGULARLY CHARGED IN CERTAIN CRIMINAL CASES**


---

In addition to court costs and the fees shown above, the General Assembly has established a number of additional special fees, which are assessed in certain cases. They are designated for special programs or purposes operating at the state, county or local level. The Revenue Report reflects the amounts generated through such fees for state, county and local level user fee funds collectively. The following is the distribution and description of such additional fees that comprise the collected report entries.

The following percentages of fees are distributed to the State User Fee Fund:

- 25% of the drug abuse, prosecution, interdiction, and corrections fees;
- 25% of the alcohol and drug countermeasures fees;
- 50% of the child abuse prevention fee;
- 100% of the domestic violence prevention and treatment fees;
- 100% of the highway work zone fees;
- 100% of the Safe school fees, and
- 100% of automated recordkeeping fee.

Distribution of user fees to County User Fee Funds - Each county's user fee fund is used to finance various programs and services, and is administered by the auditor in each county. The following fees are deposited in this fund:

- Pretrial Diversion fees;
- Informal adjustment program fees;



Marijuana eradication program fees;  
 Alcohol and Drug services fees;  
 Law enforcement continuing education program fees;  
 Drug court fees;  
 Deferral program fee;  
 Jury fee, and  
 Reentry Court Fee.

Distribution of user fees to Local User Fee Funds - In city or town courts the following fees are deposited in the city or town user fee fund:

Pretrial Diversion Program Fee;  
 Alcohol and Drug Services Fee;  
 Law Enforcement Continuing Education Program Fee;  
 Drug Court Fees;  
 Deferral Program Fee, and  
 Reentry court fee.

The following are descriptions of the user fees:

**A. Drug Abuse, Prosecution, Interdiction and Corrections Fee:** The court must assess this fee of at least \$200 and not more than \$1,000 against a person convicted in any court (including city and town courts) of a controlled substance offense. In determining the amount of the fee, the court must consider the person's ability to pay. Twenty-five percent (25%) of the fee is reported in the state level column under user fees for deposit in the general fund and seventy-five percent (75%) is reported in the county level column for deposit into the County Drug Free Community Fund.

**B. Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee:** In each action in which a person is found to have committed an OVWI offense

or a person who has been adjudicated a delinquent for an act that would be an OVWI if committed by an adult, and the person's driving privileges are suspended, the clerk shall collect an Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures fee of \$200. Twenty-five percent (25%) of the fee is reported in the state level column under user fees for deposit in the general fund and seventy-five percent (75%) is reported in the county level column for deposit in the County Drug Free Community Fund.

**C. Child Abuse Prevention Fee:** This \$100 fee is assessed against a defendant who is found guilty of certain criminal offenses against the person or offenses involving a victim who is less than eighteen years of age. Fifty percent (50%) of the fee is reported in the state level column for deposit in the state user fee fund. The other fifty percent (50%) is reported separately in the county level column for deposit in the county child advocacy fund.

**D. Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Fee:** This \$50 fee is charged in each criminal action in which the defendant is found guilty of murder, causing suicide, voluntary manslaughter, reckless homicide, battery and rape against his or her spouse (or person with whom the defendant lives as a spouse or with whom defendant shares a child). The fee total is reported in the state level column for deposit in the user fee fund.

**E. Highway Work Zone Fee:** A fifty-cent (\$0.50) highway work zone fee is charged in each traffic offense, including traffic infractions, misdemeanors and ordinance violations. If the offense involves exceeding a worksite speed limit or failure to merge, the fee is \$25.50. The fee total is reported in the state level column for deposit in the user fee fund.

**F. Safe Schools Fee:** In each criminal action in which a person is convicted of an offense in which the possession or use of a firearm was an element of the offense,

the court may assess a safe school fee of \$200 to \$1,000, based on the defendant's ability to pay. The fee total is reported in the state level column for deposit in the user fee fund.

**G. Informal Adjustment Program Fee:** This fee of \$5 to \$15 per month may be ordered by the court to be paid in cases, in lieu of court cost fees, where a juvenile has been placed in an informal adjustment program prior to having a delinquency petition filed. The fee total is reported in the county level column for deposit in the user fee fund. *Important note: effective March 19, 2008, the statute establishing this fee is repealed.*

**H. Marijuana Eradication Program Fee:** In any conviction relating to controlled substances in a county with a weed control board, the court may assess up to \$300 for this fee. The fee total is reported in the county level column for deposit in the user fee fund.

**I. Alcohol and Drug Services Fee:** If a county has established an alcohol and drug services program, this fee may be collected by a schedule adopted by the court (including city and town courts) in criminal, infraction and ordinance violations. It is set by court rule and may not exceed \$400. The fee total is reported in the county or local level column, depending upon the court collecting, to be deposited in the relevant user fee fund.

**J. Drug Court Fee:** This fee applies to proceedings conducted in a certified drug court established by the county. The court shall adopt a fee schedule and the fee shall not exceed \$500. The fee total is reported in the county or local level column, depending upon the court collecting, to be deposited in the relevant user fee fund.

**K. Reentry Court Fee:** If a court establishes a reentry court, it may require an eligible individual to pay the fee for reentry services. The court shall adopt a

schedule of fees assessed but it may not exceed the reasonable expenses for direct services incurred in providing the reintegration services. The fee total is reported in the county or local level column, depending upon the court collecting, to be deposited in the relevant user fee fund.

---

## **ADDITIONAL FEES CHARGED IN CRIMINAL CASES, INCLUDING PRETRIAL DIVERSION AND DEFERRAL PROGRAMS**

---

**Pretrial Diversion Fees:** The prosecuting attorney may withhold the prosecution of a person charged with a misdemeanor if the person agrees to conditions of a pre-trial diversion program offered by the prosecutor. Unless waived by the agreement, the accused is charged a deferred prosecution fee of \$120, \$50 as an initial fee and \$10 for each month he or she remains in the program, along with the other routinely charged fees in a criminal case identified above. The total collected is reported in the county or local column for deposit in the relevant user fee fund.

**Deferral Program Fee:** When the county prosecutor or attorney for the municipal corporation sets up a deferral program for misdemeanors, infractions and ordinance violations, a deferral program fee is assessed in lieu of the standard court costs and judgments. The program consists of an agreement with the law enforcement official whereby the defendant agrees to pay a program fee of \$70, along with an initial user's fee of up to \$52 and a monthly user fee not to exceed \$10. If the action involves a moving traffic violation, the defendant is also assessed a court cost of \$25. The fee total is reported in the county or local level column, depending upon the court collecting, to be deposited in the relevant user fee fund.

**Adult Probation User's Fee:** This category reflects user fees charged to



adults placed on probation after a conviction of a felony or misdemeanor. In felony cases the \$100 administrative fee is mandatory; along an initial fee ranging between \$25 and \$100 and a monthly user's fee ranging between \$15 and \$30 for each month the person remains on probation. In misdemeanor cases, the probation \$50 administrative fee is optional with the court. In addition the initial fee cannot exceed \$50 and the monthly fee cannot exceed \$20. The fees are deposited in the county supplemental adult probation services fund that is used for probation services, with the clerk collecting the fee, keeping up to 3% of the fee to defray administrative costs. The 3% is deposited in the clerk's record perpetuation fund. The clerk may be asked to deposit an additional 3% of the probation user fee in the county, city or town general fund depending upon the requesting fiscal officer.

**Juvenile Probation User's Fee:** A court may order a juvenile and/or the parent of a juvenile who is placed on supervision to pay an initial user fee from \$25 to \$100 and a monthly user fee from \$10 to \$25. If a delinquent child is supervised, the administrative fee is \$100, which is collected before the other probation user fees. These fees are deposited in the county supplemental juvenile probation services fund. As with the adult probation user fee, if the clerk collects the fee, the clerk may keep up to 3% to defray administrative costs (deposited in the clerk's record perpetuation fund) and up to 3% for the county general fund.

**Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fee:** This fee is imposed when a defendant is convicted of rape, criminal deviate conduct, child molestation, child exploitation, vicarious sexual gratification, child solicitation, child seduction, sexual battery, sexual misconduct with a minor as a Class A or Class B felony, or incest. The fee ranges from \$250-\$1000. It is reported in the state level column, for

deposit into the Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fund.

**Supplemental Public Defender Fee:** When public funds have been expended on defense, the court must order the clerk to remit the difference, if any, between the bond deposit and the cost of pauper defense and to retain the rest. The retained amount is deposited in a Supplemental Public Defender Services Fund, part of the County Level funds. If the Court determines that the defendant is able to pay a portion of the costs of assigned counsel, the court shall order a fee of \$100 for felony charge or \$50 for misdemeanor charge.

**Bond Administration Fee:** This category reflects amounts collected through a fee charged to defendants posting bond. When a defendant executes a bail bond with the clerk, 10% or \$50.00, whichever is less, may be retained as the administrative fee. This fee goes to the county general fund or local general fund if collected in a city or town court.

**Late Surrender Fee:** When a bonded defendant fails to appear, a late surrender fee based on a percent of the value of the bond is assessed against the bondsman. Fifty percent (50%) of this fee is deposited in the Police Pension Trust Fund (Local level column) and fifty percent (50%) is deposited in a county extradition fund (county level column).

**Fines and Forfeitures:** Fines and forfeitures are assessed in criminal convictions. Under the Indiana Constitution Article VIII §2, all fines and forfeitures are reported in the state level column for deposit in the State Common School Fund.

**Civil Penalties for Infraction Judgments:** This category reflects monies collected as infraction judgments in cases in which a defendant is found to have committed an infraction. These funds are reported in the state level column and are

deposited in the state general fund. Effective 7/1/07, judgments in worksite speed limit violations, under certain circumstances, were designated for the Indiana Department of Transportation.

**Civil Penalties for Local Ordinance Violations:** This category reflects amounts collected as judgments for local ordinance violations. These funds are reported in the county and local level columns based on whether the ordinance is countywide or a city or town ordinance, for deposit in the general fund.

**Vehicle License Judgments:** These monies are collected as an infraction judgment in overweight vehicle cases. They are reported in the state level column and deposited in the state highway fund.

**Other Criminal Fees:** Even though the following fees are set by statute, the courts are directed to report the revenue in the “other” category, used to report miscellaneous fees. These fees, while important, remain in the discretion of the court to assess and do not generate as much revenue as the other separately identified fees. These include the following: Alcohol abuse deterrent fee along with the Medical fee—up to \$400 and \$150 respectfully—which is charged when a defendant is participating in a county run Alcohol Abuse Deterrent Program for driving infractions; Lab Test for HIV—maximum amount not listed—if ordered by the court when a defendant, on probation, has committed a qualifying act; Bail Bond Fee—\$5—charged in addition to the Bond Administration Fee; Emergency Medical Service Restitution –not to exceed \$1000—charged when a defendant is sentenced, with or without probation, and the misdemeanor or felony necessitated the need for medical services; Reimbursement for Incarceration costs—lower of \$30 per day or cost determined by auditor—charged, if the county adopts the appropriate ordinance, to defendants for misdemeanor and felony sentences who serve more than seventy-two hours in

lawful detention; and Special Death Benefit fee—\$5—collected each time bail is posted by a defendant.

---

## ADDITIONAL FEES CHARGED IN CIVIL CASES

---

**Support Fees:** This category reflects amounts collected through a \$30 fee charged in cases where a final court order requires a party to pay support or maintenance payments through the clerk of the court. Effective 1/1/08, this fee will increase to \$55 per year. It is intended to defray some of the expenses associated with the collection and disbursement of child support or maintenance. The fee goes to the county general fund, if collected by the county clerk or the state general fund if collected by the state central collection unit.

**Guardian ad Litem/Court Appointed Special Advocate Fee:** The juvenile division of the trial court may order the parent or estate of a child for whom a guardian *ad litem* or a special advocate is appointed to pay up to \$100 for the service. The money is paid to the county probation department and is deposited in either the GAL or CASA fund depending upon the appointment. The county fiscal body uses the money when providing these services.

**Civil Action Service Fee:** The plaintiff in a civil action pays this fee when other civil costs are paid. The fee is \$10 per each additional named defendant after the first named defendant in a case, including those added after the time of filing; as well as \$10 per garnishee defendant over three named garnishee defendants. The court in which the case is filed retains the total revenue from this fee, for deposit in the general fund.

**Small Claims Service Fee:** The plaintiff in a small claims action pays this fee when other civil costs are paid. Similar to civil

actions, the clerk's office charges \$5 per each additional named defendant after the first named defendant in a case, including those added after the time of filing, as well as \$5 per garnishee defendant over three named garnishee defendants. The court in which the small claims case is filed retains the total revenue from this fee, for deposit in the general fund.

**Alternative Dispute Resolution Fee:** This \$20 fee applies only to counties with an established and approved ADR plan. The fee is collected from the party filing a petition for legal separation, paternity or dissolution of marriage and is reported in the county level funds column, for deposit into the Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund.

---

## OTHER SOURCES OF INCOME TO COURTS

---

**Document Fee:** This category reflects a \$1 to \$3 fee, collected by the clerk for copying, preparing and certifying documents or transcripts. This fee goes to the county auditor or city or town fiscal authority, depending upon the court in which it is collected, reported in the county or local level column and deposited in the clerk's record perpetuation fund.

**Interest on Investments:** This category reflects income generated through investments of various funds' monies. Depending on the court, the interest is deposited into the relevant fund that generated the income.

---

## FUTURE FEES TO BE SEPARATELY REPORTED BEGINNING 2009

---

- A. Department of Natural Resources Deer Replacement fee- \$500;
- B. Late Payment Fee- \$25;
- C. Construction Work Zone Fee- \$300;
- D. Youth Tobacco fee, and

- E. Intrastate Compact Administration Fee-\$75, new law effective Jan. 1, 2009.

---

## MARION COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT REVENUE REFERENCES

---

The Marion County Small Claims Courts file separate Reports on Court Revenue. Many of the categories are the same as the small claims case fees collected and reported on the regular Report on Court Revenue. The following report references indicate the differences:

**Filing Fee and Township Docket Fee:** The basic court cost in the Marion County Small Claims Court is a \$5 township docket fee plus 45% of the costs charged in infraction and ordinance violation cases, which totals \$37.00. The respective townships support these courts and the basic courts costs go to the township general funds, rather than to the state general funds.

**Service of Process Fee (Certified Mail):** The cost of service of process in these small claims courts is \$13 for service by registered or certified mail. The service fee is charged in addition to any filing fee.

**Service of Process Fee (Personal Service):** As with certified mail service, the additional fee for personal service by the constable is \$13. All service of process fees are reported in the "Money to Others" column and are paid directly to the elected constables and their deputies.

**Redocketing Fee:** This \$5 fee is charged if a small claims case was dismissed or disposed but then redocketed for further action.

The descriptions of the remainder of the fees reported on the Small Claims Report on Court Revenue are the same as above.

# FINANCIAL COMPARISON TABLE OF INDIANA TRIAL COURTS

## Financial Comparison Table 1998-2007

Year	Expenditures on Judicial System				Revenues Generated by Courts			
	State	County	City, Town and Township	Total	For State Funds	For County Funds	For Local Funds	Total
FY '97-'98	\$61,044,245							
Calendar 1998		\$137,809,840	\$8,733,226	\$207,587,311	\$64,947,008	\$59,984,503	\$11,371,714	\$136,303,225
FY '98-'99	\$64,857,746							
Calendar 1999		\$147,048,695	\$9,730,589	\$221,637,030	\$65,469,745	\$60,600,605	\$11,634,063	\$137,704,413
FY '99-'00	\$69,442,071							
Calendar 2000		\$163,059,133	\$10,507,822	\$254,202,395	\$71,771,627	\$67,896,463	\$13,008,032	\$152,676,122
FY '00-'01	\$72,764,172							
Calendar 2001		\$174,252,502	\$11,280,045	\$258,296,719	\$74,140,614	\$66,038,236	\$13,507,909	\$153,686,759
FY '01-'02	\$73,235,532							
Calendar 2002		\$171,478,092	\$12,242,086	\$256,955,710	\$78,080,386	\$73,662,387	\$14,768,392	\$166,511,165
FY '02-'03	\$77,012,594							
Calendar 2003		\$175,448,854	\$11,325,695	\$263,787,143	\$76,779,839	\$76,910,597	\$13,256,448	\$166,946,884
FY '03-'04	\$79,755,860							
Calendar 2004		\$180,864,134	12,916, 563	\$273,536,557	\$78,732,492	\$85,012,363	\$11,249,707	\$174,994,562
FY '04-'05	\$106,376,704							
Calendar 2005		\$184,258,453	\$13,006,646	\$303,641,803	\$90,193,217	\$87,615,451	\$15,892,877	\$193,701,545
FY '05-'06	\$125,090,606							
Calendar 2006		\$207,587,769	\$13,139,411	\$345,817,786	\$103,419,061	\$95,319,195	\$16,493,544	\$215,231,800
FY '06-'07	\$130,612,547							
Calendar 2007		\$233,069,067	\$20,668,055	\$384,349,669	\$117,991,618	\$106,911,830	\$17,343,981	\$242,247,429

# JUDICIAL SYSTEM DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE STATE OF INDIANA (CY 2006-2007)\*

	Personal Services	Service Other than Personal	Service by Contract	Materials, Parts & Supplies	Equipment	Grants, Subsidies, Refunds, and Awards	In-State Travel	Out-of-State Travel	Total Disbursements
<b>Supreme Court</b>	\$5,698,800	\$273,321	\$664,955	\$80,464	\$530,655	\$14,133	\$22,421	\$22,663	\$7,307,412
<b>Courts of Appeals</b>	\$8,021,656	\$94,178	\$604,780	\$36,644	\$301,444	\$3,523	\$66,270	\$28,567	\$9,157,062
<b>Clerk of Supreme/ Appeals Court</b>	\$766,782	\$44,385	\$93,627	\$19,032	\$2,401	\$937	\$468	\$1,352	\$928,984
<b>Tax Court</b>	\$494,893	\$4,340	\$90,532	\$4,966	\$11,845	\$0	\$4,961	\$1,033	\$612,570
<b>Trial Judge's Salaries</b>	\$50,069,600	\$7,567	\$0	\$705	\$0	\$1,170	\$7,009	\$0	\$50,086,051
<b>Special Judges</b>	\$0	\$3,211	\$40,482	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$89,167	\$132,860
<b>Trial Court Operations</b>	\$42,294	\$27,018	\$43,008	\$3,444	\$3,657	\$229,568	\$1,020	\$3,327	\$353,336
<b>Judge's Pension Fund</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$9,500,000
<b>Public Defender Commission</b>	\$116,150	\$2,370	\$105	\$1,791	\$0	\$9,848,236	\$3,030	\$1,118	\$9,972,800
<b>Public Defender Commission</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,600,000
<b>State Public Defender's Office</b>	\$5,047,879	\$174,450	\$635,512	\$24,627	\$52,493	\$0	\$28,007	\$1,992	\$5,964,960
<b>Civil Legal Aid</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000
<b>Judicial Conference and Indiana Judicial Center</b>	\$1,277,874	\$28,383	\$544,116	\$38,444	\$68,324	\$0	\$92,353	\$6,286	\$2,055,780
<b>Judicial Tech and Automation Pr</b>	\$1,305,794	\$177,512	\$2,719,088	\$10,269	\$175,106	\$423,868	\$22,478	\$1,950	\$4,836,065
<b>Commission on Race and Gender Fairness</b>	\$0	\$1,287	\$81,497	\$2,526	\$0	\$170,250	\$903	\$4,121	\$260,584
<b>Guardian Ad Litem</b>	\$0	\$875	\$2,761	\$11,610	\$0	\$770,733	\$6,060	\$304	\$792,343
<b>County Prosecutors</b>	\$23,046,089	\$3,063	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,588	\$0	\$0	\$23,051,740
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$95,887,811</b>	<b>\$841,960</b>	<b>\$5,520,463</b>	<b>\$234,522</b>	<b>\$1,145,925</b>	<b>\$26,565,006</b>	<b>\$254,980</b>	<b>\$161,880</b>	<b>\$130,612,547</b>

\*Information provided by the Annual Report of the State Auditor.

Note: \$1,535,995 was disbursed to the judicial salaries account for judicial branch insurance adjustment.

## EXPENDITURES BY ALL COURTS

### Summary of 2007 Expenditures

Line Item Expenditures	County	City/Town	Township	Total
	Circuit, Superior, County, and Probate Courts	City and Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims Courts	
Judge(s) Salary - County Paid	\$1,141,783			\$1,141,783
Judge(s) Salary - Locally Paid		\$1,999,637	\$521,519	\$2,521,156
Other Judicial Officers	\$5,146,107	\$385,575		\$5,531,682
Court Reporter(s)	\$20,358,921	\$140,178		\$20,499,099
Bailiff(s)	\$12,815,892	\$1,257,972		\$14,073,864
Jury Commissioner(s)	\$117,778			\$117,778
Court Administrator & Staff	\$5,587,275	\$1,067,669	\$29,580	\$6,684,524
Secretary(ies)	\$4,890,411	\$478,189	\$236,824	\$5,605,424
GAL/CASA	\$1,220,316			\$1,220,316
Law Clerks & Interns	\$475,094	\$127,856		\$602,950
Public Defender & Staff	\$8,852,451	\$571,233		\$9,423,684
Court Clerks	\$2,439,763	\$2,189,007	\$948,060	\$5,576,830
Probation Officers	\$52,715,919	\$1,095,574		\$53,811,493
Probation Office Staff	\$12,055,688	\$640,549		\$12,696,237
Juvenile Detention Center Staff	\$17,176,943			\$17,176,943
IT Staff	\$567,206	\$35,086		\$602,292
Staff Fringe Benefits	\$18,105,571	\$4,969,824	\$223,445	\$23,298,840
Other Employees	\$3,191,188	\$1,081,646	\$43,193	\$4,316,027
<b>Total Personnel Salaries</b>	<b>\$166,858,306</b>	<b>\$16,039,995</b>	<b>\$2,002,621</b>	<b>\$184,900,922</b>

Per Diem-Reporters/Bailiffs-Venued In/Out	\$18,118			\$18,118
Per Diem - Grand Jurors	\$594,116			\$594,116
Per Diem - Petit Jurors	\$1,998,542	\$1,000		\$1,999,542
Witness Fees	\$87,398			\$87,398
Medical & Psychiatric	\$1,821,531	\$47,487		\$1,869,018
Pauper Attorneys - Case by Case	\$13,661,045	\$193,617		\$13,854,662
Other Indigent Expenses	\$2,021,631	\$20,104		\$2,041,735
Judge(s) Pro Tempore	\$75,285	\$14,900	\$6,025	\$96,210
Other Probation Svcs	\$2,418,378	\$96,230		\$2,514,608
Other Juvenile Detention Center Svcs	\$7,910,533			\$7,910,533
Other Non-Salary Personnel Svcs	\$3,277,590	\$508,863	\$7,327	\$3,793,780
Court Interpreter Fees	\$417,511	\$39,771	\$1,200	\$458,482
<b>Total Non-Salary Personnel Svcs</b>	<b>\$34,301,678</b>	<b>\$921,972</b>	<b>\$14,552</b>	<b>\$35,238,202</b>
<b>Total All Personnel Services</b>	<b>\$201,159,984</b>	<b>\$16,961,967</b>	<b>\$2,017,173</b>	<b>\$220,139,124</b>

Line Item Expenditures	County	City/Town	Township	Total
	Circuit, Superior, County, and Probate Courts	City and Town Courts	Marion County Small Claims Courts	
Phone	\$665,000	\$66,130	\$31,925	\$763,055
Dues/Subscriptions	\$306,632	\$27,035	\$2,118	\$335,785
Postage	\$614,769	\$65,110	\$37,824	\$717,703
Shipping/Freight	\$17,390	\$336		\$17,726
Rentals	\$6,291,691	\$121,640	\$134,782	\$6,548,113
Contract Printing	\$532,046	\$42,408	\$32,043	\$606,497
Training	\$375,342	\$22,327	\$450	\$398,119
Technical Equip. Rental	\$4,355,750	\$87,147	\$13,165	\$4,456,062
Lodging/Meals	\$315,885	\$800		\$316,685
Other Supplies	\$12,078,029	\$340,636	\$57,979	\$12,476,644
<b>Total Services &amp; Charges Other than Personal</b>	<b>\$25,552,534</b>	<b>\$773,569</b>	<b>\$310,286</b>	<b>\$26,636,389</b>

Legal Library	\$1,455,158	\$20,796	\$5,103	\$1,481,057
Office Equipment	\$690,110	\$61,062	\$1,326	\$752,498
Computer Equipment	\$793,187	\$97,089	\$10,588	\$900,864
Other Capital Outlays	\$2,075,099	\$181,443	\$50,296	\$2,306,838
Other Services & Charges	\$557,385	\$59,745	\$13,490	\$630,620
<b>Total Capital Outlays</b>	<b>\$5,570,939</b>	<b>\$420,135</b>	<b>\$80,803</b>	<b>\$6,071,877</b>

Per Diem Travel	\$80,839	\$23,011		\$103,850
Transportation	\$351,011	\$34,721	\$520	\$386,252
Lodging	\$101,628	\$40,663		\$142,291
Other	\$252,132	\$5,049	\$158	\$257,339
<b>Total Travel</b>	<b>\$785,610</b>	<b>\$103,444</b>	<b>\$678</b>	<b>\$889,732</b>

<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$233,069,067</b>	<b>\$18,259,115</b>	<b>\$2,408,940</b>	<b>\$253,737,122</b>
---------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	----------------------

For 2007 State Court Administration itemized the more general areas of Expenditures paid by the Indiana Courts. This chart represents the additional categorization of those general expenditures.







## REVENUES GENERATED BY ALL COURTS

### Summary of 2007 Revenues

Revenues	Circuit, Superior, County, and Probate Courts	City and Town	Marion County Small Claims	Grand Total
----------	---	------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------

### State Level Funds

To General Fund	\$83,856,040	\$16,283,771	\$1,570,297	\$101,710,108
To Court Related Services Funds	\$5,719,470	\$1,203,753		\$6,923,223
To Special Funds	\$7,509,112	\$1,849,175		\$9,358,287
<b>Total to State Funds</b>	<b>\$97,084,622</b>	<b>\$19,336,699</b>	<b>\$1,570,297</b>	<b>\$117,991,618</b>

### County Level Funds

To General Fund	\$41,076,273	\$3,110,477	\$100,116	\$44,286,866
To Court Related Services Funds	\$25,933,788	\$83,817		\$26,017,605
To Special Funds	\$33,873,555	\$2,733,804		\$36,607,359
<b>Total to County Level</b>	<b>\$100,883,616</b>	<b>\$5,928,098</b>	<b>\$100,116</b>	<b>\$106,911,830</b>

### Local Level Funds (Township)

To General Fund	\$3,318,694	\$6,682,237	\$3,180,158	\$13,181,089
To Court Related Services Funds	\$0	\$1,658,996		\$1,658,996
To Special Funds	\$360,474	\$2,143,422		\$2,503,896
<b>Total to Local Level</b>	<b>\$3,679,168</b>	<b>\$10,484,655</b>	<b>\$3,180,158</b>	<b>\$17,343,981</b>

<b>Total Generated Funds</b>	<b>\$201,647,406</b>	<b>\$35,749,452</b>	<b>\$4,850,571</b>	<b>\$242,247,429</b>
------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	----------------------

### Others

To Constables for Personal Service or Certified Mail			\$3,406,265	\$3,406,265
---	--	--	-------------	-------------

## 2007 REVENUES GENERATED BY CIRCUIT, SUPERIOR, PROBATE AND COUNTY COURTS

	State Funds	County Funds	Local Funds	Total
<b>Revenues Distributed to General Funds</b>				
Court Costs	\$54,042,705	\$21,740,751	\$2,120,525	\$77,903,981
Judicial Salaries	\$12,830,717	\$214,436	\$10,471	\$13,055,624
Infraction Judgments	\$8,155,989			\$8,155,989
Court Administration	\$2,505,227			\$2,505,227
Public Defense Administration Fee	\$2,549,733			\$2,549,733
State portion of Drug Abuse, Prosecution Interdiction and Correction Fee	\$592,331			\$592,331
State portion of Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee	\$1,245,058			\$1,245,058
State portion of Child Abuse Prevention Fee	\$31,923			\$31,923
Additional Garnishee Defendants Service Fee		\$170,172		\$170,172
Highway Work Zone Fee	\$295,948			\$295,948
Safe School Fee	\$40,052			\$40,052
Support Fee	\$361,176	\$1,590,116		\$1,951,292
Civil Penalties for Local Infraction & Ordinance Violations		\$383,071	\$1,184,731	\$1,567,802
Bond Administration Fee		\$1,754,658		\$1,754,658
Document Fee		\$2,160,246		\$2,160,246
Interest on Investments	\$83,432	\$2,750,450	\$317	\$2,834,199
Other	\$1,121,749	\$10,312,373	\$2,650	\$11,436,772
<b>Total to General Funds</b>	<b>\$83,856,040</b>	<b>\$41,076,273</b>	<b>\$3,318,694</b>	<b>\$128,251,007</b>

### Revenues Distributed to Court Related Services

Adult Probation User Fees		\$17,521,769		\$17,521,769
Juvenile Probation User Fees		\$1,934,071		\$1,934,071
Guardian Ad Litem Fees		\$71,611		\$71,611
Supplemental Public Defender Fees		\$2,442,584		\$2,442,584
Civil Action Services		\$1,669,766		\$1,669,766
Small Claims Services		\$1,954,950		\$1,954,950
Alternative Dispute Resolutions		\$339,037		\$339,037
Automated Record Keeping Fee	\$5,719,470			\$5,719,470
<b>Total to Court Related Services</b>	<b>\$5,719,470</b>	<b>\$25,933,788</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$31,653,258</b>

### Revenues Distributed to Special Funds

Fines and Forfeitures	\$5,285,904			\$5,285,904
Vehicle License Fees	\$304,732			\$304,732
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	\$845,715			\$845,715
County portion of Drug Abuse, Prosecution, Interdiction, and Correction Fee		\$2,305,699		\$2,305,699

State Funds	County Funds	Local Funds	Total
-------------	--------------	-------------	-------

County portion of Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee		\$3,588,178		\$3,588,178
County portion of Child Abuse Prevention Fee		\$11,682		\$11,682
Domestic Violence and Treatment Fee	\$222,869			\$222,869
Prosecutorial Pretrial Diversion Fees		\$4,720,236		\$4,720,236
Prosecutorial Deferral Program Fees		\$10,790,623	\$243,255	\$11,033,878
Drug Court Fee		\$294,119		\$294,119
Reentry Court Fee				\$0
DNA Sample Processing Fee	\$823,800			\$823,800
Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fee	\$26,092			\$26,092
Late Surrender Fees		\$615,734	\$73,476	\$689,210
Document Storage Fee		\$1,692,262	\$10,016	\$1,702,278
Informal Adjustment Program Fee		\$34,224		\$34,224
Marijuana Eradication Program Fee		\$13,479		\$13,479
Jury Fees		\$1,004,038		\$1,004,038
Alcohol and Drug Services Fee		\$7,691,567	\$81	\$7,691,648
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Program Fee		\$1,111,714	\$33,646	\$1,145,360
<b>Total To Special Funds</b>	<b>\$7,509,112</b>	<b>\$33,873,555</b>	<b>\$360,474</b>	<b>\$41,743,141</b>

<b>Total Generated Funds</b>	<b>\$97,084,622</b>	<b>\$100,883,616</b>	<b>\$3,679,168</b>	<b>\$201,647,406</b>
------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	--------------------	----------------------

For 2007, State Court Administration is listing each User Fee collected.

## 2007 REVENUES GENERATED BY CITY AND TOWN COURTS

State Funds	County Funds	Local Funds	Total
----------------	-----------------	----------------	-------

### Revenues Distributed to General Funds

Court Costs	\$7,955,185	\$2,891,040	\$3,633,049	\$14,479,274
Judicial Salaries	\$2,046,180	\$50,879	\$652,615	\$2,749,674
Infraction Judgments	\$4,658,947			\$4,658,947
Court Administration	\$491,983			\$491,983
Public Defense Administration Fee	\$511,160			\$511,160
State portion of Drug Abuse, Prosecution Interdiction and Correction Fee	\$119,072			\$119,072
State portion of Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee	\$130,901			\$130,901
State portion of Child Abuse Prevention Fee				\$0
Additional Garnishee Defendants Service Fee			\$40	\$40
Highway Work Zone Fee	\$208,192			\$208,192
Safe School Fee	\$1,388			\$1,388
Support Fee				\$0
Civil Penalties for Local Infraction & Ordinance Violations		\$51,626	\$1,212,321	\$1,263,947
Bond Administration Fee		\$910	\$231,936	\$232,846
Document Fee		\$301	\$50,980	\$51,281
Interest on Investments			\$114,649	\$114,649
Other	\$160,763	\$115,721	\$786,647	\$1,063,131
<b>Total To General Funds</b>	<b>\$16,283,771</b>	<b>\$3,110,477</b>	<b>\$6,682,237</b>	<b>\$26,076,485</b>

### Revenues Distributed to Court Related Services

Adult Probation User Fees		\$15,053	\$1,655,626	\$1,670,679
Juvenile Probation User Fees				\$0
Guardian Ad Litem Fees				\$0
Supplemental Public Defender Fees		\$29,628		\$29,628
Civil Action Services		\$20,126	\$3,370	\$23,496
Small Claims Services		\$19,010		\$19,010
Alternative Dispute Resolutions				\$0
Automated Record Keeping Fee	\$1,203,753			\$1,203,753
<b>Total to Court Related Services</b>	<b>\$1,203,753</b>	<b>\$83,817</b>	<b>\$1,658,996</b>	<b>\$2,946,566</b>

### Revenues Distributed to Special Funds

Fines and Forfeitures	\$1,180,875			\$1,180,875
Vehicle License Fees	\$163,763			\$163,763
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	\$185,659			\$185,659
County portion of Drug Abuse, Prosecution, Interdiction, and Correction Fee		\$192,012		\$192,012
County portion of Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee		\$339,093		\$339,093

	State Funds	County Funds	Local Funds	Total
County Portion of Child Abuse Prevention Fee				\$0
Domestic Violence and Treatment Fee	\$4,066			\$4,066
Prosecutorial Pretrial Diversion Fees		\$546,683	\$113,000	\$659,683
Prosecutorial Deferral Program Fees		\$1,273,377	\$825,264	\$2,098,641
Drug Court Fee			\$31,168	\$31,168
Reentry Court Fee				\$0
DNA Sample Processing Fee	\$314,812			\$314,812
Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fee				\$0
Late Surrender Fees		\$1,955	\$364,338	\$366,293
Document Storage Fee		\$8,136	\$349,981	\$358,117
Informal Adjustment Program Fee				\$0
Marijuana Eradication Program Fee		\$2,597		\$2,597
Jury Fees		\$326,723	\$33,227	\$359,950
Alcohol and Drug Services Fee		\$3,360		\$3,360
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Program Fee		\$39,868	\$426,444	\$466,312
<b>Total To Special Funds</b>	<b>\$1,849,175</b>	<b>\$2,733,804</b>	<b>\$2,143,422</b>	<b>\$6,726,401</b>
<b>Total Generated Funds</b>	<b>\$19,336,699</b>	<b>\$5,928,098</b>	<b>\$10,484,655</b>	<b>\$35,749,452</b>

For 2007, State Court Administration is listing each User Fee collected.

## 2007 REVENUES GENERATED BY MARION COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURTS

	State Funds	County Funds	Local Funds	Other	Total for Gov't. Units
Judicial Salaries	\$558,648		\$184,133		\$742,781
Public Defense Administration	\$214,381				\$214,381
Judicial Insurance Adjustment	\$71,643				\$71,643
Automated Record Keeping Fee	\$508,790				\$508,790
Court Administration	\$216,835				\$216,835
Document Storage		\$95,803	\$29,372		\$125,175
Filing Docket			\$2,721,923		\$2,721,923
Process Certified Mail					\$0
Process Personal Serv.			\$140,634		\$140,634
Process Additional Defendant					\$0
Redocket Fee			\$84,481		\$84,481
Other Fees		\$4,313	\$19,615		\$23,928
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,570,297</b>	<b>\$100,116</b>	<b>\$3,180,158</b>		<b>\$4,850,571</b>
Service of Process Fee for Certified Mail (paid directly to the Constables)*				\$579,462	\$579,462
Service of Process Fee for Personal Service (paid directly to Constables)*				\$1,537,638	\$1,537,638
Process Additional Defendant				\$0	\$0
Other				\$1,289,165	\$1,289,165

\* The service of process fees is not included in the final total since they are paid by the litigants and go directly to the constables for personal service or certified mail service.

## REVENUE REFERENCE GUIDE

		DISTRIBUTION			CODE SECTION(S)	FUND(S)	COMMENTS
FEE TYPE	AMOUNT(S)	STATE	COUNTY	LOCAL			
<b>Court Costs</b>							
Felony/ Misdemeanor	\$120	70% / \$55	27% / \$20	3% / \$25	33-37-4-1(a)	General	Upon Conviction
IF/OV	\$70	70% / \$55	27% / \$20	3% / \$25	33-37-4-2(a)	General	Upon Judgment
Juvenile Action	\$120	70%	27%	3%	33-37-4-3(a)	General	
Civil	\$100	70% / \$55	27% / \$20	3% / \$25	33-37-4-4(a)	General	Some Exemptions
Small Claims (Not MCSC)	\$35	70%	27%	3%	33-37-4-6	General	
Additional Service	\$10				33-37-4-6(a)	Sheriff	Change 7/1/06
Probate/Trust	\$120	70%	27%	3%	33-37-4-7(a)	General	
<b>Service by Sheriff</b>	\$13 - \$60				33-37-5-15(b)	Sheriff	7/1/06 change from \$40 to \$60 and depends on where case began
<b>Fines &amp; Forfeitures</b>		100%			Constitution Article 8, Section 2	State Common School Fund	
<b>Infraction Judgments Civil Penalty</b>		100%			34-28-5-5 (c)	General	
<b>Ordinance Violations Civil Penalty</b>			100% or	100%	33-37-4-2 33-36-3-7	General	County or Local depending on scope of ordinance
<b>Vehicle License Judgments</b>		100%			9-20-18-12(f)	State Highway	Judgment for overweight vehicle cases
<b>Support Fee</b>	\$30	100% or	100%		33-37-5-6	General	County if collected by Clerk; State if collected by State Central Collection
<b>Bond Administration Fee</b>	Lesser of \$50 or 10% bond		100% or	100%	35-33-8-3.2	General	Depending on Court collecting fee
<b>Late Surrender Fee</b>			50%	50%	36-8-10-12 35-33-14	County Extradition Local Police Pension Trust Fund	Based on % of Bond
<b>User Fees</b>							
Drug Abuse Prosecution, Interdiction and Correction Fees	\$200-\$1,000	25%	75%		33-19-9-2 5-2-11 33-37-7-2(b) & (c)	County portion: County Drug Free Community Fund State portion: User Fee Fund	Conviction in any Court of a controlled substance offense

		DISTRIBUTION			CODE SECTION(S)	FUND(S)	COMMENTS
FEE TYPE	AMOUNT(S)	STATE	COUNTY	LOCAL			
Alcohol & Drug Countermeasures	\$200	25%	75%		9-30-5 33-37-5-10 33-19-9-2 5-2-11 33-37-7-2 (b) & (c)	County portion: County Drug Free Community Fund State portion: User Fee Fund	Conviction for DUI or delinquent driving conviction
Child Abuse Prevention	\$100	50%	50%		12-17-17 33-37-7-2(b)&(d) 33-37-5-12	County Child Advocacy Fund	Conviction of crime against person under age of 18
Domestic Violence Prevention & Treatment	\$50	100%			33-37-7-2(b)(4) 33-37-5-13	State User Fee Fund	Violent crime conviction against spouse
Highway Work Zone	\$.50 / \$25.50	100%			9-30-3-5 33-37-7-2(b)(5) 33-37-7-8(d)(3)	State User Fee Fund	Traffic offense conviction; exceeding worksite limit gives increased amount
Safe Schools Fee	\$200-\$1,000	100%			33-37-7-2(b)(6) 33-37-5-18 33-37-7-8(d)(4)	State User Fee Fund	Conviction where use or possession of firearm is element
Automated Record Keeping	\$7	100%			33-37-5-21 33-37-7-2(b)(7)	State User Fee Fund	All civil, criminal, infraction or ordinance actions
Pretrial Diversion	\$120 Deferred Prosecution \$50 initial; \$10/month		100%	or 100%	33-39-1-8 33-37-4-1(c) and (d)	County User Fee Fund	If charged with misdemeanor, infraction or ordinance, can defer prosecution
Informal Adjustment Program Fees	\$5 to \$15 per month		100%		31-34-8-8 33-37-8-5(b)(2) (repealed as of 3/19/08)	County User Fee Fund	If Juvenile placed in IA program prior to a delinquent petition being filed
Marijuana Eradication Fee	up to \$300		100%		35-48-4 15-3-4.6-4.1 33-37-8-5(b)(3) 33-37-5-7	County User Fee Fund	Conviction related to controlled substance
Alcohol Drug Services Fee	up to \$400		100% or	100%	33-37-5-8(b) 12-23-14-16 33-37-8-5(b)(4)	County User Fee Fund	Collected in all criminal, infraction, ordinance convictions
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Fee	\$3 \$4 as of 7/1/08		100% or	100%	33-37-5-8(c) 33-37-8-5(b)(5)	County or Local User Fee Fund	Charged for each criminal conviction and each infraction and ordinance violation
Drug Court Fees	up to \$500		100% or	100%	12-23-14.5 33-37-5-24 12-23-14.5-12 33-37-8-5(b)(8) 33-37-8-3(b)(5)	County or Local User Fee Fund	Charged in proceedings in certified drug court cases



		DISTRIBUTION			CODE SECTION(S)	FUND(S)	COMMENTS
FEE TYPE	AMOUNT(S)	STATE	COUNTY	LOCAL			
Deferral of Prosecution Program fee	\$120	70%	27%	3%	33-39-1-8 33-37-4-2(e) 34-28-5-1	State, County and Local User Fee Funds	Program for infractions, ordinances and misdemeanors
Jury Fee	\$2		100%		33-37-5-19	County User Fee Fund	Charged in criminal convictions and infractions and ordinance violations
Reentry Court Fee	Up to actual cost		100% or	100%	33-23-14-12 33-37-8-3 33-37-8-5	County or Local User Fee Fund	Used to defray costs of reentry services
Adult Probation User's Fee	Felony: \$25-\$100 initial plus \$15-\$30 per month; Misd: up to \$50 initial plus up to \$20 per month		100% or 94% if collected by City or Town Court	6%	35-38-2-1(d) & (e) 35-38-2-1(c)	96% County Supplemental Adult Probation Services Fund; 3% Clerk's Record Perpetuation Fund; 3% County General Fund	Charged after conviction for felony or misdemeanor; if felony conviction, charge is mandatory
Juvenile Probation User's Fee	\$25-\$100 initial plus \$10-\$25 per month; if Delinquent, add \$100		100% or 94% if collected by City or Town Court	6%	31-40-2-1(b) & (c)	96% County Supplemental Adult Probation Services Fund; 3% Clerk's Record Perpetuation Fund; 3% County General Fund	Charge is more if Juvenile is considered a delinquent
GAL/CASA Fee	up to \$100		100%		31-40-3-1; 31-40-3-2	GAL fund; CASA fund	
Supplemental Public Defender Fee	Felony: \$100; Misd: \$50		100%		35-33-7-6 33-40-3-1	Supplemental Public Defender Services Fund	If defendant can pay plus the amount of bond minus costs
Document Fee	\$1-\$3		100% or	100%	33-37-5-3 33-37-5-4 33-37-5-5	Clerk Record Perpetuation Fund	
Document Storage Fee	\$2		100% or	100%	33-37-5-2 33-37-5-20	Clerk Record Perpetuation Fund	Collected in every case
Interest on Investments		100% or	100% or	100%	5-13-10.5-2	Relevant fund generating interest	
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	\$1	100%			33-37-5-25 33-38-5-8.2 33-37-7-2(j) 33-37-7-8(h)	State Judicial Branch Insurance Adjustment Fund	Collected in every case
Court Administration Fee	\$3 (\$5 as of 7/1/08)	100%			33-37-5-27	General	Collected in every case
DNA Sample Processing Fee	\$2	100%			33-37-4-1(b) 33-37-5-26.2 33-37-7-9(b)(9) 10-13-6-9.5	DNA Sample Processing Fund	Collected in criminal, infractions and ordinance violation cases

		DISTRIBUTION			CODE SECTION(S)	FUND(S)	COMMENTS
FEE TYPE	AMOUNT(S)	STATE	COUNTY	LOCAL			
<b>Judicial Salaries Fee</b>	SC: \$12 (\$13 as of 7/1/2008); Others: \$17 (\$18 as of 7/1/2008)	75%		25%	33-37-5-26(d) & (e) 33-37-7-8(i)	General	Collected in every case
<b>Public Defense Administration Fee</b>	\$3	100%			33-37-5-21.2	General	Collected in every case
<b>Civil Action Service Fee</b>	\$10/ additional defendant over initial defendant; \$10 per additional garnishee defendant over 3 garnishee defendants	100% or	100% or	100%	33-37-4-6 33-37-5-28 33-37-7-2(h) & (i)	General	Paid by plaintiff in civil cases
<b>Small Claims Service Fee</b>	\$10 per each additional defendant over initial; \$10 per each additional garnishee defendant over 3 garnishee defendants	100% or	100%		33-37-7-2(k)	General	Paid by plaintiff in small claims cases
<b>Alternative Dispute Resolution Fee</b>	\$20		100%		33-23-6-1	Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund	If county has approved ADR plan, charged in domestic relations and paternity cases
<b>Other Fees</b>							
Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fee	\$250-\$1000	100%			33-37-5-23	General	Criminal conviction of sexual assault crimes
<b>MARION COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS FEES:</b>							
<b>Filing Fee &amp; Township Docket Fee</b>	\$37			100%	33-34-8-1	General	
<b>MCSC Service of Process fee</b>	\$13			100% reported in "Money to Others" Column	33-34-6-4(b)(5) & (7)	Constables	Certified mail or personal service
<b>Witness Fees</b>	N/A						
<b>Redocketing Fee</b>	\$5			100%	33-34-8-1(a)(5)	General	

## 2007 FILING FEES/COSTS BY CASE TYPE COLLECTED BY THE CLERK

(Fees listed are current as of September 2008)

### Criminal Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Criminal Costs Fee	IC 33-37-4-1	\$120.00		
Marijuana Eradication Program Fee	IC 33-37-5-7	*		
Alcohol and Drug Services Program Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(b)	*		
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(c)	\$4.00		
Drug Abuse, Prosecution, Interdiction, and Correction Fee	IC 33-37-5-9	*		
Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee	IC 33-37-5-10	*		
Child Abuse Prevention Fee	IC 33-37-5-12	*		
Domestic Violence Prevention and Treatment Fee	IC 33-37-5-13	*		
Highway Work Zone Fee	IC 33-37-5-14		(1)	
Deferred Prosecution Fee	IC 33-37-5-17		(2)	
Safe School Fee	IC 33-37-5-18	*		
Jury Fee	IC 33-37-5-19	\$2.00		
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Late Payment Fee	IC 33-37-5-22	*		
Sexual Assault Victims Assistance Fee	IC 33-37-5-23	*		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
DNA Sample Processing Fee	IC 33-37-5-26.2	\$2.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
<b>Total Criminal Costs Fee</b>		<b>\$164.00</b>	(3)	<b>\$177.00</b>

\*Judge determines if this fee is to be collected and the amount of the fee.

- (1) Collect \$.50 only if traffic offense and could be \$25.50 if exceeding the worksite speed limit or failure to merge.
- (2) \$120 fee for court costs if the criminal action is a misdemeanor and the defendant enters the pretrial diversion program.
- (3) Total is \$177 if office is collecting the sheriff's service of process fee of \$13.

## Civil Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Civil Filing Fee	IC 33-37-4-4(a)	\$100.00		
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
Service Fee	IC 33-37-5-28		(1)	
Civil Garnishee Service Fee	IC 33-37-5-28		(2)	
Alternative Dispute Resolution Fee	IC 33-23-6-1		(3)	
<b>Total Civil Filing Fee</b>		<b>\$136.00</b>	(4)	<b>\$149.00</b>

- (1) The Clerk shall collect from the party filing the civil action, a service fee of \$10 for each additional defendant named other than the first named defendant. The Clerk shall collect from any party adding a defendant, a service fee of \$10. This does not apply to an action in which the service is made by publication in accordance with Indiana Trial Rule 4.13.
- (2) Add a \$10 fee per garnishee defendant in excess of three (3) whether named or added.
- (3) If the county has an approved plan from the Judicial Conference of Indiana, the clerk shall collect from the party filing a petition for legal separation, paternity, or dissolution of marriage a fee of \$20.
- (4) Total is \$149 if office is collecting the sheriff's service of process fee of \$13.

## Juvenile Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Juvenile Costs Fee	IC 33-37-4-3	\$120.00		
Marijuana Eradication Program Fee	IC 33-37-5-7	*		
Alcohol and Drug Services Program Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(b)	*		
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(c)	\$4.00		
Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee	IC 33-37-5-10	*		
Jury Fee	IC 33-37-5-19		(1)	
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Late Payment Fee	IC 33-37-5-22	*		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
DNA Sample Processing Fee	IC 33-37-5-26.2		(1)	
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
Alternative Dispute Resolution Fee	IC 33-23-6-1		(2)	
<b>Total Juvenile Filing Fees</b>		<b>\$160.00</b>		

\*Judge determines if this fee is to be collected and the amount of the fee.

- (1) If the case is a criminal case, then these fees are collected upon conviction. This would make the total filing fee for a juvenile criminal case \$162 (1/1/08 through 6/30/08) or \$167 (7/1/08 through 12/31/08) or including the processing fee \$173 (1/1/08 through 6/30/08) or \$175 (7/1/08 through 12/31/08).
- (2) If the county has an approved plan from the Judicial Conference of Indiana, the clerk shall collect from the party filing a petition for legal separation, paternity, or dissolution of marriage a fee of \$20.

### Small Claims Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Small Claims Filing Fee	IC 33-37-4-6	\$35.00		
Small Claims Service Fee	IC 33-37-4-6	\$10.00	(1)	
Additional Small Claims Service Fee	IC 33-37-4-6	*		
Small Claims Garnishee Service Fee	IC 33-37-4-6		(2)	
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$13.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
<b>Total Small Claims Filing Fees</b>		<b>\$76.00</b>	(3)	<b>\$89.00</b>

\*\$10 fee per defendant added to the action.

(1) Fee is per defendant named and paid by the party filing the action.

(2) Add a \$10 fee per garnishee defendant in excess of three (3) whether named or added.

(3) Total is \$89 if office is collecting the sheriff's service of process fee of \$13.

### Probate Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Probate Costs Fee	IC 33-37-4-7(a)	\$120.00		
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
<b>Total Probate Filing Fees</b>		<b>\$156.00</b>	(1)	<b>\$169.00</b>

(1) Total is \$169 if office is collecting the sheriff's service of process fee of \$13.

## Infraction/Ordinance Violation Cases

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Infraction or Ordinance Violation Costs Fee	IC 33-37-4-2	\$70.00		
Alcohol and Drug Services Program Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(b)	*		
Law Enforcement Continuing Education Fee	IC 33-37-5-8(c)	\$4.00		
Alcohol and Drug Countermeasures Fee	IC 33-37-5-10	*		
Highway Work Zone Fee	IC 33-37-5-14		(1)	
Deferred Prosecution Fee	IC 33-37-5-17		(2)	
Jury Fee	IC 33-37-5-19	\$2.00		
Document Storage Fee	IC 33-37-5-20	\$2.00		
Automated Record Keeping Fee	IC 33-37-5-21	\$7.00		
Late Payment Fee	IC 33-37-5-22	*		
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
DNA Sample Processing Fee	IC 33-37-5-26.2	\$2.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
<b>Total Infraction/Ordinance Violations Costs Fees</b>		<b>\$114.00</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>\$127.00</b>

\*Judge determines if this fee is to be collected and the amount of the fee.

- (1) Collect \$.50 only if traffic offense and could be \$25.50 if exceeding the worksite speed limit or failure to merge.
- (2) \$120 fee for court costs if the criminal action is a misdemeanor and the defendant enters the pretrial diversion program.
- (3) Total is \$127 if office is collecting the sheriff's service of process fee of \$13.

## Pretrial Diversion Program

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Deferred Prosecution Fee	IC 33-37-5-17	\$120.00		
Initial User Fee	IC 33-37-4-1(c)	\$50.00		
Monthly User Fee	IC 33-37-4-1(c)	\$60.00	(1)	
Public Defense Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-21.2	\$3.00		
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fee	IC 33-37-5-25	\$1.00		
Judicial Salaries Fee	IC 33-37-5-26	\$18.00		
DNA Sample Processing Fee	IC 33-37-5-26.2	\$2.00		
Court Administration Fee	IC 33-37-5-27	\$5.00		
<b>Total Pretrial Diversion Program Fee</b>		<b>\$259.00</b>		

- (1) Monthly fee is \$10 for each month that the person remains in the pretrial diversion program. This scenario would illustrate a six (6) month program. The county may have different time ranges for the program, which will cause the total to be different.

### Deferral Program

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Moving Traffic Offense Court Cost	IC 34-28-5-1	\$70.00	(1)	
Initial Users Fee *	IC 33-37-4-2(e)	\$52.00	(2)	
Monthly User Fee *	IC 33-37-4-2(e)	\$60.00	(3)	
<b>Total Deferral Program Fee</b>		<b>\$182.00</b>		

\*Statute states these fees are not to exceed amounts. The prosecutor may assess lower rates than the maximum allowed.

- (1) If the infraction or violation is not a moving traffic offense, then this will not be collected.
- (2) \$50 goes to the deferral program and \$2 goes to the jury pay fund.
- (3) Monthly fee is \$10 for each month that the person remains in the deferral program. This scenario would illustrate a 6 month program. The county may have different time ranges for the program, which will cause the total to be different.

### Seatbelt Violations

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Class D Infraction	IC 9-19-10-8	\$25.00		
	IC 34-28-5-4			
<b>Total Seatbelt Violation Fee</b>		<b>\$25.00</b>		

### Child Restraint System Violations

Fee Type	Code Citation	Fee Amount	Other Information	
Class D Infraction	IC 9-19-11	\$25.00	(1)	
	IC 34-28-5-4			
<b>Total Child Restraint System Violation Fees</b>		<b>\$25.00</b>		

- (1) These judgments go to a separate account in the state general fund in accordance with IC § 9-19-11-9. These fees need to be accounted for separately from the seatbelt violation.

### Miscellaneous

Fee Type
Paternity are juvenile cases.
Adoptions are civil cases.
Guardianships are probate cases.

## TRIAL COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS PAID BY THE STATE

County	Judges	Magistrates*	Juvenile Magistrates*	Small Claims Referee*	Population**
ADAMS	2				33,644
ALLEN	10	8	5		349,488
BARTHOLOMEW	3	1			74,750
BENTON	1				8,810
BLACKFORD	2				13,189
BOONE	3				54,137
BROWN	1	1			14,670
CARROLL	2				19,987
CASS	3				39,193
CLARK	4	2			105,035
CLAY	2				26,648
CLINTON	2				33,795
CRAWFORD	1				10,782
DAVIESS	2				30,035
DEARBORN	2.5				49,759
DECATUR	2				24,959
DEKALB	3				41,796
DELAWARE	5				115,419
DUBOIS	2				41,225
ELKHART	7	2	1		197,942
FAYETTE	2				24,273
FLOYD	3	1			73,064
FOUNTAIN	1			1	17,143
FRANKLIN	1	1			23,234
FULTON	2				20,308
GIBSON	2				32,754
GRANT	4				68,847
GREENE	2				32,692
HAMILTON	7	2			261,661
HANCOCK	3				66,305
HARRISON	2				36,810
HENDRICKS	6				134,558
HENRY	3				47,181
HOWARD	5				83,776
HUNTINGTON	2				37,743
JACKSON	3				42,184
JASPER	2				32,275
JAY	2				21,514
JEFFERSON	1.5				32,704
JENNNINGS	2				28,106
JOHNSON	4	1	1		135,951
KNOX	3				37,949



County	Judges	Magistrates*	Juvenile Magistrates*	Small Claims Referee*	Population**
KOSCIUSKO	4				76,115
LAGRANGE	2				37,032
LAKE	17	9	5		492,104
LAPORTE	5	2	1		109,787
LAWRENCE	3				46,033
MADISON	6	1			131,312
MARION	36	9	8		876,804
MARSHALL	3				46,698
MARTIN	1				10,058
MIAMI	2				36,641
MONROE	9				128,643
MONTGOMERY	3				37,881
MORGAN	4	1			69,874
NEWTON	2				14,014
NOBLE	3				47,526
OHIO	1				5,772
ORANGE	2				19,607
OWEN	1			1	22,398
PARKE	1				17,169
PERRY	1	1			18,916
PIKE	1			1	12,605
PORTER	6	2	1		160,578
POSEY	2				26,262
PULAKSI	2				13,778
PUTNAM	2				37,014
RANDOLPH	2				25,859
RIPLEY	2				27,350
RUSH	2				17,494
ST. JOSEPH	10	5	2		266,088
SCOTT	2				23,679
SHELBY	3				44,063
SPENCER	1				20,334
STARKE	1	1			23,542
STEUBEN	2	1			33,450
SULLIVAN	2	1			21,366
SWITZERLAND	1				9,684
TIPPECANOE	7	1	1		163,364
TIPTON	1			1	16,069
UNION	1				7,203
VANDEBURGH	8	5	1		174,425
VERMILLION	1				16,417
VIGO	6		1		104,915
WABASH	2				32,918
WARREN	1				8,482

County	Judges	Magistrates*	Juvenile Magistrates*	Small Claims Referee*	Population**
WARRICK	3				57,090
WASHINGTON	2				27,920
WAYNE	4		1		68,260
WELLS	2				27,927
WHITE	2				23,819
WHITLEY	2				32,655
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	

\*Employees as of 4/15/08

\*\* Indiana's population figures as of July 1, 2007 were provided by the U.S. Census Bureau:  
<http://www.census.gov/population/www/index/html>

## CITY AND TOWN COURTS BY COUNTY

County	City Courts	Town Courts
ALLEN	New Haven	
BOONE	Lebanon	Jamestown Thorntown Whitestown Zionsville
CARROLL	Delphi	Burlington
CLARK	Charlestown Jeffersonville	Clarksville Sellersburg
CLINTON	Frankfort	
DEARBORN	Aurora Lawrenceburg	
DEKALB	Butler	
DELAWARE	Muncie	Yorktown
ELKHART	Elkhart Goshen Nappanee	
FOUNTAIN	Attica	
GRANT	Gas City Marion	
HAMILTON	Carmel Noblesville	
HENDRICKS		Avon Brownsburg Plainfield
HENRY	New Castle	Knightstown
HUNTINGTON		Roanoke
JASPER		DeMotte
JAY	Dunkirk Portland	
JOHNSON	Franklin Greenwood	
KNOX	Bicknell	
LAKE	Crown Point East Chicago Gary Hammond Hobart Lake Station	Merrillville Lowell Schererville

County	City Courts	Town Courts
	Whiting	
MADISON	Alexandria Anderson Elwood	Edgewood Pendleton
MARION	Beech Grove*	
MIAMI	Peru	Bunker Hill
MORGAN	Martinsville	Mooreville
RANDOLPH	Union Winchester	
RIPLEY	Batesville	Versailles
ST. JOSEPH		Walkerton Lakeville**
STARKE	Knox	
STEUBEN		Fremont
TIPPECANOE	West Lafayette	
TIPTON	Tipton	Sharpsville
VERMILLION	Clinton	
VIGO	Terre Haute	
WABASH	Wabash	North Manchester
WAYNE		Hagerstown
WELLS	Bluffton	
WHITE		Monon
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>28</b>

\*Beech Grove City Court new for 2008

\*\* Lakeville Town Court exists per Admin Rule 8 but has not been activated prior to this publication.

## MARION COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURTS

CENTER TOWNSHIP
DECATUR TOWNSHIP
FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP
LAWRENCE TOWNSHIP
PERRY TOWNSHIP
PIKE TOWNSHIP
WARREN TOWNSHIP
WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP
WAYNE TOWNSHIP

# ROSTER OF ALL JUDICIAL OFFICERS (JUDGES, MAGISTRATES, COMMISSIONERS, REFEREES, HEARING OFFICERS)

## 1 ADAMS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Schurger, Frederick A.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Heimann, James A.

## 2 ALLEN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Felts, Thomas J.
	Magistrate	Bobay, Craig J.
	Hearing Officer	Ryan, Thomas L.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Boyer, Nancy E.
	Magistrate	Houk, Phillip E.
	Magistrate	DeGroote, Jennifer L.
	Magistrate	Cook, Brian D.
	Magistrate	Ummel, Jerry L.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Heath, Daniel G.
	Magistrate	Houk, Phillip E.
	Magistrate	DeGroote, Jennifer L.
	Magistrate	Cook, Brian D.
	Magistrate	Ummel, Jerry L.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Levine, Stanley A.
	Magistrate	Houk, Phillip E.
	Magistrate	DeGroote, Jennifer L.
	Magistrate	Cook, Brian D.
	Magistrate	Ummel, Jerry L.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Scheibenberger, Kenneth R.
	Magistrate	Schmoll, Robert J.
	Magistrate	Linsky, Marcia L.
	Magistrate	Ross, Robert E.
<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Gull, Frances C.
	Magistrate	Linsky, Marcia L.
	Magistrate	Schmoll, Robert J.
	Magistrate	Ross, Robert E.
<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Surbeck, John F., Jr.
	Magistrate	Schmoll, Robert J.
	Magistrate	Linsky, Marcia L.
	Magistrate	Ross, Robert E.

<b>Superior 7</b>	Judge	Sims, Stephen M.
	Magistrate	Springer, Karen A.
	Referee	Carolyn Foley
<b>Superior 8</b>	Judge	Pratt, Charles F.
	Magistrate	Morgan, Lori K.
	Magistrate	Boyer, Thomas P.
<b>Superior 9</b>	Judge	Avery, David
	Magistrate	Houk, Phillip E.
	Magistrate	DeGroote, Jennifer L.
	Magistrate	Cook, Brian D.
	Magistrate	Ummel, Jerry L.
<b>New Haven City</b>	City Judge	Robison, Geoff

## 3 BARTHOLOMEW

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Heimann, Stephen R.
	Referee	Mollo, Heather M.
	Commissioner	Rogers, Michael
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Monroe, Chris D.
	Commissioner	Rogers, Michael
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	McGillivray, Roderick D.
	Magistrate	Meek, Joseph W.
	Commissioner	Rogers, Michael

## 4 BENTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Kepner, Rex W.
----------------	-------	----------------

## 5 BLACKFORD

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Young, Dean A.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Forcum, John W.

## 6 BOONE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	David, Steve
	Commissioner	Berish, Sally
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Kincaid, Matthew C.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	McClure, Rebecca S.
	Commissioner	Sullivan, Mark X.
<b>Lebanon City</b>	City Judge	Morog, Tami Jo

<b>Zionsville Town</b>	Town Judge	Jackson, Jr., Price A.
<b>Jamestown Town</b>	Town Judge	Leeke, William
<b>Thorntown Town</b>	Town Judge	Vaughn, Donald G.
<b>Whitestown Town</b>	Town Judge	Vogt, Sandra K.

## 7 BROWN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Stewart, Judith A.
	Magistrate	Van Winkle, Douglas E.

## 8 CARROLL

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Currie, Donald
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Smith, Jeffrey R.
<b>Delphi City</b>	City Judge	Weckerly, David R.
<b>Burlington Town</b>	Town Judge	Adams, John C.

## 9 CASS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Burns, Jr., Leo T.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Perrone, Thomas C.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Maughmer, Richard A.

## 10 CLARK

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Donahue, Daniel F.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Carmichael, Vicki
	Magistrate	Dawkins, William A.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Blau, Cecile A.
	Magistrate	Abbott, Kenneth R.
	Magistrate	Dawkins, William A.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Fleece, Steven M.
	Magistrate	Abbott, Kenneth R.
	Magistrate	Dawkins, William A.
<b>Charlestown City</b>	City Judge	Waters, George
<b>Jeffersonville City</b>	City Judge	Pierce, Kenneth
<b>Clarksville Town</b>	Town Judge	Weber, Joseph P.
<b>Sellersburg Town</b>	Town Judge	Lowe, Thomas R.

## 11 CLAY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Trout, Joseph D.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Akers, Blaine J.

## 12 CLINTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Pearson, Linley E.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Smith, Kathy R.
<b>Frankfort City</b>	City Judge	Ponton, George G.

## 13 CRAWFORD

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Lopp, Kenneth L.
----------------	-------	------------------

## 14 DAVIESS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Smith, Gregory
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Sobecki, Dean A.

## 15 DEARBORN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Humphrey, James D.
	Referee	Gay, Mary Ann
	Referee	Stewart, Eugene
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Witte, G. Michael
	Referee	Weissmann, Leanna
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Blankenship, Sally
<b>Aurora City</b>	City Judge	Schmits, Fred
<b>Lawrenceburg City</b>	City Judge	Bauer, Tom

## 16 DECATUR

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Westhafer, John A.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Wilke, W. Michael

## 17 DEKALB

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Carpenter, Kirk D.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Wallace, Kevin P.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Bown, Monte L.
<b>Butler City</b>	City Judge	Obendorf, Richard

## 18 DELAWARE

<b>Circuit 1</b>	Judge	Vorhees, Marianne L.
	Commissioner	Pierce, Brian
	Commissioner	Peckinpough, Darrell K.
	Commissioner	Speece, Joseph
<b>Circuit 2</b>	Judge	Dailey, Richard A.
	Commissioner	Speece, Joseph
	Commissioner	Peckinpough, Darrell K.

		Commissioner Pierce, Brian
<b>Circuit 3</b>	Judge	Barnet, Robert L., Jr.
		Commissioner Speece, Joseph M.
	Commissioner	Peckinpaugh, Darrell K.
		Commissioner Pierce, Brian
<b>Circuit 4</b>	Judge	Feick, John M.
	Commissioner	Peckinpaugh, Darrell K.
		Commissioner Speece, Joseph M.
		Commissioner Pierce, Brian
<b>Circuit 5</b>	Judge	Lennington, Wayne J.
	Commissioner	Peckinpaugh, Darrell K.
<b>Muncie City</b>	City Judge	Wolf, Linda Ralu
<b>Yorktown Town</b>	Town Judge	Zeabart, Patricia F.

## 19 DUBOIS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Weikert, William E.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Brown, Elaine B.

## 20 ELKHART

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Shewmaker, Terry C.
	Magistrate	Domine, Deborah A.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Roberts, Evan
	Magistrate	Denton, David A.
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.
	Commissioner	Biddlecome, Mona
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Bowers, Stephen
	Magistrate	Denton, David A.
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Biddlecome, George
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Stickel, Olga H.
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.
<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Rieckhoff, James W.
	Magistrate	Denton, David A.
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.
<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Bonfiglio, David
	Magistrate	Denton, David A.
	Magistrate	Murto, Thomas A.

		Commissioner Biddlecome, Mona
<b>Elkhart City</b>	City Judge	Grodnik, Charles H.
<b>Goshen City</b>	City Judge	Hess Lund, Gretchen
<b>Nappanee City</b>	City Judge	Sloat, Timi S.

## 21 FAYETTE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Pflum, Daniel L.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Urdal, Ronald T.

## 22 FLOYD

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Cody, J. Terrence
	Magistrate	Burke, Jr., Daniel B.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Orth, Susan L.
	Magistrate	Burke, Jr., Daniel B.
<b>County</b>	Judge	Hancock, Glen G.
	Magistrate	Burke, Jr., Daniel B.

## 23 FOUNTAIN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Henderson, Susan Orr
	Referee (SC)	Gibson, Donald F.
<b>Attica City</b>	City Judge	Mason, Mark W.

## 24 FRANKLIN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Cox, J. Steven
	Magistrate	Kellerman, Clay M.

## 25 FULTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Morton, Douglas B.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Steele, Wayne E.

## 26 GIBSON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Meade, Jeffrey
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Penrod, Earl G.

## 27 GRANT

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Sptizer, Mark
		Commissioner Milford, John
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Todd, Jeffrey D.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Johnson, Randall Lee
	Referee	McLane, Brian
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Conn, Natalie R.

	Commissioner Hege, Greg	
<b>Gas City</b>	City Judge	Barker, Steven
<b>Marion City</b>	City Judge	Kocher, James F.

## 28 GREENE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Allen, Erik
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Holt, J. David

## 29 HAMILTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Proffitt, Judith S.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
	Commissioner	Ruetz, Todd L.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Nation, Steven R.
	Commissioner	Ruetz, Todd L.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Pfleging, Daniel J.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
	Magistrate	Greenaway, William
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Hughes, William J.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
	Magistrate	Greenaway, William
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Campbell, J. Richard
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
	Magistrate	Greenaway, William
<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Sturtevant, Wayne A.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
	Magistrate	Greenaway, William
<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Bardach, Gail Z.
	Magistrate	Najjar, David K.
<b>Carmel City</b>	City Judge	Felix, Paul A.
<b>Noblesville City</b>	City Judge	Caldwell, Gregory L.

## 30 HANCOCK

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Culver, Richard D.
	Commissioner	Amick, Larry G.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Snow, Terry K.
	Commissioner	Amick, Larry G.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Marshall, Dan E.
	Commissioner	Amick, Larry G.

## 31 HARRISON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Whitis, H. Lloyd
	Referee	Umpleby, Susan
	Referee	Swarens, Elizabeth
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Davis, Roger D.

## 32 HENDRICKS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Boles, Jeffrey V.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Freese, Robert W.
	Commissioner	Eimerman, Rebecca M.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Coleman, David H.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Love, Karen M.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Smith, Mark A.*
<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Lemay-Luken, Stephenie*
<b>Brownsburg Town</b>	Town Judge	Hostetter, Charles E.
<b>Plainfield Town</b>	Town Judge	Spencer, James D.
<b>Avon Town</b>	Town Judge	Owen, Maureen T.

## 33 HENRY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Willis, Mary G.
	Commissioner	Payne, Richard
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Peyton, Michael D.
	Commissioner	O'Neal, Lyn W.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Witham, Bob A.
<b>New Castle City</b>	City Judge	Hamilton, Donald
<b>Knightstown Town</b>	Town Judge	Butler, Lewis Hayden

## 34 HOWARD

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Murray, Lynn
	Referee (Juv.)	May, Erik
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Menges, Jr., William C.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Jessup, Stephen M.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Tate, Douglas A.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Hopkins, George*

## 35 HUNTINGTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Hakes, Thomas
	Referee	Newton, Jennifer
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Heffelfinger, Jeffrey R.



	Referee	Newton, Jennifer
<b>Roanoke Town</b>	Town Judge	Turpin, Bobby G.

### 36 JACKSON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Vance, William E.
	Referee	McCord, Andrea
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Markel, Bruce
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	MacTavish, Bruce **

### 37 JASPER

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Potter, John D.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	McGraw, J. Philip
<b>DeMotte Town</b>	Town Judge	Osborn, Gregory

### 38 JAY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Hutchinson, Brian D.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Roberts, Joel D.
<b>Dunkirk City</b>	City Judge	Phillips, II, Tommy D.
<b>Portland City</b>	City Judge	Pensing, Michele R.

### 39 JEFFERSON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Todd, Ted R.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Hoying, Fred H.

### 40 JENNINGS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Webster, Jonathan W.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Funke, Jr., James

### 41 JOHNSON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Loyd, K. Mark
	Magistrate	Clark, Marla K.
	Magistrate	Tandy, Richard L.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Barton, Kevin
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Emkes, Cynthia S.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Van Valer, Kim
	Magistrate	Tandy, Richard L.
<b>Franklin City</b>	City Judge	Schafstall, Robert D.
<b>Greenwood City</b>	City Judge	Gregory, Lewis J.

### 42 KNOX

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Gregg, Sherry B.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Crowley, W. Timothy
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Osborne, Jim R.
<b>Bicknell City</b>	City Judge	Moreland, David

### 43 KOSCIUSKO

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Reed, Rex L.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Huffer, Duane G.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Jarrette, James C.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Sutton, Joe V.

### 44 LAGRANGE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	VanDerbeck, J. Scott
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Brown, George E.

### 45 LAKE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Arrendondo, Lorenzo
	Magistrate	Williamson, Cheryl.
	Magistrate	McDevitt, Richard
	Commissioner	Paras, George
	Referee	Troumouliasis, Michael
<b>Superior Civil 1</b>	Judge	Schneider, Diane Kavadias
	Commissioner	Stepanovich, Donald
<b>Superior Civil 2</b>	Judge	Hawkins, Calvin
	Commissioner	Rivera, Itsia D.
<b>Superior Civil 3</b>	Judge	Tavitas, Elizabeth F.
	Magistrate	Raduenz, Nanette K.
	Magistrate	Hill, Kristen D.
<b>Superior Civil 4</b>	Judge	Svetanoff, Gerald N.
	Commissioner	Matuga, Joseph
<b>Superior Civil 5</b>	Judge	Davis, William E.
<b>Superior Juvenile</b>	Judge	Bonaventura, Mary Beth
	Magistrate	Gillis, Gregory A.
	Magistrate	Miller, Jeffrey
	Magistrate	Commons, Glenn D.
	Magistrate	Peller, Charlotte Ann
	Magistrate	Sedia, John M.

	Referee	Bishko, Kathleen
<b>Superior County 1</b>	Judge	Schiralli, Nicholas J.
	Magistrate	Somers, Tammy
<b>Superior County 2</b>	Judge	Moss, Sheila M.
	Magistrate	Belzeski, Kathleen
<b>Superior County 3</b>	Judge	Cantrell, Julie N.
	Magistrate	Pagano, Michael N.
	Referee	Boling, R. Jeffrey
<b>Superior Civil 6</b>	Judge	Pera, John R.
<b>Superior Civil 7</b>	Judge	Dywan, Jeffery J.
<b>Superior County 4</b>	Judge	Villapando, Jesse M.
	Referee	Likens, Ann P.
<b>Superior Criminal 1</b>	Judge	Vasquez, Salvador
	Magistrate	Sullivan, Kathleen Ann
	Magistrate	Bokota, Natalie
<b>Superior Criminal 2</b>	Judge	Murray, Clarence D.
	Magistrate	Bokota, Natalie
	Magistrate	Sullivan, Kathleen Ann
<b>Superior Criminal 3</b>	Judge	Boswell, Diane Ross
	Magistrate	Sullivan, Kathleen Ann
	Magistrate	Bokota, Natalie
<b>Superior Criminal 4</b>	Judge	Stefaniak, Jr., Thomas P.
	Magistrate	Bokota, Natalie
	Magistrate	Sullivan, Kathleen Ann
<b>Crown Point City</b>	City Judge	Jeffirs, Kent A.
<b>E. Chicago City</b>	City Judge	Morris, Sonya
	Referee	Zougras, Elizabeth
<b>Gary City</b>	City Judge	Monroe, Deidre L.
	Referee	Lewis, Robert
<b>Hammond City</b>	City Judge	Harkin, Jeffrey A.
	Referee	Kray, Gerald P.
	Referee	Foster, Nathan
<b>Hobart City</b>	City Judge	Longer, William J.
<b>Lake Station City</b>	City Judge	Anderson, Christopher
<b>Whiting City</b>	City Judge	Likens, Ann P.
<b>Merrillville Town</b>	Town Judge	Paras, George

	Referee	Gielow, Chris
<b>Schererville Town</b>	Town Judge	Anderson, Kenneth L.
<b>Lowell Town</b>	Town Judge	Vanes, Thomas W.

## 46 LAPORTE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Alevizos, Thomas J.
	Magistrate	Ankony, Sally A.
	Magistrate	Pawloski, Thomas G.
	Magistrate	Stallbrink, Richard
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Lang, Kathleen
	Magistrate	Friedman, Greta
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	King, Steven E.
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Baldoni, Paul J.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Boklund, William J.
	Magistrate	Pawloski, Thomas
	Magistrate	Friedman, Greta

## 47 LAWRENCE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	McCord, Andrea
	Referee	Sledd, Timothy
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Robbins, Michael A.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Sleva, William G.

## 48 MADISON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Spencer, Frederick R.
	Commissioner	Kilmer, Joseph R.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Carroll, Dennis D.
	Magistrate	Clase, Stephen
	Commissioner	Shanks, II, John
	Commissioner	Anderson, James
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Brinkman, Jack L.
	Commissioner	Alger, David E.
	Magistrate	Clase, Stephen
	Commissioner	Shanks II, John
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Newman, Jr., Thomas
	Magistrate	Clase, Stephen
	Commissioner	Pancol, George G.
<b>County 1</b>	Judge	Hopper, David W.

<b>County 2</b>	Judge	Clem, Thomas L.
<b>Alexandria City</b>	City Judge	Goodman, Brandy
<b>Anderson City</b>	City Judge	Phillippe, Donald R.
<b>Elwood City</b>	City Judge	Noone, Kyle F.
<b>Edgewood Town</b>	Town Judge	Norrick, Scott
<b>Pendleton Town</b>	Town Judge	Gasparovic, George M.

#### 49 MARION

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Sosin, Theodore M.
		Commissioner Bloch, Andrew
		Commissioner Huppert, Lynda
		Commissioner Gilroy, Richard D.
		Commissioner Gooden, Alicia A.
		Commissioner Palgutta, Paul
		Commissioner Lynch, Sheryl
		Commissioner Terzo, Carol
<b>Superior Civil 1</b>	Judge	Shaheed, David A.
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
		Commissioner Ransberger, Victoria
<b>Superior Civil 2</b>	Judge	Johnson, Kenneth H.
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
		Commissioner Oldham, Mary Ann
<b>Superior Civil 3</b>	Judge	McCarty, Patrick L.
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve
<b>Superior Civil 4</b>	Judge	Ayers, Cynthia J.
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
		Commissioner Oldham, Mary Ann
		Commissioner Boone, Cheryl
		Commissioner Shook, Deborah
<b>Superior Civil 5</b>	Judge	Miller, Gary L.
		Commissioner Shook, Deborah
		Commissioner Oldham, Mary Ann
<b>Superior Civil 6</b>	Judge	Carroll, Thomas J.
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
		Commissioner Haile, Christopher
<b>Superior Civil 7</b>	Judge	Zore, Gerald S.
	Magistrate	Dill, Caryl

		Commissioner Ransberger, Victoria
		Commissioner Ryan, Peggy
		Commissioner Flanelly, Anne
<b>Superior Probate</b>	Judge	Deiter, Charles J.
		Commissioner Batties, Mark
	Magistrate	Turner, John Richard
<b>Superior Juvenile</b>	Judge	Moores, Marilyn
	Magistrate	Jansen, Beth
	Magistrate	Stowers, Scott
	Magistrate	Chavers, Gary
	Magistrate	Bradley, Larry
	Magistrate	Gregory, Danielle
	Magistrate	Gaither, Goeffrey
	Magistrate	Burleson, Diana
	Magistrate	Gaughan, Danielle
<b>Superior Civil 10</b>	Judge	Dreyer, David J.
		Commissioner Marchal, Jeffrey L.
		Commissioner Boyce, John J.
<b>Superior Civil 11</b>	Judge	Hanley, John F.
	Magistrate	Haile, Christopher
	Magistrate	Caudill, Burnett
<b>Superior Civil 12</b>	Judge	Moberly, Robyn L.
	Magistrate	Dill, Caryl
<b>Superior Civil 13</b>	Judge	Reid, S.K.
	Magistrate	Dill, Caryl
<b>Superior Criminal 1</b>	Judge	Walton-Pratt, Tanya
		Commissioner Marchal, Jeffrey L.
		Commissioner Boyce, John J.
<b>Superior Criminal 2</b>	Judge	Altice, Jr., Robert R.
	Magistrate	Barbar, Amy
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve
<b>Superior Criminal 3</b>	Judge	Carlisle, Shelia A.
		Commissioner Robinette, Ted
<b>Superior Criminal 4</b>	Judge	Gifford, Patricia J.
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve
<b>Superior Criminal 5</b>	Judge	Hawkins, Grant W.
		Commissioner Jones, Mark A.
<b>Superior Criminal 6</b>	Judge	Stoner, Mark D.

		Commissioner Marchal, Jeffrey L.
<b>Superior Criminal 7</b>	Judge	Nelson, William J.
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve
	Commissioner	Kroh, Stanley
	Commissioner	Nunez-Cruz, Israel
<b>Superior Criminal 8</b>	Judge	Collins, Barbara A.
	Commissioner	Marchal, Jeffrey L.
	Magistrate	Rubick Steve
<b>Superior Criminal 9</b>	Judge	Welch, Heather
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Commissioner	Kroh, Stanley
<b>Superior Criminal 10</b>	Judge	Brown, Linda E.
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
<b>Superior Environmental 12</b>	Judge	Keele, Michael D.
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Commissioner	Marchal, Jeffrey L.
<b>Superior Criminal 13</b>	Commissioner	Jane Conley
	Magistrate	Mattingly, Kim D.
	Commissioner	Rothenberg, Mark
<b>Superior Criminal 14</b>	Judge	Salinas, Jose D.
	Commissioner	Flowers, Shatrese
	Commissioner	Alt, John
<b>Superior Criminal 15</b>	Judge	Borges, Lisa
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Commissioner	Kroh, Stanley
<b>Superior Criminal 16</b>	Judge	Rogers, Clark H.
	Commissioner	Kramer, Melissa
<b>Superior Criminal 17</b>	Judge	Christ-Garcia, Annie
	Commissioner	Kramer, Melissa
<b>Superior Criminal 18</b>	Judge	Hill, Reuben B.
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Commissioner	Kroh, Stanley
<b>Superior Criminal 19</b>	Judge	Pierson-Treacy, Rebekah
	Magistrate	Rubick, Steve

		Commissioner Flowers, Shatrese
<b>Superior Criminal 20</b>	Judge	Young, William E.
	Magistrate	Jensen, Mick
<b>Superior Criminal 21</b>	Judge	Certo, David
	Magistrate	Dill, Caryl F.
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Magistrate	Rubick Steve
	Commissioner	Flowers, Shatrese
<b>Superior Criminal 22</b>	Judge	Orbison, Carol*
	Magistrate	Barbar, Amy
<b>Superior Criminal 23</b>	Judge	Eichholtz, Steven*
	Commissioner	Murphy, H. Patrick
<b>Superior Criminal 24</b>	Judge	Hammel, John W.*
	Magistrate	Rosenberg, Louis
	Commissioner	Kroh, Stanley
<b>Center Small Claims</b>	Judge	Scott-Smith, Michelle
<b>Decatur Small Claims</b>	Judge	Fisher, William E.
<b>Franklin Small Claims</b>	Judge	Kitley, Jr., John M.
<b>Lawrence Small Claims</b>	Judge	Joven, James
<b>Perry Small Claims</b>	Judge	Spear, Robert S.
<b>Pike Small Claims</b>	Judge	Stephens, A. Douglas
<b>Warren Small Claims</b>	Judge	Rivera, Ricardo
<b>Washington Small Claims</b>	Judge	Brown, Kimberly
<b>Wayne Small Claims</b>	Judge	King, Maxine
<b>Beech Grove City Court</b>	Judge	Hunter, Charles W. **

---

## 50 MARSHALL

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Cook Michael D.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Bowen, Robert O.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Colvin, Dean A.

---

## 51 MARTIN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Howell, R. Joseph
----------------	-------	-------------------

## 52 MIAMI

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Burke, Rosemary Higgins
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Banina, Daniel C.
<b>Peru City</b>	City Judge	Price, Jeffry
<b>Bunker Hill Town</b>	Town Judge	Smith, Melvin D.

## 53 MONROE

<b>Circuit 1</b>	Judge	Hoff, E. Michael
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 2</b>	Judge	Kellams, Marc R.
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 3</b>	Judge	Todd, Kenneth G.
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 4</b>	Judge	Hill, Francis
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 5</b>	Judge	Diekhoff, Mary-Ellen
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 6</b>	Judge	Galvin, Stephen
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 7</b>	Judge	Welch, David
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 8</b>	Judge	Haseman, Christine Talley
		Commissioner Raper, Bret
<b>Circuit 9</b>	Judge	Harper, Teresa**

## 54 MONTGOMERY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Milligan, Thomas K.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Ault, David A.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Lohorn, Peggy L. Quint

## 55 MORGAN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Hanson, Matthew G.
	Magistrate	Lybrook, Robert E.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Gray, G. Thomas
	Magistrate	Lybrook, Robert E.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Burnham, Christopher L.
	Magistrate	Lybrook, Robert E.

<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Craney, Jane Spencer
	Magistrate	Lybrook, Robert E.
<b>Martinsville Town</b>	Town Judge	Peden, Mark
<b>Mooreville Town</b>	Town Judge	Lieb, Susan J.

## 56 NEWTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Leach, Jeryl F.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Molter, Daniel J.

## 57 NOBLE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Laur, G. David
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Kirsch, Robert E.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Kramer, Michael J.

## 58 OHIO

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Humphrey, James D.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Mitchell, John D.

## 59 ORANGE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Blanton, Larry R.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Cloud, R. Michael

## 60 OWEN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Nardi, Frank M.
	Referee	Quillen, Lori

## 61 PARKE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Swaim, Sam M.
----------------	-------	---------------

## 62 PERRY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Goffinet, Lucy
	Magistrate	Werner, Karen

## 63 PIKE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Biesterveld, Jeffrey L.
	Referee	Verkamp, Joseph

## 64 PORTER

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Harper, Mary R.
	Magistrate	Nemeth, Edward J.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Bradford, Roger V.
	Magistrate	Johnson, James A.

<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Alexa, William E.
	Magistrate	Forbes, Katherine Ratliff
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Jent, Julia M.
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Chidester, David L.
<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Thode, Jeffrey L.

## 65 POSEY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Redwine, James M.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Almon, Brent S.

## 66 PULASKI

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Shurn, Michael A.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Blankenship, Patrick B.

## 67 PUTNAM

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Headley, Matthew L.
		Commissioner LaViolette, Diana
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Lowe, Robert J.

## 68 RANDOLPH

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Toney, Jay L.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Haviza, Peter D.
<b>Union City</b>	City Judge	Fields, William D.
<b>Winchester City</b>	City Judge	Coffman, David

## 69 RIPLEY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Taul, Carl H.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Morris, James B.
<b>Batesville City</b>	City Judge	Kellerman, John
<b>Versailles Town</b>	Town Judge	Richmond, Cheryl A.

## 70 RUSH

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Northam, David
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Hill, Brian D.

## 71 ST. JOSEPH

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Gotsch, Michael G.
	Magistrate	Ambler, Larry L.
	Magistrate	Ready, David T.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Woodward-Miller, Jane

	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Marnocha, John M.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Frese, John J.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Means, William T.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Pitts-Manier, Jenny
-------------------	-------	---------------------

<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Chapleau, David C.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 7</b>	Judge	Scopelitis, Michael P.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Superior 8</b>	Judge	Chamblee, Roland W.
	Magistrate	McCormick, Richard L.
	Magistrate	Steinke, Brian W.

<b>Probate</b>	Judge	Nemeth, Peter J.
	Magistrate	Brueseke, Harold E.
	Magistrate	Johnston, Barbara J.
	Magistrate	Cotter, Rochelle

<b>Walkerton Town</b>	Town Judge	Huizenga, Roger L.
-----------------------	------------	--------------------

## 72 SCOTT

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Duvall, Roger L.
	Referee	Granger, Maria
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	South, Nicholas L.
	Referee	Granger, Maria

## 73 SHELBY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	O'Connor, Jr., Charles D.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Tandy, Jack A.
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Sanders, Russell J.

---

**74 SPENCER**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Roell, Wayne A.
----------------	-------	-----------------

---

**75 STARKE**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Hall, Kim
	Magistrate	Calabrese, Jeanene

<b>Knox City</b>	City Judge	Hasnerl, Charles F.
------------------	------------	---------------------

---

**76 STEUBEN**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Wheat, Allen N.
	Magistrate	Coffey, Randy

<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Fee, William C.
	Magistrate	Coffey, Randy

<b>Freemont Town</b>	Town Judge	Hagerty, Martha C.
----------------------	------------	--------------------

---

**77 SULLIVAN**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Pierson, P.J.
	Magistrate	Mischler, Ann Smith

<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Johnson, Thomas E.
	Magistrate	Mischler, Ann Smith

---

**78 SWITZERLAND**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Todd, Ted R.
----------------	-------	--------------

<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Mitchell, John D.
-----------------	-------	-------------------

---

**79 TIPPECANOE**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Daniel, Donald L.
----------------	-------	-------------------

<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Johnson, Donald C.
-------------------	-------	--------------------

<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Busch, Thomas H.
	Magistrate	Graham, Faith
	Magistrate	Wang, Norris K.

<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Rush, Loretta H.
	Magistrate	Graham, Faith

<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Donat, Gregory J.
	Magistrate	Wang, Norris K.

<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Meade, Les A.
-------------------	-------	---------------

<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Morrissey, Michael A.
	Magistrate	Wang, Norris K.

<b>W. Lafayette City</b>	City Judge	Sobal, Lori Stein
--------------------------	------------	-------------------

---

**80 TIPTON**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Lett, Thomas R.
	Referee	Russell, Richard

<b>Tipton City</b>	City Judge	Harper, Lewis D.
--------------------	------------	------------------

<b>Sharpstown Town</b>	Town Judge	Holman, Evelyn R.
------------------------	------------	-------------------

---

**81 UNION**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Cox, Matthew R.
----------------	-------	-----------------

---

**82 VANDERBURGH**

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Heldt, Carl A.
	Magistrate	Kiely, David D.

<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Bowers, Scott R.
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Trockman, Wayne S.
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Pigman, Robert J.
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Niemeier, Brett J.
	Commissioner	Ferguson, Renee Allen

<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Lloyd, Mary Margaret
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Tornatta, Robert J.
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

<b>Superior 7</b>	Judge	Knight, J. Douglas
	Magistrate	Hamilton, Allen R.
	Magistrate	Maurer, Terrell R.
	Magistrate	Marcum, Jill
	Magistrate	D'Amour, Richard G.

## 83 VERMILLION

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Stengel, Bruce V.
<b>Clinton City</b>	City Judge	Antonini, Henry L.

## 84 VIGO

<b>Circuit / Superior 3</b>	Judge	Bolk, David R.
	Magistrate	Stagg, R. Paulette
	Commissioner	Mullican, Sarah
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Eldred, Michael H.
	Commissioner	Mullican, Sarah
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Adler, Phillip I.
	Commissioner	Mullican, Sarah
<b>Superior 4</b>	Judge	Newton, Christopher
<b>Superior 5</b>	Judge	Brugnaux, Barbara
<b>Superior 6</b>	Judge	Lewis, Michael
<b>Terre Haute City</b>	City Judge	Roach, John

## 85 WABASH

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	McCallen, III, Robert R.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Goff, Christopher M.
<b>Wabash City</b>	City Judge	Roberts, Timothy A.
<b>N. Manchester Town</b>	Town Judge	Gohman, Cheryl A.

## 86 WARREN

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Rader, John A.
----------------	-------	----------------

## 87 WARRICK

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Kelley, David O.
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Meier, Keith
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Aylsworth, Robert R.

## 88 WASHINGTON

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Bennett, Robert L.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Newkirk, Jr., Frank E.

## 89 WAYNE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Kolger, David A.
	Commissioner	Williams, Charles
<b>Superior 1</b>	Judge	Snow, P. Thomas
	Commissioner	Williams, Charles
<b>Superior 2</b>	Judge	Horn, Gregory A.
	Commissioner	Williams, Charles
<b>Superior 3</b>	Judge	Dolehanty, Darrin M.
	Commissioner	Stewart, David C.
<b>Hagerstown Town</b>	Town Judge	Bell, Susan

## 90 WELLS

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Hanselman, Sr., David L.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Goshorn, Everett E.
<b>Bluffton City</b>	City Judge	Bate, Robert J.

## 91 WHITE

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Thacker, Robert W.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Mrzlack, Robert B.
<b>Monon Town</b>	Town Judge	Crecelius, Susan

## 92 WHITLEY

<b>Circuit</b>	Judge	Heuer, James R.
<b>Superior</b>	Judge	Rush, Michael D.



## STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION ROSTER

**Lilia Judson, Executive Director**  
**David Remondini, Chief Deputy Executive Director**

DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION STAFF		
Babcock	Meg	Counsel, Commission on Judicial Qualifications
Carusillo	Tom	Director, Trial Court Services
Collins	Yolanda	Administrative Assistant
Brooks	Valerie	Benefits Manager/Fiscal Officer
Brown	Dawn	Administrative Assistant
Carey	Mary	Administrative Assistant
Chiplis	Tim	LAN Administrator
Christopher	Teresa	GAL/CASA Program Coordinator
Diller	James	Court Analyst
Dolan	Kathryn	Public Information Officer
Donnelly-Miller	Kristin	Staff Attorney
Fortwengler	John	Programmer/Analyst
Foster	Kevin	Programmer/Analyst
Grimes	Krystal	Administrative Assistant
Guthrie-Jones	Debbie	Administrative Assistant
Holland	Amber	Administrative Assistant
Hunter	Linda	Administrative Assistant, Office Management
James	Angela	Court Analyst
Jones	Tom	Information Manager
Lalani	Stephani	Accounts/Payroll Assistant
Lowe	Rusty	Director, Technology Services
Maguire	James	Staff Attorney
Meiring	Adrienne	Staff Attorney
Montgomery-Wenz	Sandra	Help Line Coordinator
Murphy	Michael	Staff Attorney, CIP Statistical Analyst
Neal	Deborah	Staff Attorney, Public Defender Commission
Newman	John	Director, Information Management
Oleksy	Loretta	Family Court Project Manager
Rodeheffer	Brenda	Employment Law Staff Attorney/Property Manager
Rogers-Dunn	Leslie	Director, Guardian <i>Ad Litem</i> / GAL/CASA
Roth	Mark	Assistant Director, Technology Services
Rucker	Robyn	Staff Attorney/CLEO Coordinator
Smith	Jan	Accountant/Payroll Manager
Walker	James	Director, Trial Court Management
Wiese	Jeffrey	Staff Attorney, Public Defender Commission
Wiggins	Camille	Staff Attorney

**Lilia Judson, Executive Director**  
**David Remondini, Chief Deputy Executive Director**  
**Mary DePrez, Director and Counsel for Trial Court Technology**

<b>JUDICIAL TECHNOLOGY AND AUTOMATION COMMITTEE STAFF</b>		
Abeltins	Dace	Administrative Assistant
Beasley	Robin	Court Reporter Subject Matter Expert
Borschel	Lindsey	Web Coordinator/Documentation Specialist
Cain	Andrew	Director, MIS
Cowan	Carlos	Field Support Specialist
Diefenderfer	Aaron	Configuration & Modification Analyst
Edgar	Donna	Project Manager
Epperson	LaJuan	Grants Manager
Griffith	David	Staff Attorney & Project Manager
Hammond	Bethany	Clerk & Court Subject Matter Expert
Harter	Justin	Web Content Developer
Jonas Berry	Heather	Associate Project Manager
Kellam	Hon. John	Senior Judge
Kincaid	Laura	Field Support Specialist
Kronoshek	Mary	Administrative Assistant
Meyers	Robin	Field Support Specialist
Moore	Robert	Deputy Director, MIS
Moscrip	Lindy	Court Reporter Subject Matter Expert
Nahmens	Gregory	End User Trainer
Payne	Hon. Richard	Senior Judge
Russell	Jill	Support Specialist
Scott	Marci	Court Reporter Subject Matter Expert
Steward	David	Field Support Specialist
Strickland	Gaye Lynn	Business Analyst
Warfield	Anthony	Office/Fiscal Manager
Wilson	Mary	Software Quality Assurance Lead Analyst



# INDIANA SUPREME COURT

DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION  
30 S. Meridian St., Suite 500  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
317/232-2542

[WWW.IN.GOV/JUDICIARY](http://WWW.IN.GOV/JUDICIARY)